# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D. C. 20549

#### **FORM 10-K**

**■** ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2019

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)	OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from	to

Commission File No. 000-51401



### Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

#### Federally chartered corporation

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

200 East Randolph Drive Chicago, IL

(Address of principal executive offices)

36-6001019

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

60601

f principal executive oπices)

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (312) 565-5700

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Trading Symbol(s)	Name of each exchange on whic	h registered
Securities registered pursuant	to Section 12(g) of the Act: Cla	ass B Capital Stock, par value \$100 p	er share
Indicate by check mark if the registrant is	s a well-known seasoned issue Yes   No   Ves		ies Act.
Indicate by check mark if the registrant is	s not required to file reports pu Yes  No  X		f the Act.
Indicate by check mark whether the regis Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding reports), and (2) has been subject to such	ing 12 months (or for such sho	rter period that the registrant was requi	
Indicate by check mark whether the regis pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T of required to submit such files). Yes 🗵 N			
Indicate by check mark whether the regis reporting company, or an emerging grow reporting company" and "emerging grow	th company. See the definition	s of "large accelerated filer", "accelerate	
Large accelerated filer		Accelerated filer	
Non-accelerated filer	X	Smaller reporting compan	ıy 🗆
		Emerging growth compan	y 🗆
If emerging growth company, indicate by complying with any new or revised finance			
Indicate by check mark whether the regis	strant is a shell company (as d	efined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes □	No 🗷

Registrant's stock is not publicly traded and is only issued to members of the registrant. Such stock is issued and redeemed at par value, \$100 per share, subject to applicable regulatory and statutory limits. At June 30, 2019, the aggregate par value of the stock held by current and former members was \$2,001,386,180. As of January 31, 2020, registrant had 21,314,321 total outstanding shares of Class B Capital Stock, including mandatorily redeemable capital stock.



### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PART I	
Item 1.	<u>Business</u>	<u>3</u>
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	<u>18</u>
Item 1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>30</u>
Item 2.	<u>Properties</u>	<u>30</u>
Item 3.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>30</u>
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	<u>30</u>
	PART II	
Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	<u>31</u>
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	<u>32</u>
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>33</u>
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>73</u>
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	<u>80</u>
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	<u>81</u>
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	<u>81</u>
Item 9B.	Other Information	<u>81</u>
	PART III	
Item 10.	<u>Directors, Executive Officers, and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>82</u>
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	<u>95</u>
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	<u>113</u>
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	<u>115</u>
Item 14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	<u>117</u>
	PART IV	
Item 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	<u>118</u>
Item 16.	Form 10-K Summary	<u>119</u>
	Glossary of Terms	<u>120</u>
	<u>Financial Statements and Notes</u>	<u>F-1</u>
	<u>Signatures</u>	<u>S-1</u>



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

#### Item 1. Business.

#### Where to Find More Information

The Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago a maintains a website located at <a href="www.fhlbc.com">www.fhlbc.com</a> where we make available our financial statements and other information regarding us and our products free of charge. We are required to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) an annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, and current reports on Form 8-K. The SEC maintains a website that contains these reports and other information regarding our electronic filings located at <a href="www.sec.gov">www.sec.gov</a>. Information on these websites, or that can be accessed through these websites, does not constitute a part of this annual report.

A Glossary of Terms can be found on page 120.

#### Introduction

We are a federally chartered corporation and one of 11 Federal Home Loan Banks (the FHLBs) that, with the Office of Finance, comprise the Federal Home Loan Bank System (the System). The FHLBs are government-sponsored enterprises (GSE) of the United States of America and were organized under the Federal Home Loan Bank Act of 1932, as amended (FHLB Act), in order to improve the availability of funds to support home ownership.

Each FHLB operates as a separate entity with its own management, employees, and board of directors. Each FHLB is a member-owned cooperative with members from a specifically defined geographic district. Our defined geographic district consists of the states of Illinois and Wisconsin. We are supervised and regulated by the Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA), an independent federal agency in the executive branch of the United States (U.S.) government.

As a cooperative, we do business with our members and, under limited circumstances, our former members, as well as providing support for the members of other FHLBs through our role operating the Mortgage Partnership Finance<sup>®</sup> (MPF<sup>®</sup>) Program. All federally-insured depository institutions, insurance companies engaged in residential housing finance, credit unions, and community development financial institutions located in Illinois and Wisconsin are eligible to apply for membership. All members are required to purchase our capital stock as a condition of membership; our capital stock is not publicly traded.

As of December 31, 2019, we had 481 full time and 7 part time employees.

"Mortgage Partnership Finance", "MPF", "eMPF", "MPF Xtra", "Downpayment Plus", "DPP", "Downpayment Plus Advantage", "DPP Advantage", and "Community First" are registered trademarks of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago.

#### **Mission Statement**

Our mission is to partner with our member shareholders in Illinois and Wisconsin to provide them competitively priced funding, a reasonable return on their investment in the Bank, and support for community investment activities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Unless otherwise specified, references to we, us, our and the Bank are to the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

#### **Membership Trends**

The following table presents the geographic locations of our members by type of institution:

		December 31, 2019				December 31, 2018					
	Number of Institutions				Number of Institutions						
	Illinois	Wisconsin	Total	Percent	Illinois	Wisconsin	Total	Percent			
Commercial banks	309	156	465	67%	322	165	487	70%			
Savings institutions	49	21	70	10%	52	21	73	10%			
Credit unions	49	51	100	15%	45	49	94	13%			
Insurance companies	36	12	48	7%	34	11	45	6%			
Community Development Financial Institutions	5	1	6	1%	5	1	6	1%			
Total	448	241	689	100%	458	247	705	100%			

The following table presents our members by asset size. Community Financial Institution is defined by our regulator, the FHFA, as FDIC-insured institutions with no more than \$1.199 billion (the limit during 2019) in average total assets over three years. This limit is adjusted annually for inflation. See the **Glossary of Terms** on page 120 for further details.

As of December 31,	2019	2018
Member Asset Size:		
Community Financial Institutions	91.22%	91.60%
Larger Non-CFI Institutions	8.78%	8.40%
Total	100%	100%

In 2019, our total membership declined by sixteen institutions.

We lost 30 members due to mergers and acquisitions. Although 26 of these members were acquired by other members in our district, four were acquired by out-of-district institutions. One member became ineligible for FHLB membership.

We gained 15 new members by adding five commercial banks, seven credit unions, and three insurance companies during 2019, as we continue to work toward our goal of building a stronger cooperative by adding new members.

In 2019, 84% of our member institutions used at least one of our core product offerings - advances, letters of credit, or MPF Program products - or participated in our competitive Affordable Housing Program (AHP) or Downpayment Plus® Program (DPP) Programs.

#### **Business Overview**

Our mission-focused business is different from that of a typical financial services firm. As a cooperative, we use our resources to support member utilization of the cooperative, and to support the communities in which members operate. Our strategy revolves around two goals:

- Maintaining the member-focused Bank, which involves all areas of the Bank coming together to deliver excellent
  products and services to our members. Being member-focused means applying the resources of the Bank to enhance
  the value of membership.
- Building the MPF business, which is accepted by most of the other FHLBs as the mortgage aggregation platform for the FHLB System.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

#### Mission Asset Ratio

The following table represents our view of the mission-focused business we do as a cooperative bank.

Average par value for the year ended December 31,		2019	2018
Advances	\$	54,880	\$ 55,476
Mortgage assets (Acquired Member Assets - AMA)		8,256	5,944
Primary mission assets	\$	63,136	\$ 61,420
Consolidated obligations	\$	91,271	\$ 86,388
U.S. Treasuries		4,003	
Consolidated obligations less U.S. Treasuries	\$	87,268	
Primary mission asset ratio	72.3%		71.1%
Supplemental mission assets and activities as of December 31,		2019	2018
MPF Program Loans held by other third party investors	\$	19,198	\$ 18,904
Member standby letters of credit		23,851	24,306
Mission related liquidity		7,487	6,964
Small Business Administration investments		1,787	1,752
Housing authority standby bonds purchased and commitments outstanding		459	396
MPF Loan delivery commitments		615	336
Advance commitments		38	274
Member derivatives		7	18
Community First Fund loans and commitments		46	46
Supplemental mission assets and activities	\$	53,488	\$ 52,996

We provide credit to members principally in the form of secured loans called advances (inclusive of forward starting advances), as well as through standby letters of credit. We provide liquidity for home mortgage loans to members approved as Participating Financial Institutions (PFIs) through the MPF Program. We also serve as a critical source of standby liquidity for our members.

Our primary funding source is proceeds from the sale to the public of FHLB debt instruments (consolidated obligations) which are, under the FHLB Act, the joint and several liability of all the FHLBs. Consolidated obligations are not obligations of the U.S. government, and the U.S. government does not guarantee them. Additional funds are provided by deposits, other borrowings, and the issuance of capital stock. We also provide members and non-members with correspondent services such as safekeeping, wire transfers, and cash management.

The FHFA issued an advisory bulletin which provides guidance relating to how the FHFA will assess each FHLB's core mission achievement. The FHFA will assess core mission achievement by using a ratio of primary mission assets, which includes advances and mortgage loans acquired from members (also referred to as acquired member assets), to consolidated obligations, less U.S. Treasuries held for liquidity purposes in our trading or available for sale accounts. The primary mission asset ratio will be calculated annually at year-end as part of the FHFA's examination process, using annual average par values. When the ratio is at least 70% or higher, the FHLB's strategic plan should include an assessment of the FHLB's prospects for maintaining this level and when the ratio is less than 70%, the FHFA expects certain actions with respect to an FHLB's strategic plan to increase the ratio.

Our primary mission asset ratio for the year ended December 31, 2019, was 72.3%.

In 2016, the FHFA issued a final rule making captive insurance companies ineligible for FHLB membership. Under this rule, our three captive insurance company members will have their memberships terminated by February 2021. As a result of this regulatory change, once our three captive insurance company members have their membership terminated and their advances mature, our primary mission asset ratio will be negatively impacted unless we experience an increased demand for advance products.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

#### **Member-Focused Business**

Member credit products, which include advances, standby letters of credit, and other extensions of credit to borrowers, are discussed in detail below.

#### **Advances**

We provide credit to members principally in the form of secured loans, called advances. Our advances to members:

- serve as a reliable source of funding and liquidity;
- · provide members with enhanced tools for asset-liability management;
- provide interim funding for those members that choose to sell or securitize their mortgages;
- support residential mortgages held in member portfolios;
- support important housing markets, including those focused on very low-, low-, and moderate-income households;
- provide funds to member community financial institutions (CFI) for secured loans to businesses, farms, agribusinesses, and community development activities.

We make secured, fixed- or floating-rate advances to our members. Advances are secured by mortgages and other collateral that our members pledge. We determine the maximum amount and term of advances we will lend to a member as follows:

- we value the types of collateral eligible to be pledged to us and apply a margin to secure our advances to members, based on our assessment of the member's creditworthiness and financial condition; and
- · we conduct periodic collateral reviews with members to establish the amount we will lend against each collateral type.

We are required to obtain and maintain a security interest in eligible collateral at the time we originate or renew an advance. For further detail on our underwriting and collateral guidelines, see **Establishing Credit Limits** on page 62.

We offer a variety of fixed- and adjustable-rate advances, with maturities ranging from one day to 30 years. Examples of standard advance structures include the following:

- Fixed-Rate Advances: Fixed-rate advances have maturities from one day to 30 years.
- <u>Variable-Rate Advances:</u> Variable-rate advances include advances that have interest rates that reset periodically at a
  fixed spread to an FHLB discount note rate-based index, London Interbank Offer Rate (LIBOR), Federal Funds, or
  some other index (such as the Secured Overnight Financing Rate (SOFR)). Depending upon the type of advance
  selected, the member may have an interest-rate cap embedded in the advance to limit the rate of interest the
  member would have to pay.
- <u>Putable Advances:</u> We issue putable, fixed- and floating-rate advances in which we maintain the right to terminate the advance at predetermined exercise dates at par.
- <u>Callable Advances:</u> We issue callable, fixed-rate advances in which members have the right to prepay the advance on predetermined dates without incurring prepayment or termination fees.
- Other Advances: (1) Open-line advances are designed to provide flexible funding to meet our members' daily liquidity needs and may be drawn for one day. These advances are automatically renewed. Rates are set daily at the close of business. (2) Fixed amortizing advances have maturities that range from one year to 30 years, with the principal repaid over the term of the advances monthly, quarterly, or semi-annually. (3) Fixed Rate with Floating Spread advances are designed to meet our members' liability duration needs at lower cost than regular fixed rate advances.

We also offer features designed to meet our members' business needs such as the following:

• Symmetrical prepayment feature where the member would either pay a prepayment fee or prepay the advance below par upon termination, depending on the structure of the advance at the time of termination.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

- Commitment feature, called "forward-starting advances", to fund an advance on a negotiated funding date at a
  predetermined interest rate.
- Expander feature, which allows a member one or multiple opportunities to increase the principal amount of the advance.

The FHLB Act authorizes us to make advances to non-member housing associates that meet regulatory eligibility requirements including that the housing associate is approved under Title II of the National Housing Act. We currently have approved four non-member housing associates that are eligible to borrow from the Bank. We had \$18 million in advances outstanding to non-member housing associates at December 31, 2019, and \$21 million at December 31, 2018.

#### Competition

Demand for our advances is affected by, among other things, the cost of other sources of funding available to our members, including our members' customer deposits. We compete with suppliers of both secured and unsecured wholesale funding. These competitors may include investment banks, commercial banks, and other FHLBs when our members' affiliated institutions are members of other FHLBs. Under the FHLB Act and FHFA regulations, affiliated institutions in different FHLB districts may be members of different FHLBs.

Some members may have limited access to alternative funding sources while other members may have access to a wider range of funding sources, such as repurchase agreements, brokered deposits, commercial paper, covered bonds collateralized with residential mortgage loans, and other funding sources. Some members, particularly larger members, may have independent access to the national and global credit markets.

The availability of alternative funding sources influences the demand and pricing for our advances and can vary as a result of a number of factors, such as the regulatory environment, market conditions, products, members' creditworthiness, and availability of collateral. We compete for advances on the basis of the total cost of our products to our members (which include the rates we charge, required capital stock purchases, and any dividends we pay), credit and collateral terms, prepayment terms, product features such as embedded options, and the ability to meet members' specific requests on a timely basis. In addition, the transition from LIBOR to SOFR (as further discussed in **LIBOR Transition** on page 49) may impact the pricing of our advance products relative to competitor rates and may reduce the number of advance products we can offer.

In addition, our competitive environment continues to be impacted by the changes in the interest-rate environment and the extent to which our members use our advances primarily as a back-up source of liquidity as opposed to part of their primary funding strategies. For further discussion of the impact of these and other factors on demand for our advances, see **Risk Factors** starting on page 18.

#### **Standby Letters of Credit**

We may provide members and housing associates with standby letters of credit (also referred to herein as letters of credit) to support obligations to third parties to facilitate residential housing finance, community lending, to achieve liquidity, and for asset-liability management purposes. In particular, members often use letters of credit as collateral for deposits from federal and state governmental agencies. Letters of credit are generally available for terms of up to 20 years or for a one year term renewable annually. If we are required to make payment for a beneficiary's draw, these amounts either must be reimbursed by the member immediately or may be converted to an advance. Our underwriting and collateral requirements for letters of credit are the same as the underwriting and collateral requirements for advances. Letters of credit are not currently subject to activity capital stock purchase requirements. If any advances were to be made in connection with these standby letters of credit, they would be made under the same standards and terms as any other advance. For more details on our letters of credit see **Note 16** - **Commitments and Contingencies** to the financial statements.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

#### Mortgage Partnership Finance® Program

#### MPF Program Overview

We developed the MPF® Program to provide an additional source of liquidity to our members and to allow us to invest in mortgages to help fulfill our housing mission. The MPF Program is a secondary mortgage market structure under which we purchase mortgage loans from our members and housing associates and members and housing associates of other FHLBs (together with us, the MPF Banks) that participate in the MPF Program (collectively, Participating Financial Institutions or PFIs). These mortgage loans are conventional and government mortgage loans secured by one-to-four family residential properties with maturities ranging from 5 to 30 years or participations in such mortgage loans that are acquired under the MPF Program (MPF Loans).

We purchase MPF Loans to retain in our portfolio for investment, to sell to third parties, or to securitize Government Loans into Ginnie MBS. Our regulatory limit for our investment in MPF Loans held in our portfolio is the lesser of eight times retained earnings or 20% of total assets. Our MPF Loan products are outlined in the chart below:

MPF Product	Mortgage Type	Loan Balance	Held in our Portfolio for Investment?
MPF Original <sup>a</sup> , MPF 35, MPF 100 <sup>b</sup> ; MPF 125 and MPF Plus <sup>b</sup>	Conventional	Conforming	Yes
MPF Government <sup>c</sup>	Government	Determined by the applicable government agency eligibility guidelines	Yes
MPF Xtra	Conventional	Conforming	MPF Loans are concurrently sold to the Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae).
MPF Direct	Conventional	Non-conforming (jumbo - up to \$2,500,000)	MPF Loans are concurrently sold to a third party investor.
MPF Government MBS	Government	Determined by the applicable government agency eligibility guidelines	Government Loans are held in our portfolio for a short period of time until such loans are pooled into Ginnie Mae MBS.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> PFIs share in the associated credit risk of these MPF Loan products in accordance with the FHFA Acquired Member Assets (AMA) regulation requirements.

We provide programmatic and operational support in our role as the administrator of the MPF Program on behalf of the other MPF Banks for a fee. MPF Banks may acquire whole loans from their PFIs to retain on their balance sheet and may purchase or sell participations in MPF Loans with other MPF Banks. With participations, the rights and obligations related to the MPF Loans are shared between the MPF Banks based upon their respective percentage participation interest in the related Master Commitment (MC). As of December 31, 2019, 4% of the overall unpaid principal balance of MPF Loans we own represents participations in other MPF Banks' MPF Loans. See **Risk Factors** starting on page 18 for more information about participations. Other MPF Banks' PFIs that participate in off balance sheet products sell MPF Loans directly to us.

#### Member PFIs

Members and eligible housing associates become PFIs of their respective MPF Bank by executing a PFI Agreement that provides the MPF Loan selling and servicing terms and conditions. The MPF Guides supplement the PFI Agreement and provides additional requirements for PFI eligibility including maintenance of anti predatory lending policies, errors and omissions insurance and a fidelity bond. All of the PFI's obligations under the PFI Agreement are secured under its advances agreement with the MPF Bank. A PFI is required to deliver collateral for their credit enhancement obligation (as further discussed below) and an MPF Bank can request additional collateral to secure the PFI's other obligations under the PFI Agreement, if necessary.

#### Mortgage Standards

For conventional MPF Loans held in our portfolio, PFIs are required to deliver mortgage loans that meet the underwriting and eligibility requirements in the PFI Agreement and the MPF Guides, unless a PFI is granted a waiver that exempts such PFI from complying with a specific requirement. MPF Loan requirements include:

b MPF 100 and MPF Plus are not currently offered.

Government Loans are insured or guaranteed by one of the following government agencies: the Federal Housing Administration (FHA); the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA); Rural Housing Service of the Department of Agriculture (RHS); or Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) (collectively, Government Loans).



#### (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

- A maximum loan-to-value (LTV) ratio of 95%;
- Mortgage Loans with LTVs greater than 80% must be insured by primary mortgage insurance (PMI);
- · Compliance with all applicable laws documented using standard Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac Uniform Instruments; and
- Meeting the definition of a Qualified Mortgage under the Truth and Lending Act (TILA).

Mortgage loans that are classified as high cost, high rate, or Home Ownership and Equity Protection Act loans, or loans in similar categories defined under predatory lending or abusive lending laws are not eligible under the MPF Program. We perform a quality assurance review of a selected sample of MPF Loans for each PFI in order to determine that the loans complied with the MPF Program requirements at the time of acquisition.

For our off balance sheet products and Government Loan product, PFIs are required to deliver mortgage loans that meet the applicable investor or government agency eligibility and underwriting requirements outlined in the MPF Guides.

We make customary representations and warranties regarding the eligibility of the off balance sheet MPF Loans to third party investors. If a loan eligibility requirement or other warranty is breached, these third parties could require us to repurchase the MPF Loan or provide an indemnity. PFIs make the same representations and warranties to us with respect to the MPF Loans. When a PFI sells a mortgage loan under any MPF Loan product that fails to comply with the representations and warranties, the PFI may be required to provide an indemnification covering related losses or to repurchase the MPF Loans if the failure cannot be cured. See **Risk Factors** starting on page 18 and **Mortgage Repurchase Risk** on page 65 for further information about MPF Loans repurchases.

#### Loss Structure for Credit Risk Sharing Products

For conventional MPF Loan products held in our portfolio, PFIs are required to share in the credit risk associated with the mortgage loans. Each MPF Loan delivered by a PFI is linked to a Master Commitment (MC) and losses arising from a mortgage loan are allocated to the appropriate loss layer in that MC. Credit losses not absorbed by the borrower's equity in the property and any primary mortgage insurance (if available), are allocated between a PFI and their MPF Bank in the following order:

- <u>The PFI's performance based CE Fees</u>. The PFI is paid a monthly credit enhancement fee for sharing the credit risk associated with these mortgage loans (CE Fees) and some of this fee may be performance based. CE Fees vary between 6 to 14 basis points depending on the product. We will withhold a PFI's scheduled performance based CE Fee in order to reimburse ourselves for any losses allocated to the First Loss Account (FLA).
- The MPF Bank's First Loss Account (FLA). The FLA functions as a tracking mechanism for our first layer of credit loss
  exposure before the PFI's credit enhancement obligation (CE Amount) would cover the next layer of losses. The amount of
  the FLA is agreed upon when an PFI begins to sell loans into an MC depending on the product. Our FLA exposure varies by
  MPF Loan product type and it can build over the life of the MC by 3-6 basis points annually or it can be fixed from 35-100
  basis points.
- The PFI's CE Amount. The PFI's CE Amount is a direct liability of the PFI to pay credit losses up to a specified amount, which may include proceeds from a provider of supplemental mortgage guaranty insurance (SMI). The CE Amount is determined by the MPF Bank consistent with the AMA regulation. For further details, see **Setting Credit Enhancement Levels** on page 64.
- The MPF Bank. After the CE Amount has been exhausted, the MPF Bank will absorb any further losses.

#### MPF Servicing

PFIs can retain the rights and responsibilities for servicing MPF Loans sold under the MPF Program or choose a servicing released option. If PFIs chose to retain servicing rights for MPF Loans sold under the MPF Xtra and MPF Government MBS products, we are contractually obligated to Fannie Mae and Ginnie Mae, respectively, with respect to servicing those loans. The MPF Direct product is servicing released only and we do not have any responsibilities related to the servicing of MPF Loans delivered under the MPF Direct product.

We monitor servicers that service (1) MPF Loans held in our portfolio and (2) MPF Loans that are sold under MPF Xtra and MPF Government MBS when we are contractually responsible to Fannie Mae or Ginnie Mae, respectively, for the loan servicing. If a servicer fails to comply with the servicing requirements, we can charge fees, require mortgage loan repurchase, request indemnification or terminate the servicer's right to service the MPF Loans.

#### Competition

We face competition in the markets for conventional loans, non-conforming loans, government loans, and loans with credit risk sharing arrangements from secondary market participants. Secondary market participants include, but are not limited to, dealers, banks, hedge funds, money managers, insurance companies, large mortgage aggregators, private investors, and other GSEs



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

such as Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. Some of these competitors have greater resources, larger volumes of business, and longer operating histories. As a result, our ongoing revenue derived from MPF Loan products may be affected by the volume of business done by our competitors.

#### **Other Activities**

#### Investments

We maintain a portfolio of investments for liquidity purposes and to provide additional earnings. To ensure the availability of funds to meet member credit needs, we maintain a portfolio of short-term liquid assets, principally overnight Federal Funds sold, and securities purchased under agreements to resell, entered into with or issued by highly rated institutions and other eligible counterparties. For further discussion of unsecured credit exposures related to our short-term investment portfolio, see **Unsecured Short Term Investments** on page 70.

Our longer-term investment debt securities portfolio includes securities issued by the U.S. government, U.S. government agencies, and GSEs, as well as investments in Federal Family Education Loan Program (FFELP) student loan asset-backed securities (ABS), and mortgage-backed securities (MBS) that are issued by GSEs or that were rated "AAA/Aaa" or "AA/Aa" from Moody's Investors Service (Moody's), Standard and Poor's Rating Service (S&P), or Fitch Ratings, Inc. (Fitch) at the time of purchase. For a discussion of how recent market conditions have affected the carrying value and ratings of these securities, see Investment Debt Securities by Rating on page 68. For this purpose, GSE includes Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and the Federal Farm Credit Banks Funding Corporation. Securities issued by GSEs are not guaranteed by the U.S. government.

Under FHFA regulations, we are prohibited from trading debt securities for speculative purposes or engaging in market-making activities. Additionally, we are prohibited from investing in certain types of securities or loans, including:

- instruments, such as common stock, that represent an ownership in an entity, other than common stock in small
  business investment companies (where one or more members or housing associates of the Bank also make a
  material investment in the same activity) or certain investments targeted to low-income households or communities;
- instruments issued by non-U.S. entities, other than those issued by U.S. branches and agency offices of foreign commercial banks;
- non-investment grade debt instruments, other than certain investments targeted to low-income households or communities, or instruments that were downgraded after purchase;
- whole mortgages or other whole loans, other than, (1) those acquired under our MPF Program, (2) certain
  investments targeted to low-income households or communities, (3) certain marketable direct obligations of state,
  local, or tribal government units or agencies, that are investment quality, (4) certain MBS or asset-backed securities
  backed by manufactured housing loans or home equity loans; and, (5) certain foreign housing loans authorized under
  the FHLB Act;
- interest-only or principal-only stripped securities;
- · residual-interest or interest-accrual classes of securities;
- fixed-rate MBS or eligible ABS, or floating-rate MBS or eligible ABS, that on the trade date are at rates equal to their
  contractual cap and that have average lives that vary by more than six years under an assumed instantaneous
  interest rate change of 300 basis points, unless the instrument qualifies as AMA; and
- · non-U.S. dollar-denominated securities.

FHFA regulations further limit our investment in MBS and ABS by requiring that these investments may not exceed 300% of our previous month-end regulatory capital on the day we purchase the securities and we may not exceed our holdings of such securities in any one calendar quarter by more than 50% of our total regulatory capital at the beginning of that quarter. For purposes of calculating the limit on our MBS/ABS portfolio, we value our investments in accordance with FHFA regulations based on amortized cost for securities classified as held-to-maturity or available-for-sale and on fair value for trading debt securities. Regulatory capital consists of our total capital stock (including the mandatorily redeemable capital stock) plus our retained earnings. This limitation does not apply to instruments qualifying as AMA, like newly issued Ginnie Mae securities that have been created through the MPF Government MBS product that are temporarily owned by the Bank.

Subject to regulatory limitations, we resumed making investments in MBS in June 2018.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

#### **Derivative Activities**

We engage in most of our derivative transactions with major broker-dealers as part of our interest rate risk management and hedging strategies. Additionally, we also enter into interest rate derivatives with our members in order to provide them with indirect access to the derivatives market. In instances where we do not use interest rate derivatives for our own hedging purposes, we act as an intermediary for our members by entering into an interest rate derivative directly with the member and then entering into an offsetting interest rate derivative transaction with a non-member counterparty. We do not act as a dealer in derivative transactions involving members.

The FHFA's regulations and our internal derivatives and hedging policies all establish guidelines for our use of interest rate derivatives. These regulations prohibit the speculative use of financial instruments authorized for hedging purposes. They also limit the amount of counterparty credit risk allowed. See **Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk** on page 73.

#### **Community Investment Activities**

We provide financing and direct funding tools that support the affordable housing and community lending initiatives of our members that benefit very low-, low-, and moderate-income individuals, households, businesses and neighborhoods. Outlined below is a more detailed description of the mission-related programs that we administer and fund:

Affordable Housing Program (AHP) - We offer AHP subsidies in the form of direct grants to members to stimulate affordable rental and homeownership opportunities for households with incomes at or below 80% of the area's median income, adjusted for family size. By regulation, we are required to contribute 10% of our income before assessments to fund AHP. Of that required contribution, we may allocate up to the greater of \$4.5 million or 35% to provide funds to members participating in our homeownership set-aside programs.

Direct grants are available under our competitive AHP to members in partnership with community sponsors and may be used to fund the acquisition, rehabilitation, and new construction of affordable rental or owner-occupied housing. We awarded competitive AHP subsidies of \$28 million for the years ended December 31, 2019 and December 31, 2018, for projects designed to provide housing to 2,671 and 2,566 households, respectively.

In addition, direct grants are available to members under our Downpayment Plus® homeownership set-aside programs and may be provided to eligible homebuyers to assist with down payment, closing, counseling, or rehabilitation costs in conjunction with an acquisition. During the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018, we funded \$20 million through our Downpayment Plus programs to assist 3,451 and 3,507 very low- to moderate-income homebuyers.

During 2020, we anticipate having \$35 million available in total for our Downpayment Plus programs and grants through our competitive AHP.

Community Advances Programs and related letters of credit - We offer programs where members may apply for advances or letters of credit to support affordable housing or community economic development lending. These programs provide advance funding at interest rates below regular advance rates for terms typically up to 10 years. Our Community Advances programs may be used to finance affordable homeownership housing, multi-family rental projects, industrial and manufacturing facilities, agricultural businesses, healthcare, educational centers, public or private infrastructure projects, or commercial businesses. As of December 31, 2019, and 2018, we had \$666 million and \$570 million respectively, in advances outstanding under the Community Advances programs and related letters of credit outstanding of \$102 million and \$116 million.

Community First Fund - Our Board of Directors approved \$50 million in 2011 to supplement our current affordable housing and community investment programs, which became the foundation for the Community First Fund (the Fund). The Fund is an innovative revolving credit facility designed to provide low cost, longer term financing to community development financial institutions, community development loan funds, and state housing finance authorities promoting affordable housing and economic development in our district. We approved our first loans under the Fund in 2014 and as of December 31, 2019, had \$45 million in loans outstanding and \$1 million in unfunded loan commitments.

#### **Deposits**

We accept deposits from our members, institutions eligible to become members, any institution for which we are providing correspondent services, other FHLBs, and other government instrumentalities. We offer several types of deposits to our deposit customers including demand, overnight, and term deposits. For a description of our liquidity requirements with respect to member deposits see **Liquidity** on page 47.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

#### **Funding**

#### **Consolidated Obligations**

Our primary source of funds is the sale to the public of FHLB debt instruments, called consolidated obligations, in the capital markets. Additional funds are provided by deposits, other borrowings, and the issuance of capital stock. Consolidated obligations, which consist of bonds and discount notes, are the joint and several liability of the FHLBs, although the primary obligation is with the individual FHLB that receives the proceeds from issuance. Consolidated obligations are issued to the public through the Office of Finance using authorized securities dealers. Consolidated obligations are backed only by the financial resources of the FHLBs and are not guaranteed by the U.S. government. See **Funding** on page 49 for further discussion.

#### Competition

We compete with the U.S. government, Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and other GSEs, as well as corporate, sovereign, and supranational entities, including the World Bank, for funds raised through the issuance of unsecured debt in the domestic and global debt markets. Increases in the supply of competing debt products may, in the absence of increases in demand, result in higher debt costs or lesser amounts of debt issued at the same cost than otherwise would be the case. For example, a change in the types or an increase in the amounts of U.S. Treasury issuance may affect our ability to raise funds because it provides alternative investment options. Furthermore, to the extent that investors perceive Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac or other issuers as having a higher level of government support, their debt securities may be more attractive to investors than FHLB System debt.

The FHLBs have traditionally had a diversified funding base of domestic and foreign investors, although investor demand for our debt depends in part on prevailing conditions in the financial markets. For further discussion of market conditions and their potential impact on us, see **Risk Factors** starting on page 18 and **Funding** on page 49.

Although the available supply of funds from the FHLBs' debt issuances has kept pace with the funding requirements of our members, there can be no assurance that this will continue to be the case.

#### **Business Environment**

Our financial condition and results of operations are influenced by the interest rate environment, global and national economies, local economies within our districts of Illinois and Wisconsin, and the conditions in the financial, housing, and credit markets. In particular, our net interest income is affected by several external factors, including market interest rate levels and volatility, credit spreads and the general state of the economy. We endeavor to manage our interest rate risk by entering into fair value hedge relationships utilizing interest rate derivative agreements to hedge a portion of our advances, available-for-sale debt securities, and debt. We are exposed to the variability in the total net proceeds received from forecasted zero-coupon discount note issuances, which is attributable to changes in the benchmark interest rate, LIBOR. As a result, we enter into cash flow hedge relationships utilizing derivative agreements to hedge the total net proceeds received from our "rolling" forecasted zero-coupon discount note issuances attributable to changes in LIBOR. Additionally, we enter into economic hedges using derivative agreements to hedge our mortgage-related assets, which are sensitive to changes in mortgage rates. Many of our balance sheet hedging strategies are subject to change due to the planned phase-out of LIBOR. For further discussion of the potential impact of the LIBOR transition, see **Risk Factors** starting on page 18 and **LIBOR Transition** on page 49.

Our profitability is significantly affected by the interest rate environment. We earn relatively narrow spreads between yields on assets and the rates paid on corresponding liabilities. A large portion of our advance business is based on our funding costs plus a narrow spread. We also expect our ability to generate significant earnings on capital and short-term investments will be affected by the Federal Reserve's policy of setting the short-term Federal Funds rate. Income and spreads can also be affected by changes in regulations or accounting rules, which can change the funding, hedging, and the liquidity profile of our balance sheet. Short-term interest rates also directly affect our earnings on invested capital.

Our operating results are affected not only by rising or falling interest rates, but also by the particular path and volatility of changes in market interest rates and the prevailing shape of the yield curve. A flattening of the yield curve tends to compress our net interest margin, while steepening of the curve offers better opportunities to purchase assets with wider net interest spreads. The performance of our MPF Loans held for investment portfolio is particularly affected by shifts in the 10-year maturity range of the yield curve, which heavily influences mortgage rates and potential refinancings. Yield curve shape can also influence the pace at which borrowers refinance or prepay their existing loans, as borrowers may select shorter-duration mortgage products in a refinancing. In addition, our higher yielding private label MBS portfolio continues its expected runoff. As higher coupon MPF Loans mature along with higher yielding private label MBS, the return of principal may not be invested in assets with a



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

comparable yield, resulting in a potential decline in the aggregate yield on the remaining MPF Loans held for investment portfolio and investment debt securities and a possible decrease in our net interest margin.

Lastly, the volume related to our MPF Xtra and MPF Direct programs as well as our Ginnie Mae MBS issuances also are influenced by the interest rate environment, global and national economies, local economies within our districts of Illinois and Wisconsin, and the conditions in the financial, housing and credit markets.

#### Oversight, Audits, and Legislative and Regulatory Developments

#### **Regulatory Oversight**

We are supervised and regulated by the FHFA, an independent federal agency in the executive branch of the U.S. government. The FHFA's operating and capital expenditures are funded by assessments on the FHLBs; no tax dollars or other appropriations support the operations of our regulator. To assess our safety and soundness, the FHFA conducts annual, on-site examinations as well as periodic on-site reviews. Additionally, we are required to submit monthly financial information on our condition and results of operations to the FHFA.

The Government Corporations Control Act, to which we are subject, provides that before a government corporation issues and offers obligations to the public, the Secretary of the Treasury (Secretary) shall prescribe the form, denomination, maturity, interest rate, and conditions of the obligations, the method and time issued, and the selling price. The FHLB Act also authorizes the Secretary discretion to purchase consolidated obligations up to an aggregate principal amount of \$4.0 billion. No borrowings under this authority have been outstanding since 1977.

We must submit annual management reports to Congress, the President, the Office of Management and Budget, and the Comptroller General. These reports include a statement of financial condition, a statement of operations, a statement of cash flows, a statement of internal accounting and administrative control systems, and the report of the independent public accounting firm on our financial statements.

Pursuant to FHFA regulations, we last published the results of our annual severely adverse economic conditions stress test to our public website at www.fhlbc.com on November 15, 2019. These regulations were amended on December 16, 2019, as discussed in **Recent Legislative and Regulatory Developments** below.

#### **Regulatory Audits**

The Comptroller General has authority under the FHLB Act to audit or examine us and to decide the extent to which we are fairly and effectively fulfilling the purposes of the FHLB Act. Furthermore, the Government Corporations Control Act provides that the Comptroller General may review any audit of the financial statements conducted by an independent registered public accounting firm. If the Comptroller General conducts such a review, then the results and any recommendations must be reported to the Congress, the Office of Management and Budget, and the FHLB in question. The Comptroller General may also conduct a separate audit of any of our financial statements.

#### **Recent Legislative and Regulatory Developments**

#### **FHLB Membership Request for Input**

On February 24, 2020, the FHFA issued a Request for Input on FHLB membership (the Membership RFI). The Membership RFI, as part of a holistic review of FHLB membership, seeks public input on whether the FHFA's existing regulation on FHLB membership, located at 12 CFR part 1263, remains adequate to ensure: (i) the FHLB System remains safe and sound and able to provide liquidity to members in a variety of conditions; and (ii) the advancement of the FHLBs' housing finance and community development mission. The FHFA is seeking input on several broad questions relating to FHLB membership requirements, as well as on certain more specific questions related to the implementation of the current membership regulation. Responses are due by no later than June 23, 2020.

While it is uncertain what actions, if any, the FHFA will take as a result of the responses received from the Membership RFI, any rulemaking actions to update the current FHLB membership regulation may impact FHLB membership eligibility or requirements, and ultimately our business, business opportunities, and results of operation.

#### Advisory Bulletin 2020-01 Federal Home Loan Bank Risk Management of Acquired Member Assets (AMA).

On January 31, 2020, the FHFA released guidance on risk management of acquired member assets. The guidance communicates the FHFA's expectations with respect to an FHLB's funding of its members through the purchase of eligible



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

mortgage loans and includes expectations that an FHLB will have board-established limits on AMA portfolios and management-established thresholds to serve as monitoring tools to manage AMA-related risk exposure. The guidance provides that the board of an FHLB should ensure that the bank serves as a liquidity source for members, and an FHLB should ensure that its portfolio limits do not result in the FHLB's acquisition of mortgages from smaller members being "crowded out" by the acquisition of mortgages from larger members. The guidance sets forth the expectation that the board of an FHLB should set limits on the size and growth of portfolios and on acquisitions from a single participating financial institution. In addition, the guidance sets forth that the board of an FHLB should consider concentration risk in the areas of geographic area, high-balance loans, and in third-party loan originations.

We continue to evaluate the potential impact of this advisory bulletin on our financial condition or results of operations.

#### FHFA Proposed Amendments to Stress Test Rule.

On December 16, 2019, the FHFA published a proposed rule amending its stress testing rule, consistent with section 401 of the Economic Growth, Regulatory Relief, and Consumer Protection Act of 2018 (EGRRCPA) to: (i) raise the minimum threshold to conduct periodic stress tests for entities regulated by the FHFA from those with consolidated assets of more than \$10 billion to those with consolidated assets of more than \$250 billion; (ii) remove the requirements for FHLBs to conduct stress tests; and (iii) remove the adverse scenario from the list of required scenarios. The proposed rule maintains the FHFA's discretion to require that an FHLB with total consolidated assets below the \$250 billion threshold conduct stress testing. The proposed amendments align the FHFA's stress testing rules with rules adopted by other financial institution regulators that implement the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act stress testing requirements, as amended by the EGRRCPA.

The results of our most recent annual severely adverse economic conditions stress test were published to our public website on November 15, 2019. If the rule is adopted as proposed, it will eliminate these stress testing requirements for FHLBs, unless the FHFA exercises its discretion to require stress testing in the future. We do not expect this rule, if adopted as proposed, to have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

#### **FDIC Brokered Deposits Restrictions.**

On December 12, 2019, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) issued a proposed rule to amend its brokered deposits restrictions that apply to less than well capitalized insured depository institutions. The FDIC states that the proposed amendments are intended to modernize its brokered deposit regulations and would establish a new framework for analyzing whether deposits placed through deposit placement arrangements qualify as brokered deposits. These deposit placement arrangements include those between insured depository institutions and third parties, such as financial technology companies, for a variety of business purposes, including access to deposits. By creating a new framework for analyzing certain provisions of the "deposit broker" definition, including shortening the list of activities considered "facilitating" and expanding the scope of the "primary purpose" exception, the proposed rule would narrow the definition of "deposit broker" and exclude more deposits from treatment as "brokered deposits." The proposed rule would also establish an application and reporting process with respect to the primary purpose exception.

If this rule is adopted as proposed, we do not expect it to materially affect our financial condition or results of operations. However, if adopted as proposed, the rule could affect the demand for certain Bank advance products, but the extent of the impact is uncertain.

#### 2019 Wisconsin Act 65.

On November 25, 2019, Wisconsin Governor Tony Evers signed into law legislation that eliminates the restriction on the amount of collateral Wisconsin state-chartered banks can pledge when borrowing from the Bank and removes the 20 -year term limit on advances from the Bank. The legislation serves to provide parity for Wisconsin state banks with national banks, thrifts, and credit union members when borrowing or pledging collateral to the Bank. We believe this new law will be beneficial to certain members, but we do not expect it to materially affect our financial condition or results of operations.

#### FHFA Supervisory Letter - Planning for LIBOR Phase-Out.

On September 27, 2019, the FHFA issued a Supervisory Letter (the Supervisory Letter) to the FHLBs that the FHFA stated is designed to ensure the FHLBs will be able to identify and prudently manage the risks associated with the termination of LIBOR in a safe and sound manner. The Supervisory Letter provides that the FHLBs should, by March 31, 2020, cease entering into new LIBOR referenced financial assets, liabilities, and derivatives with maturities beyond December 31, 2021 for all product types except investments. With respect to investments, the FHLBs must, by December 31, 2019, stop purchasing investments that reference LIBOR and mature after December 31, 2021. These phase-out dates do not apply to collateral accepted by the FHLBs. The Supervisory Letter also directs the FHLBs to update their pledged collateral certification reporting requirements by March 31, 2020 in an effort to encourage members to distinguish LIBOR-linked collateral maturing after December 31, 2021. The FHLBs are expected to cease entering into LIBOR-indexed financial instruments maturing after December 31, 2021 by the



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

deadlines specified in the Supervisory Letter, subject to limited exceptions granted by the FHFA for LIBOR-linked products serving compelling mission, risk mitigating, and/or hedging purposes that do not currently have readily available alternatives.

As a result of the Supervisory Letter, beginning April 1, 2020, we expect to suspend transactions in certain structured advances and advances with terms directly linked to LIBOR that mature after December 31, 2021. In addition, beginning April 1, 2020, we expect to no longer enter into consolidated obligation bonds and derivatives with swaps, caps, or floors indexed to LIBOR that terminate after December 31, 2021. We have ceased purchasing investments that reference LIBOR and mature after December 31, 2021.

We continue to evaluate the potential impact of the Supervisory Letter on our financial condition and results of operations, but we may experience lower overall demand or increased costs for its advances, which in turn may negatively impact the future composition of our balance sheet, capital stock levels, primary mission assets ratio, and net income.

#### FHFA Advisory Bulletin 2019-03 - Capital Stock Management.

On August 14, 2019, the FHFA issued an advisory bulletin providing for each FHLB to maintain a ratio of at least two percent of capital stock to total assets in order to help preserve the cooperative structure incentives that encourage members to remain fully engaged in the oversight of their investment in an FHLB. In February 2020, the FHFA began to consider the proportion of capital stock to assets, measured on a daily average basis at month end, when assessing each FHLB's capital management practices.

To maintain the recommended level of capital stock under this guidance, we may reduce investments as necessary, which may have a negative impact on our financial condition and results of operation. If our balance sheet composition changes in the future, we may consider other capital management measures to maintain the recommended ratio of at least two percent of capital stock to total assets. This capital stock ratio does not include other components of regulatory capital, such as retained earnings. For more information about how we calculate and comply with our regulatory capital requirements, see **Minimum Capital Requirements** on page F-39 of this Form 10-K.

#### SEC Final Rule on Auditor Independence with Respect to Certain Loans or Debtor-Creditor Relationships.

On July 5, 2019, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) published a final rule, which became effective on October 3, 2019 (the SEC Final Rule), that adopts amendments to its auditor independence rules to modify the analysis that must be conducted to determine whether an auditor is independent when the auditor has a lending relationship with certain shareholders of an audit client at any time during an audit or professional engagement period. The SEC Final Rule, among other things, focuses the analysis on beneficial ownership rather than on both record and beneficial ownership; replaces the existing ten percent bright-line shareholder ownership test with a "significant influence" test; and adds a "known through reasonable inquiry" standard with respect to identifying beneficial owners of the audit client's equity securities.

Under the prior loan rule on debtor-creditor relationships, the independence of an accounting firm generally could be called into question if it or a covered person in the accounting firm received a loan from a lender that is a "record or beneficial owner of more than ten percent of the audit client's equity securities." The SEC Final Rule replaced the existing ten percent bright-line test with a significant influence test similar to that referenced in other SEC rules and based on concepts applied in the Financial Accounting Standards Board ASC Topic 323.

Under the SEC Final Rule, which is now in effect, with certain exceptions, the receipt of loans from the beneficial owners of an audit client's equity securities where such beneficial owner has significant influence over the audit client would impair the independence of the auditor. The analysis under the SEC Final Rule would be based on the facts and circumstances and would focus on whether the beneficial owners of an audit client's equity securities have the ability to exercise significant influence over the operating and financial policies of an audit client.

PriceWaterhouseCoopers LLP, which serves as the Bank's independent registered accounting firm, reported that there was no independence matter under the SEC Final Rule at December 31, 2019.

#### Final Rule on FHLBank Capital Requirements.

On February 20, 2019, the FHFA published a final rule, effective January 1, 2020, that adopted, with amendments, the regulations of the Federal Housing Finance Board (predecessor to the FHFA) (the Finance Board) pertaining to the capital requirements for the FHLBs. The final rule carries over most of the prior Finance Board regulations without material change but substantively revises the credit risk component of the risk-based capital requirement, as well as the limitations on extensions of unsecured credit. The main revisions remove requirements that the Bank calculate credit risk capital charges and unsecured credit limits based on ratings issued by a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (NRSRO), and instead require that it establish and use its own internal rating methodology (which may include, but not solely rely on, NRSRO ratings). The rule imposes a new credit risk capital charge for cleared derivatives. The final rule also revises the percentages used in the



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

regulation's tables to calculate credit risk capital charges for advances and for non-mortgage assets. The final rule also rescinds certain contingency liquidity requirements that were part of the Finance Board regulations, as these requirements are now addressed in an Advisory Bulletin on FHLB Liquidity Guidance issued by the FHFA in 2018.

We do not expect this rule to materially affect our financial condition or results of operations.

#### FDIC Final Rule on Reciprocal Deposits.

On February 4, 2019, the FDIC published a final rule, effective March 6, 2019, related to the treatment of "reciprocal deposits," which implements Section 202 of the EGRRCPA. The final rule exempts, for certain insured depository institutions (depositories), certain reciprocal deposits (deposits acquired by a depository from a network of participating depositories that enables depositors to receive FDIC insurance coverage for the entire amount of their deposits) from being subject to FDIC restrictions on brokered deposits. Under the rule, well-capitalized and well-rated depositories are not required to treat reciprocal deposits as brokered deposits up to the lesser of twenty percent of their total liabilities or \$5 billion. Reciprocal deposits held by depositories that are not well-capitalized and well-rated may also be excluded from brokered deposit treatment in certain circumstances.

We do not expect the rule to materially affect our financial condition or results of operations. The rule could, however, enhance depositories' liquidity by increasing the attractiveness of deposits that exceed FDIC insurance limits. This could affect the demand for certain Bank advance products.

In 2019 and 2020, certain developments applicable to swaps occurred:

Uncertainty Regarding Brexit. In June 2016, the United Kingdom (the UK) voted in favor of leaving the European Union (the EU), and in March 2017, Article 50 of the Lisbon Treaty was invoked, commencing a period of negotiations between the UK and the European Council for the UK's withdrawal from the EU, which was subsequently extended by the European Council members in agreement with the UK. On January 31, 2020, the UK withdrew from the EU under the European Union Withdrawal Agreement Act 2020, with a transition period to last until December 31, 2020, which period may be extended for an additional two years. During this transition period, the UK and the EU will negotiate the details of their future relationship, including what conditions will apply to EU-based entities that want to do business with the UK, and vice versa, after the transition period.

We have UK-based and EU-based non-cleared swap counterparties, and a UK-based DCO for our cleared derivatives. At this time it is not possible to predict the date on which the transition period will end or whether any agreement reached between the UK and the EU will have a material adverse effect on our cleared and uncleared derivatives positions.

Commodities Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) No-action Relief for LIBOR Amendments. On December 17, 2019, three divisions of the CFTC issued no-action letters to provide relief with respect to the transition away from LIBOR and other interbank offered rates (IBORs). Among other forms of relief, the letters, subject to certain limitations:

- (i) permit registered swap dealers, major swap participants, security-based swap participants, and major security-based swap participants (covered swap entities) to amend an uncleared swap entered into before the compliance date of the CFTC's uncleared swap margin requirements (such swap, a legacy swap, and such requirements, the CFTC margin rules) to include LIBOR or other IBOR fallbacks without the legacy swap becoming subject to the CFTC margin rules; and
- (ii) provide time-limited relief, until December 31, 2021, for (a) swaps that are not subject to the central clearing requirement because they were executed prior to the relevant compliance date and (b) swaps that are subject to the trade execution requirement to be amended to include LIBOR or other IBOR fallbacks without becoming subject to such clearing or trade execution requirements.

We believe this relief may assist in the market's and our transition away from LIBOR.

Margin and Capital Requirements for Covered Swap Entities. On November 7, 2019, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the Federal Reserve Board, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Farm Credit Administration and the FHFA (collectively, the Agencies) jointly published a proposed rule that would amend the Agencies' regulations that established minimum margin and capital requirements for uncleared swaps (the prudential margin rules) for covered swap entities under the jurisdiction of one of the Agencies. In addition to other changes, the proposed amendments would permit those uncleared swaps entered into by a covered swap entity before the compliance date of the prudential margin rules to retain their legacy status and not become subject to the prudential margin rules in the event that such legacy swaps are amended to replace LIBOR or another rate that is reasonably expected to be discontinued or is reasonably determined to have lost its relevance as a reliable benchmark due to a significant impairment. Among other things, the proposed rule would also amend the prudential margin rules to (i) extend the phase-in compliance date of the covered swap entities for initial margin requirements from September 1, 2020 to September 1, 2021 for counterparties with an average daily aggregate notional amount (AANA) of non-cleared swaps from \$8 billion to \$50 billion, (ii) clarify that a covered swap entity does not have to execute initial margin trading documentation with a counterparty prior to the time that the counterparty is required to collect or post initial margin, and (iii) permit legacy swaps



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

to retain their legacy status and not become subject to the prudential margin rules in the event that they are amended due to certain life-cycle activities, such as reductions of notional amounts or portfolio compression exercises.

On October 24, 2019, the CFTC proposed an amendment to its CFTC margin rules, which among other changes, would similarly extend the phase-in compliance date for initial margin requirements from September 1, 2020 to September 1, 2021 for counterparties with an AANA of non-cleared swaps from \$8 billion to \$50 billion. We do not expect any of the foregoing proposed amendments, if adopted as proposed, to materially affect our financial condition or results of operations.

CFTC Advisory on Initial Margin Documentation Requirements. On July 9, 2019, the CFTC issued an advisory (the CFTC Advisory) on the CFTC margin rules to clarify that documentation governing the posting, collection, and custody of initial margin is not required to be completed until such time as the aggregate unmargined exposure to a counterparty (and its margin affiliates) exceeds an initial margin threshold of \$50 million imposed by regulation. The CFTC Advisory instructs CFTC covered swap entities to closely monitor initial margin amounts if they are approaching the \$50 million initial margin threshold with a counterparty and to take appropriate steps to ensure that the required documentation is in place at such time as the threshold is reached. We are closely monitoring our initial margin thresholds on a counterparty-by-counterparty basis and are evaluating the impact of the CFTC Advisory on our documentation requirements.

#### **Taxation and AHP Assessments**

We are exempt, by statute, from all federal, state, and local taxation except for real estate property taxes, which are a component of our lease payments for office space or on real estate we own as a result of foreclosure on MPF Loans. In lieu of taxes, we set aside funds for our AHP at a calculated rate of 10% of income before assessments. For details on our assessments, see **Note 11 - Affordable Housing Program** to the financial statements.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

Item 1A. Risk Factors. Business Risks

Our business and results of operations may be adversely affected by the U.S housing and mortgage markets, as well as other economic conditions, and related U.S. government policies.

Our business and results of operations are sensitive to the U.S. housing and mortgage markets, as well as international, domestic and district-specific markets and other economic conditions. For example, adverse trends in the mortgage lending sector and residential real estate sector, including declines in housing prices or deterioration in loan performance trends, could reduce the value of collateral pledged to secure member credit. These trends could also lead to a reduction in the fair value of the Bank's investments and MPF Loan portfolio, could adversely affect demand for Bank products, and could cause members to default on their credit obligations to us. Moreover, adverse trends in employment levels, a slowdown in regional or national economic activity, geopolitical instability, trade disruptions, or a sustained capital market correction could adversely affect overall economic conditions. If economic and market conditions deteriorate, the Bank's financial condition, results of operation, ability to pay dividends, meet dividend guidance or projections, or redeem or repurchase capital stock could be adversely impacted.

Our business and results of operations are also affected by the fiscal and monetary policies and actions of the federal government and its agencies in response to adverse economic conditions, including the Federal Reserve, which regulates of the supply of money and credit in the United States. For example, in late 2019, in an effort to stabilize rates, the Federal Reserve began conducting overnight repurchase operations for the first time since the financial crisis, which results in increased competition for our advances. Additionally, on March 3, 2020, the Federal Reserve instituted a 0.5% emergency rate cut in the face of potential market disruption and economic slowdown as a result of the outbreak of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Although it is uncertain, there may be related rate cuts in the future. Any federal government actions and policies, including rate cuts, may directly and indirectly influence interest rates on the Bank's assets and liabilities, the demand for FHLB debt or advances, or prepayment on our MPF Loans and investments with associated reinvestment risks, which could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, and ability to pay dividends.

The Bank and our members are subject to and affected by a complex body of laws and regulations, which could change or be applied in a manner detrimental to our business operations, and adversely affect our financial condition.

We are a GSE organized under the authority of the FHLB Act and are governed by Federal laws and the regulations of the FHFA. From time to time, Congress has amended the FHLB Act and adopted other legislation in ways that have significantly affected the FHLBs and the manner in which the FHLBs carry out their housing finance mission and business operations. New or modified legislation enacted by Congress or regulations or guidance adopted by the FHFA or other agencies could have a negative effect on our ability to conduct business or our costs of doing business, including impacting our ability to effectively respond or adapt to changing market conditions.

The FHFA's extensive regulatory authority over the FHLBs includes the authority to liquidate, merge, consolidate, or redistrict the FHLBs. With respect to a merger or consolidation affecting us, members will be subject to the terms and conditions of any plan of merger and/or terms established or approved by the FHFA. Likewise, members' rights in a liquidation will be subject to approval of the FHFA and may be inconsistent with our capital plan. The FHFA also has authority over FHLB liquidity and capital requirements, and authority over the scope of permissible FHLB products and activities (including the authority to impose limits on those products and activities). We can not predict whether the FHFA may issue future rule changes that could impact our business or our members.

Changes in our statutory or regulatory requirements or policies or in their application could result in changes in, among other things, our membership base; our cost of funds; liquidity requirements; retained earnings and capital requirements; accounting policies; debt issuance limits; dividend payment limits; the form of dividend payments; capital redemption and repurchase limits; permissible business activities; advance pricing and structure; letter of credit pricing; compliance requirements; operational processes; and the size, scope, or nature of our lending, investment, MPF Program activities; or how we manage our balance sheet; all and any of which could be detrimental to our business operations and financial condition.

In addition, as Congress and the Administration continue to consider reforms to the U.S. housing finance system, including the resolution of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, any legislative proposals or administrative actions could directly or indirectly impact GSEs that support the U.S. housing market, including the FHLBs.

Moreover, new or modified legislation or regulations governing or impacting our members and counterparties may affect our ability to conduct business or cost of doing business. For example, the Bank, its members, and counterparties have been impacted by the regulations under the Dodd-Frank Act, which made significant changes to the overall regulatory framework of the U.S. financial system. Specifically, regulatory changes under Dodd-Frank, as amended, have impacted how our insured depository members manage their liquidity and capital, and may impact the demand for our advances.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

See **Recent Legislative and Regulatory Developments** on page 13 for more information about recent regulatory developments, including the FDIC's proposal to overhaul brokered deposit regulation, which may impact the competitiveness of our advance products, our business operations and our financial condition.

#### We face competition for advances, which could adversely affect our business.

Our primary business is making advances to members. We compete with other suppliers of wholesale funding, both secured and unsecured, including investment banks, commercial banks, the Federal Reserve, and, in certain circumstances, other FHLBs with which members have a relationship through affiliates. Changes to legislation or regulations affecting our members, changes to our members' business models, or the availability of alternative funding sources, may negatively affect our advance levels. Moreover, if we are unable to structure our advance products and pricing to satisfy the specific funding requirements of all members, our members may turn to other sources of liquidity and our advance levels could decrease.

We may make changes in policies, programs, and agreements affecting members' access to advances and other credit products, the MPF Program, the AHP, and other programs, products, and services. As a result of these changes some members may choose to obtain financing from alternative sources. For example, we may make changes to our collateral guidelines, and to the extent that members view a tightening of credit and collateral requirements as unfavorable, we may experience a decrease in our levels of business. Further, many competitors are not subject to the same regulations as us, which may enable those competitors to offer products and terms that we are not able to offer. Any change made in pricing our advances to compete with these alternative funding sources may decrease our profitability on advances. Additionally, as we manage our refunding risk and maintain compliance with our liquidity requirements and other regulatory guidance, any resulting increase in advance pricing may decrease demand for our advances. State and federal regulators' perception of the stability and reliability of our advances can also directly impact the amount of advances used by our members. A decrease in advance demand or a decrease in profitability on advances could adversely impact our financial condition and results of operations.

Our and our members' inability to adapt products and services to evolving industry standards and customer preference amid a highly competitive and regulated landscape, while managing expenditures, could harm our business.

Our and our members' success depend on the ability to adapt products and services to evolving industry standards and to meet customer needs, particularly amid a highly competitive and regulated landscape that may impact our ability to effectively respond to changing market conditions. The widespread adoption of new technologies could require substantial expenditures. We and our members are also faced with increasing operating costs in managing cybersecurity risks. We and our members may not be successful in developing or introducing new products, systems, and services to keep pace with larger competitors, in integrating new products, systems, or services into existing platforms, in responding or adapting to changes in customer behavior or preferences, and in reducing costs, all of which may harm our business and results of operations.

The loss of significant members could result in lower demand for our products and services, and negatively impact our financial condition and results of operation.

At December 31, 2019, our five largest advance borrowers held 54% of total advances outstanding. The loss of significant members or a significant reduction in the level of business they conduct with us, whether as a result of regulatory changes, market conditions, the competitive factors discussed herein or otherwise, would likely lower overall demand for our products and services in the future and adversely impact our financial condition and results of operations.

In 2016, the FHFA adopted regulatory changes that disqualified captive insurance companies from FHLB membership. This rule impacts our three captive insurance company members who will have their memberships terminated by February 2021. As of December 31, 2019, these captive insurance company members had \$13.9 billion in advances outstanding at par, which was 27.7% of our total advances outstanding. The advances to our captive insurance company members, which may remain outstanding until they mature, have a weighted remaining tenor of approximately 4 years as of December 31, 2019. However, after our captive insurance company members have their membership terminated and once their advances mature, advance and capital stock levels would decrease. Unless we experience an increased demand for our advance products from our current or future members, this will result in a material decrease in our outstanding advance levels and our results of operation may be adversely affected. Further, we could experience lower demand for advances and other products and services, including letters of credit. To the extent there is a decrease in our advance and other product levels, our primary mission asset ratio and supplemental mission asset ratio and activities may be negatively impacted. The magnitude of the impact will depend, in part, on our size and profitability at the time of membership termination or maturity of related advances.

Additionally, statutory or regulatory requirements have contributed to consolidation in the financial industry, and could reduce the number of current and potential members in our district. Additionally, for a variety of reasons, including regulatory pressure to simplify their organizational structures, parent institutions may decide to dissolve our members or merge our members out of



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

district, resulting in our loss of such members. We lost 30 members due to mergers and acquisitions in 2019. Twenty-six of these members were acquired by other members in our district and four were acquired by out-of-district institutions. As depository institutions continue to experience consolidation due to increased regulatory burden, greater competition from non-bank "Fintech" companies, lower interest margin, and/or higher technology costs that incentivize scale, we may lose a member or members whose business and capital stock investments are significant to our business. This loss of business could negatively impact our business operations, financial condition, and results of operations.

Failure to meet minimum regulatory capital requirements could affect our ability to conduct business and could adversely affect our earnings.

We are subject to certain minimum capital requirements under the FHLB Act, as amended, and the FHFA rules and regulations that include total capital, leverage capital, and risk-based capital requirements. If we are unable to satisfy our minimum capital requirements, we could be subject to certain capital restoration requirements and prohibited from paying dividends and redeeming or repurchasing capital stock without the prior approval of the FHFA, which could adversely affect a member's investment in our capital stock. Furthermore, any suspension of dividends and/or capital stock repurchases and redemptions could decrease member confidence, which in turn could reduce advance demand and net income should members elect to use alternative sources of wholesale funding. For further discussion of our minimum regulatory capital requirements, see **Note 12 - Capital and Mandatorily Redeemable Capital Stock (MRCS)** to the financial statements.

Changes in the perception, status or regulation of GSEs and the related effect on debt issuance could reduce demand or increase the cost of the FHLBs' debt issuance and adversely affect our earnings.

The FHLBs are GSEs organized under the authority of the FHLB Act. Negative news articles, industry reports, and other announcements relating to any GSE could create pressure on debt pricing for all GSEs, as investors could perceive such instruments as bearing increased risk. Moreover, the scope, timing, and effect of any regulatory reform affecting the GSEs, including the ultimate resolution to the conservatorship of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, could have a significant effect on the FHLB system and could negatively change the perception of the risks associated with the GSEs and their debt securities. Any such negative information or other factors could result in the FHLBs having to pay a higher rate of interest on consolidated obligations to make them attractive to investors, which could negatively affect the FHLBs' results of operations or access to funding.

How successfully we are able to manage our balance sheet in the face of factors such as changes in the economic environment, changes in member demand, or increased guidance from our regulator may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

If the composition of our balance sheet significantly changes in some manner, whether as a result of the economic environment or other factors like member demand, we would be presented with challenges. If we are unable to successfully maintain our balance sheet and cost infrastructure at an appropriate composition and size scaled to member demand, including as a result of the regulatory limitations described below, our results of operations and financial condition may be negatively impacted.

Regulatory guidance from the FHFA, our regulator, may have an effect on how we manage our balance sheet and cost infrastructure, and may limit our ability to effectively adapt to changing conditions. The following are examples of regulatory guidance that constrains our balance sheet management and operations:

- We are subject to a mission asset ratio requirement, as further described on page 5, which may impact our ability to make new investments through various advance demand cycles.
- We are subject to guidance providing for each FHLB to maintain a ratio of at least two percent of capital stock to total
  assets, as discussed in Recent Legislative and Regulatory Developments on page 13, which may impact how we
  manage our capital. Moreover, to maintain the recommended level of capital stock under this guidance, the Bank may
  reduce investments as necessary, which may have a negative impact on the Bank's financial condition and results of
  operation.
- As further discussed in Liquidity Measures on page 47, we are subject to the Liquidity AB, which went into full effect on December 31, 2019. This guidance communicates the FHFA's expectations with respect to the maintenance of sufficient liquidity to enable the FHLBs to fund advances and letters of credit for members during a sustained capital markets disruption. To satisfy our current liquidity requirements, we generally maintain increased balances in short-term investments. Under certain market conditions, the Liquidity AB may require us to hold additional liquid assets. Maintaining large balances of liquid assets may reduce our ability to invest in higher-yielding assets, and may in turn negatively impact net interest income. Additionally, to the extent that the Bank adjusts pricing for its short-term advances and letters of credit as a result of the Liquidity AB, these products may become less competitive, which may adversely affect advance and capital stock levels as well as letters of credit levels, and ultimately our net income. Moreover, in certain circumstances, we may need to fund overnight or shorter-term investments and advances with



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

- discount notes that have maturities that extend beyond the maturities of the related investments or advances. Net interest income on investments and advances may be reduced.
- As further discussed in Liquidity Measures on page 47, Liquidity AB provides guidance on maintaining appropriate
  funding gaps, compliance with which, under certain market conditions, may increase our cost of funding if we are
  required to achieve the appropriate funding gap by using longer term funding, on which we generally pay higher
  interest than on our short-term funding. As a result, our net interest income on investments and advances may be
  reduced.

The loss of key personnel or difficulties recruiting and retaining qualified personnel could adversely impact our business and financial results.

Much of our future success depends on the continued availability and service of senior management personnel. The loss of any of our executive officers or other key senior management personnel could harm our business. Additionally, we must continue to recruit, retain and motivate a qualified and diverse pool of employees, both to maintain our current business, including succession planning, and to execute our strategic initiatives. If we are unable to recruit, retain and motivate such employees to maintain our current business and support our projected growth, our business and financial performance may be adversely affected.

Limitations on the payment of dividends and repurchase of excess capital stock, failure to meet our dividend guidance or projections, or future changes to our capital stock requirements may adversely affect the effective operation of our business model.

Our business model is based on the goal of maintaining a balance between our housing mission and our objective to provide a reasonable return on our members' investment in the cooperative. We work to achieve this balance by delivering low-cost credit to help our members meet the credit needs of their communities while striving to pay a reasonable dividend on our Class B2 membership stock and a higher dividend on Class B1 activity stock in order to recognize those members that are using our products. See **Dividend Payments** on page 58.

Under FHFA regulations, the FHLBs may pay dividends on their stock only out of previously retained earnings or current net income, and our ability to pay dividends is subject to statutory and regulatory restrictions and is dependent upon our ability to continue to generate net income. Further, the level of our dividend payments is restricted by our retained earnings and dividend policy as further described under **Retained Earnings and Dividend Policy** section on page 58. Relatedly, our ability to meet any dividend guidance or projections may be impacted by a change in financial or economic conditions, regulatory and statutory limitations, our retained earnings and dividend policy, and any other relevant factors. As a result of these factors, we may be unable to pay dividends, unable maintain a higher dividend on Class B1 activity stock, unable to meet any dividend guidance or projections, or the level of dividends could be significantly reduced.

To the extent that current and prospective members determine that our dividend and/or dividend guidance or projections are insufficient or our ability to pay future dividends or repurchase excess capital stock becomes limited (see the risk factor discussing limitations on our ability to repurchase capital stock on page 22 of the **Risk Factors** section), we may be unable to expand our membership and may experience decreased member demand for products requiring capital stock purchases and increased membership requests for withdrawals that may adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

To the extent that we are unable to maintain our current capital stock requirements and/or continue to offer the Reduced Capitalization Advance Program ("RCAP") ( which reduces a member's activity stock requirement for certain advances), or to the extent we effect future changes to our capital plan, member utilization of the Bank may be impacted, which in turn may adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

A decline and shift in national mortgage originations, the competitive landscape, and the loss of certain PFIs in the future may negatively impact our business.

While national mortgage originations increased in 2019, they were in a downward trend from the end of 2016 through 2018, with the bulk of new originations coming from non-bank originators who are not eligible to be members of the FHLBs and therefore not eligible to participate in our MPF Program. To the extent that the decline in national mortgage originations resumes, we may experience a decrease in volume available to purchase from our PFIs. In addition, PFIs may stop selling loans under the MPF Program due to mergers with or into other organizations, or as a result of market competition. To the extent a sufficient number of our PFIs experience a decline in new mortgage originations or discontinue selling under the MPF Program, and we do not gain a sufficient number of new PFIs to offset such decline or departures, we may incur a decrease in volume available to purchase from our PFIs.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

During 2019, the top five PFIs, in the aggregate, accounted for 44% of our MPF on balance sheet purchases held in portfolio. To the extent we lose our business with these PFIs and cannot attract comparable replacements, our business may be adversely affected.

Restrictions on the redemption, repurchase, or transfer of our capital stock could result in an illiquid investment for the holder.

Under the GLB Act and FHFA regulations, and our Capital Plan, our capital stock is subject to redemption upon the expiration of a five-year redemption period. Only capital stock in excess of a member's or former member's minimum investment requirement that was subject to a redemption request, capital stock of a member that has submitted a notice to withdraw from membership, or capital stock held by a member whose membership has been terminated may be redeemed at the end of the applicable redemption period. Further, we may elect to repurchase excess stock from time to time at our sole discretion without regard to the five-year redemption period.

There is no guarantee that we will be able to redeem capital stock held by a shareholder even at the end of the redemption period or to repurchase excess capital stock. If the redemption or repurchase of capital stock would cause us to fail to meet our minimum capital requirements or cause the member or former member to fail to maintain its minimum investment requirement, then such redemption or repurchase would be prohibited by FHFA regulations and our Capital Plan. In addition, approval from the FHFA for redemptions or repurchases would be required if the FHFA or our Board of Directors were to determine that we incurred, or are likely to incur, losses that result in, or are likely to result in, charges against our capital. We also may decide to suspend the redemption of capital stock if we reasonably believe that such redemptions would cause us to fail to meet our minimum capital requirements. At all times, repurchases of excess stock remain subject to our regulatory capital requirements, certain financial and capital thresholds, and prudent business practices.

In addition, since our capital stock may only be owned by our members (or, under certain circumstances, former members and certain successor institutions), and our Capital Plan requires the Bank's approval before a member or nonmember shareholder may transfer any of its capital stock to another member or nonmember shareholder, we cannot provide assurance that a member or nonmember shareholder would be allowed to transfer any excess capital stock at any time.

For further discussion of our minimum capital requirements, see **Note 12 - Capital and Mandatorily Redeemable Capital Stock (MRCS)** to the financial statements.

#### **Market Risks**

Changes in interest rates or an inability to successfully manage interest-rate risk could have a material adverse effect on our net interest income.

We realize net interest income primarily from the spread between interest earned on our outstanding advances, MPF Loans, and investments less the interest paid on our consolidated obligations and other liabilities. When interest rates increase, we may experience extension risk, which is the risk that our mortgage-based investments will remain outstanding longer than expected at below-market yields. Therefore, any rapid change in interest rates could adversely affect our net interest income. Conversely, very low interest-rate environment could adversely impact us in various ways, including lower market yields on investments and faster prepayments on our MPF Loans and investments with associated reinvestment risk. Our investment income and, in turn, our financial condition and results of operations, could be adversely impacted as a result. For example, the Federal Reserve's March 2020 emergency rate cut in the face of the outbreak of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), and any related future rate cuts, may adversely impact our results of operation. See Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk on page 73 for additional discussion and analysis regarding our sensitivity to interest rate changes and the use of derivatives to manage our exposure to interest-rate risk.

As previously discussed, our business and results of operations are affected significantly by the fiscal and monetary policies of the U.S. government and its agencies, including the Federal Reserve Board's policies, which are difficult to predict. Therefore, our ability to anticipate changes regarding the direction and speed of interest rate changes, or to hedge the related exposures, significantly affects the success of our asset and liability management activities and our level of net interest income. We use a number of measures in our efforts to monitor and manage interest rate risk, including income simulations and duration, market value, and convexity sensitivity analyses. Given the unpredictability of the financial markets, capturing all potential outcomes in these analyses is difficult. Key assumptions include, but are not limited to, loan volumes and pricing, market conditions for our consolidated obligations, interest rate spreads and prepayment speeds, implied volatility of options contracts, and cash flows on mortgage-related assets. These assumptions are inherently uncertain and they cannot precisely estimate net interest income and the market value of equity. Actual results will differ from simulated results due to the timing, magnitude, and frequency of interest rate changes and changes in market conditions and management strategies, among other factors. Volatility and



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

disruption in the credit markets may have resulted in a higher level of volatility in our interest-rate risk profile and could negatively affect our ability to management interest-rate risk effectively.

#### We depend on the FHLBs' ability to access the capital markets in order to fund our business.

Our primary source of funds is the sale of FHLB consolidated obligations in the capital markets, including the short-term capital markets due to our continued reliance on discount note funding. Our ability to obtain funds through the sale of consolidated obligations depends in part on prevailing market conditions, such as investor demand and liquidity in the financial markets, which are beyond the control of the FHLBs. The U.S. government's financial or economic measures may also affect the FHLBs' funding costs and practices. Our ability to operate our business, meet our obligations, and generate net interest income depends primarily on the ability of the FHLB System to issue debt frequently to meet member demand and to refinance our existing outstanding consolidated obligations at attractive rates, maturities, and call features, when needed. A significant portion of our advances are issued at interest rates that reset periodically at a fixed spread to an FHLB discount note rate-based index, so member demand for such advances may decrease to the extent that the FHLB System is unable to continue to issue debt at attractive rates.

The sale of FHLB consolidated obligations can also be influenced by factors other than conditions in the capital markets, including legislative and regulatory developments, government programs and policies, and the impact of government shutdowns that affect the relative attractiveness of FHLB consolidated obligations. The FHLB System currently plays a predominant role as lenders in the federal funds market; therefore any disruption in the federal funds market or any related regulatory or policy change may have an adverse effect on our cash management activities, results of operation, and reputation. In addition, a decrease in the level of dealer participation and support may also adversely affect liquidity in the agency debt markets and have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

Additionally, we have a significant amount of discount notes outstanding with maturities of one year or less. We are exposed to liquidity risk if there is any significant disruption in the short-term debt markets. If a disruption were prolonged, we may not be able to obtain funding on acceptable terms. Any significant disruption that would prevent us from re-issuing discount notes for an extended period of time as they mature may require us to recognize a loss of up to \$33.7 million of currently open (as of December 31, 2019) deferred hedge costs out of accumulated other comprehensive income. Without access to the short-term debt markets, the alternative longer-term funding, if available, would increase funding costs and could cause us to increase advance rates, potentially adversely affecting demand for advances. If we cannot access funding when needed on acceptable terms, our ability to support and continue operations could be adversely affected. As a result, our inability to manage our liquidity position or our contingency liquidity plan to meet our obligations, as well as the credit and liquidity needs of our members, could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations, and the value of FHLB membership.

The FHLBs also compete with the U.S. government, Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and other government-sponsored enterprises (GSEs), as well as corporate, sovereign, and supranational entities, including the World Bank, for funds raised through the issuance of unsecured debt in the domestic and global debt markets. Increases in the supply of competing debt products, such as an increased in the supply of Treasury securities, could result in higher debt costs and negatively affect demand for consolidated obligations. This could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, or ability to pay dividends, meet dividend guidance or projections, or redeem or repurchase capital stock.

Our funding costs and/or access to the capital markets and demand for certain of our products could be adversely impacted by any changes in the credit ratings for FHLB System consolidated obligations or our individual credit ratings.

FHLB System consolidated obligations are rated Aaa/P-1 with a stable outlook by Moody's and AA+/A-1+ with a stable outlook by S&P. Rating agencies may from time to time change a rating or outlook or issue negative reports. Because all of the FHLBs have joint and several liability for all FHLB consolidated obligations, negative developments at any FHLB may affect these credit ratings or result in the issuance of a negative report regardless of the financial condition and results of operations of the other FHLBs. In addition, because of the FHLBs' GSE status, the credit ratings of the FHLB System, the FHLBs, and consolidated obligations are directly influenced by the long-term sovereign credit rating of the U.S. government. As a result, if the U.S. sovereign credit ratings or outlooks are downgraded, similar downgrades in the credit ratings or outlook of the FHLBs and FHLB System consolidated obligations would mostly likely occur even though they are not obligations of, nor guaranteed by, the United States.

Future downgrades in credit ratings or outlook may result in higher FHLB funding costs and/or disruptions in access to the capital markets and our ability to maintain adequate liquidity. Negative ratings events, such as a reduction in our individual Bank rating, may also trigger additional collateral posting requirements under certain of our derivative instruments or impact our ability to enter into derivative instruments with acceptable terms. Further, member demand for certain of our products, such as letters of



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

credit, is influenced by our credit rating and a downgrade of our credit rating could weaken member demand for such products. Any such events may adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Changes to, and replacement of, the LIBOR benchmark interest rate could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In July 2017, the United Kingdom's Financial Conduct Authority (FCA), a regulator of financial services firms and financial markets in the U.K., stated that they will plan for a phase out of regulatory oversight of LIBOR interest rate indices. The FCA has indicated they will support the LIBOR indices through 2021 to allow for an orderly transition to an alternative reference rate. However, it is impossible to predict whether and to what extent banks will continue to provide LIBOR submissions to the administrator of LIBOR, whether LIBOR rates will cease to be published or supported before or after 2021, or whether any additional reforms to LIBOR may be enacted in the United Kingdom or elsewhere.

In the United States, the Federal Reserve Board and the Federal Reserve Bank of New York convened the Alternative Reference Rates Committee (ARRC) to identify a set of alternative reference interest rates for possible use as market benchmarks. ARRC has proposed the Secured Overnight Financing Rate (SOFR) as its recommended alternative to LIBOR. SOFR is based on a broad segment of the overnight Treasury repurchase market and is intended to be a measure of the cost of borrowing cash overnight collateralized by Treasury securities. Since 2018, market activity in SOFR-linked financial instruments has continued to develop. The FHLBs, including the Bank, have offered SOFR-linked consolidated obligations on an ongoing basis and have started offering SOFR-linked advances.

As noted throughout this Form 10-K, many of the Bank's assets and liabilities are indexed to LIBOR, some with maturities or termination dates extending past December 31, 2021. We are currently evaluating and planning for the eventual replacement of the LIBOR benchmark interest rate, including the possibility of SOFR as the primary replacement rate. We have developed an initial LIBOR transition action plan and convened a project team to implement the transition, which is led by a senior executive and comprised of representatives from various areas across the Bank. Our Asset-Liability Management Committee (ALCO) is the management committee responsible for overseeing the transition from LIBOR. In addition, on September 27, 2019, the FHFA issued a Supervisory Letter (the "Supervisory Letter") that the FHFA stated is designed to ensure the FHLBs will be able to identify and prudently manage the risks associated with the termination of LIBOR in a safe and sound manner. Among other things, the Supervisory Letter provides that the FHLBs should cease entering into certain transactions referencing LIBOR that mature after December 31, 2021. See **Recent Legislative and Regulatory Developments** on page 13 for a discussion of the Supervisory Letter and its potential impact on the Bank.

The market transition away from LIBOR is expected to be gradual and complicated, including the development of term and credit adjustments to accommodate differences between LIBOR and any alternative rate. There can be no assurances that we and other market participants will be appropriately prepared for an actual discontinuation of LIBOR, that existing assets and liabilities based on or linked to LIBOR will transition successfully to alternative reference rates or benchmarks, or of the timing of the cessation of LIBOR, or of the adoption and degree of integration of any alternative reference rates or benchmarks in the markets. Introduction of an alternative rate also may introduce additional basis risk for market participants including the Bank as an alternative index is utilized along with LIBOR. The discontinuation of LIBOR may have an unpredictable impact on the contractual mechanics of outstanding securities, loans, derivatives or other products, require renegotiation of outstanding contracts, impact the return on investments, or cause significant disruption to financial markets that are relevant to our business, among other adverse consequences. Additionally, any transition from current benchmarks may alter the Bank's risk profiles and models, systems, valuation tools, and effectiveness of hedging strategies, as well as increase the costs and risks related to potential regulatory requirements. Given the uncertainties, the Bank is not able to predict the impact of the transition from LIBOR on the Bank's business, financial condition, or results of operations. (See also LIBOR Transition on page 49 for more details on our LIBOR transition, including a presentation of variable rate financial instruments by interest-rate index at December 31, 2019 and additional steps we are taking in the LIBOR transition).

#### **Credit Risks**

Our financial condition and results of operations, and the value of Bank membership, could be adversely affected by our exposure to credit risk.

We are exposed to credit risk principally through advances or commitments to our members, MPF Loans and related exposures, derivatives counterparties, unsecured counterparties, repurchase agreement transactions, and issuers of investment securities or the collateral underlying them. We assume secured and unsecured credit risk exposure associated with the risk that a borrower or counterparty could default, and we could suffer a loss if we are unable to fully recover amounts owed on a timely basis. In addition, we have exposure to credit risk because fair value of collateral may decline as a result of deterioration in the creditworthiness of the obligor or the credit quality of a security instrument (whether due to economic conditions or otherwise), or because the value of the collateral may not be what we assigned to it (whether as a result of misrepresentation or inaccurate



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

valuation). We have a high concentration of credit risk exposure to financial institutions and mortgage assets. If we have insufficient collateral before or after an event of default, or we are unable to liquidate the collateral for the value assigned to it in the event of default, we could experience a credit loss, which could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

We follow guidelines established by our Board of Directors and the FHFA on unsecured extensions of credit, whether on- or off-balance sheet, which limit the amounts and terms of unsecured credit exposure to highly rated counterparties, the U.S. government, other FHLBs, and partners of our Community First Fund. However, there can be no assurance that these activities will prevent losses due to defaults on these assets.

**Advances.** To the extent our members are under financial stress, we are exposed to the risk that they may default on their outstanding obligations to us, including the repayment of advances. If a member defaults on its obligations, or the FDIC, or any other applicable receiver, fails either to promptly repay all of that failed institution's obligations or to assume the outstanding advances, then we may be required to liquidate the collateral pledged by the failed institution. The volatility of market prices and interest rates could affect the value of the collateral. The proceeds realized from the liquidation of pledged collateral may not be sufficient to fully satisfy the amount of the failed institution's obligations or the operational cost of liquidating the collateral. Default by a member with significant outstanding obligations to us could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

As we continue to work toward building a stronger cooperative and increasing advances by adding new members, we are actively focusing on institutions that have not traditionally been a large part of our membership, such as insurance companies, community development financial institutions, and housing associates. As we increase our membership to include more non-federally insured members and increase credit outstanding to such members, we face uncertainties surrounding the possible resolution of those members, in part due to our lack of experience in dealing with their regulators and any receivers and other liquidators that may be involved in the resolution of these members.

Also, as we update our collateral loan eligibility criteria to accept more complex loan structures and additional commercial loan property types, we face risks relating to valuing and liquidating collateral with these characteristics. Although we will closely monitor our credit and collateral agreement processes, we may experience credit losses and our business may be adversely affected if we are unable to sufficiently collateralize our risk exposures in the event of potential default by or resolution of these members.

**Derivatives Counterparties.** Our hedging strategies are highly dependent on our ability to enter into cleared and uncleared (over-the-counter) derivative instrument transactions with counterparties on acceptable terms to reduce interest-rate risk and funding costs.

If we experience disruptions in the credit markets, it may increase the likelihood that one of our derivatives counterparties fails to meet their obligations to us. If a counterparty defaults on payments due to us, we may need to enter into a replacement derivative contract with a different counterparty, which may be at a higher cost, or we may be unable to obtain a replacement contract. Additionally, the insolvency of one of our largest derivatives counterparties combined with an adverse change in the market before we are able to transfer or replace the derivative contracts could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. We may also be exposed to collateral losses to the extent that we have pledged collateral and its value changes, or could experience losses if the counterparty fails to return the collateral. Losses from any of the foregoing could negatively affect our financial condition and results of operations and the value of FHLB membership.

**Federal Funds.** We invest in Federal Funds sold in order to ensure the availability of funds to meet members' credit and liquidity needs. Because these investments are unsecured, our credit policies and FHFA regulations restrict these investments to short-term maturities and certain eligible counterparties. If the credit markets experience disruptions, it may increase the likelihood that one of our Federal Funds counterparties could experience liquidity or financial constraints that may cause them to become insolvent or otherwise default on their obligations to us. For further discussion on our Federal Funds investments, see **Unsecured Short Term Investments** on page 70.

Securities Purchased Under Agreements to Resell. We also invest in securities purchased under agreements to resell in order to ensure the availability of funds to meet members' liquidity and credit needs. These investments are secured by marketable securities held by a third party custodian. If the credit markets experience disruptions, it may increase the likelihood that one of our counterparties could experience liquidity or financial constraints that may cause them to become insolvent or otherwise default on their obligations to us. If the collateral pledged to secure those obligations has decreased in value, we may suffer a credit loss. See the table in Investment Securities by Rating on page 68 for a summary of counterparty credit ratings for these investments.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

Our MPF Program products have different risks than those related to our traditional advances products, which could adversely impact our results of operations.

The MPF Program, as compared to our advances products, is more susceptible to credit losses. To the extent that economic conditions weaken and regional or national home prices decline, we could experience higher delinquency levels and loss severities on our MPF Loan portfolio in the future.

We are exposed to losses on our conventional MPF Loans held in our portfolio through our obligation to absorb losses up to the FLA and to the extent those losses are not recoverable from PFIs from withholding performance-based CE Fees (Recoverable CE Fees). Our FLA exposure as of December 31, 2019 is \$152 million. The next layer of losses after the FLA is allocated to the PFI, or SMI, as applicable, through the CE Amount. If losses accelerate in the overall mortgage market, we may experience increased losses that are allocated to us through the FLA or that may otherwise exceed the PFI's CE Amount and Recoverable CE Fees. Further, the PFIs may experience credit deterioration and default on their credit enhancement obligations, which, to the extent not offset against collateral provided by the PFIs, could cause us to incur additional losses and have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

Under the MPF Government product, we absorb any associated credit losses if we are unable to recover from the servicer or the insuring or guarantying government agency. We have the same risk with respect to the MPF Government MBS loans we acquired from our members unless the servicing was sold under our servicing released option in which the new servicer assumes our Ginnie Mae issuer responsibilities.

We are exposed to mortgage repurchase liability in connection with our sale of MPF Loans to Fannie Mae under the MPF Xtra product, to third party investors under the MPF Direct Product, and to Ginnie Mae for MPF Loans securitized in Ginnie Mae MBS. If a loan eligibility requirement or other warranty is breached, these third parties could require us to repurchase the MPF Loan or provide an indemnity, and under certain conditions, this could cause us to experience losses on our MPF Loans. As of December 31, 2019, we had \$18 million of repurchase requests and indemnifications outstanding to PFIs related to MPF Xtra loans and no outstanding repurchase requests or indemnifications for our MPF Direct and MPF Government MBS products. Because repurchase requests from third party investors may be made up until full repayment of a loan rather than when a purported defect is first identified, repurchase requests received as of a particular date may not reflect total repurchase liability for loans outstanding as of that date. In certain circumstances, third party investors may not make a repurchase or indemnification request until a loan becomes past due or defaults. PFIs are also required to repurchase ineligible MPF Loans we hold in our portfolio, as further discussed in **Mortgage Repurchase Risk** on page 65.

We also have geographic concentrations of MPF Loans secured by properties in certain states. To the extent that any of these geographic areas experience significant declines in the local housing markets, declining economic conditions, or a natural or man-made disaster, we could experience increased losses. For further information on these concentrations, see **Geographic Concentration** on page 65.

Additionally, a portion of our portfolio represents participation interests in mortgage loans acquired under the MPF Program from other FHLBs. PFIs located in other FHLB districts provide servicing and credit enhancement for these MPF Loans and we rely on the FHLB from the district in which the PFI is located to manage the related credit risk and enforce the PFI's obligations. If there were losses arising from these MPF Loans and the other FHLB were to fail to manage the risk of PFI default or enforce the PFI's obligations, we could incur losses in the event of a PFI default.

For a description of the MPF Program, our obligations with respect to credit losses and the PFI's obligation to provide credit enhancement and comply with anti-predatory lending laws, see **Mortgage Partnership Finance Program** on page 8.

#### We are jointly and severally liable for the consolidated obligations of other FHLBs.

Under the FHLB Act, we are jointly and severally liable with other FHLBs for consolidated obligations issued through the Office of Finance. If another FHLB defaults on its obligation to pay principal or interest on any consolidated obligation, the FHFA has the ability to allocate the outstanding liability among one or more of the remaining FHLBs on a pro rata basis or on any other basis that the FHFA may determine. The likelihood of triggering our joint and several liability obligation depends on many factors, including the financial condition and financial performance of the other FHLBs. For example, to the extent one or more FHLBs had significant unsecured credit exposures outstanding at the time of counterparty failure, the affected FHLBs may fail to meet their obligations to pay principal or interest on consolidated obligations. If we were required by the FHFA to make payment on consolidated obligations beyond our primary obligation, our financial condition, and results of operations could be negatively affected.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

The occurrence of a major natural or other disaster, a pandemic such as COVID-19, or other disruptive event, especially one affecting our district and/or members in our district, could negatively impact our business and results of operations.

The occurrence of a major natural or environmental disaster, terrorist attack, pandemic, or similar event, or other disruptive event such as trade wars (any such event, a "major disruptive event"), especially one affecting our district, could negatively impact our business and results of operations. A major disruptive event that damages or destroys real estate securing mortgage loans, or negatively impacts the ability of borrowers to continue to make principal and interest payments on mortgage loans, or negatively impacts our members' business could increase delinquency rates and default rates, and negatively impact our collateral, MPF Loan portfolio, MBS investments, community investment programs, or cause our members to become delinquent or to default on their advances and other credit obligations to us. A decline in the local economies in which our members operate resulting from a major disruptive event could reduce members' needs for funding, which could reduce demand for our advances. While we do not currently expect that the potential losses, if any, resulting from any natural disaster in 2019 will have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations in future periods, there can be no assurance that another major disruptive event will not generate significant losses to our business.

Specifically, widespread health emergencies such as the recent outbreak of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) may adversely affect the Bank's business by adversely impacting our members, our workforce, our operations, and/or our vendors. Moreover, any slowdown in economic activity or any actions by the federal government and its agencies in response to adverse economic conditions (such as the recent 0.5% emergency rate cut by the Federal Reserve or further rate cuts) may impact the Bank's financial condition, results of operation, and business, by, among other things, influencing interest rates on the Bank's assets and liabilities and reducing demand for Bank advance products.

#### **Operational Risks**

#### Our information systems may experience an interruption or breach in security.

Our operations rely on the secure processing, storage, and transmission of a large volume of personally identifiable information of mortgage loan borrowers, such as names, residential addresses, social security numbers, credit rating data, and other consumer financial information. We rely heavily on communications, information systems and the internet to conduct our business. The continued occurrence of high-profile data breaches at other financial institutions indicates the continuing existence of an external environment with diverse and sophisticated methods of data exfiltration. Other companies have also reported breaches and other attacks, some severe, intended to disable or degrade services, sabotage systems, and/or obtain improper access to confidential or proprietary information. This environment demands that we engage in ongoing monitoring of the effectiveness of our security controls, engage in proper testing of our security controls and responses, and implement changes as needed to mitigate security vulnerabilities. Despite these efforts, our security controls over personal data, our training of workers and vendors on data security, and other practices we follow cannot absolutely prevent the improper access to, or disclosure of personally identifiable information, or other confidential information that we or our vendors store and manage. We are also subject to the risk of unauthorized access to, and transmission of, confidential or proprietary information by workers. The materiality of such risks depends on the nature, extent, and potential magnitude of a potential incident or attack. Improper disclosure of personally identifiable information or other confidential or proprietary information could harm our reputation, financial performance, and customer and vendor relationships, lead to legal exposure to borrowers, subject us to liability under laws that protect personal data, result in litigation or regulatory investigations, and impact our competitive position. Moreover, expanded government scrutiny of practices relating to the safekeeping of personally identifiable information or other confidential information may result in the adoption of stricter laws or regulations that could impede our business or increase compliance costs.

Additionally, cyberattacks, including computer hacking, vandalism, malware, phishing, or computer viruses can lead to shutdowns or disruptions in our systems that could harm our business operations. Because the techniques used to obtain unauthorized access, disable or degrade service, or sabotage systems change frequently and often are not recognized until launched against a target, we are not able to provide absolute security against all attacks. The risk of a security breach due to cyberattacks could increase in the future to the extent we expand our use of web-based products and applications. Our cyber risk and other insurance might not be sufficient to cover us against claims related to security incidents, breaches, cyberattacks and other related events. Efforts to protect our information technology networks and systems will cause us to incur increasing costs, including costs to deploy additional personnel and protection technologies, to train workers, and to engage third party security experts and consultants.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

We rely on quantitative models to manage risk, to make business decisions, and to value our assets and liabilities. Our business could be adversely affected if those models fail to produce reliable results.

We make significant use of both internal and external business and financial models to measure and monitor our risk exposures; including interest rate, prepayment, and other market risks, as well as credit risk. We also use models in determining the fair value of financial instruments when independent price quotations are not available or reliable. The information provided by these models is also used in making business decisions relating to strategies, initiatives, risk management, transactions, and products, and for financial reporting and dividend guidance or projections. Models are inherently imperfect predictors of actual results because they are based on available data and assumptions about factors such as future loan demand, prepayment speeds, default rates, severity rates, and other factors that may overstate or understate future experience. When market conditions change rapidly and dramatically, the assumptions used for our models may not keep pace with changing conditions. Inaccurate data or assumptions in these models are likely to produce unreliable results. For example, uncertainty in the housing and mortgage markets may increase our exposure to the inherent risks associated with the reliance on internal models that use key assumptions to project future trends and performance. Although we regularly adjust our internal models in response to changes in economic conditions and the housing market and rely on our vendors to adjust our external models, the risk remains that our models could produce unreliable results or estimates that vary considerably from actual results.

If these models fail to produce reliable results, we may not make appropriate risk management or business decisions (including decisions relating to our dividend guidance or projections), which could adversely affect our earnings, liquidity, capital position, reputation, and financial condition. Any strategies that we employ to attempt to manage the risks associated with the use of models may not be effective.

Failures or interruptions in our information systems and other technology, our controls, or our operating processes generally, may harm our business, financial condition, results of operations, and reputation.

Our business is dependent upon our ability to interface effectively with other FHLBs, members, PFIs, and other third parties. Our products and services involve a complex and sophisticated operating environment supported by operating systems and technologies, which may be purchased, custom-developed, or hosted by third parties. Maintaining the availability, effectiveness, and efficiency of the technology used in our operations, including our information systems, is dependent on the continued timely implementation of technology solutions (including software updates and security patches) and systems necessary to effectively manage the Bank and mitigate risk, which may require significant capital expenditures. If we are unable to maintain or improve these technological capabilities, including retention of key technology personnel and the development of necessary operating and management processes, we may not be able to remain competitive and our business, financial condition, and results of operations may be significantly compromised. To date, we have not experienced any material effect or losses related to significant interruptions in our information systems, cyberattacks or other breaches.

Additionally, failures in our controls, including internal controls over financial reporting, could result from human error, fraud, design flaws, breakdowns in information and computer systems, or natural or man-made disasters. Moreover, lapses in, and inadequacies with respect to, our operating processes, including manual processes and data management, could affect our overall operations, including collateral maintenance. A significant control failure, or a lapse in certain operating processes, could materially impact our financial condition and results of operations. We may not be able to foresee, prevent, mitigate, reverse, or repair some or all of the negative effects of such failures. If we are unable to correct material weaknesses or deficiencies in internal controls in a timely manner, our ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information accurately and within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC could be adversely affected. A failure in our internal control over financial reporting or a lapse in our operating processes could cause our members to lose confidence in our reported financial information, in our processes, or in us as a whole, subject us to government enforcement actions, and generally, materially, and adversely impact our business and financial condition.

A significant portion of our business support services are hosted by third party vendors, including data center, disaster recovery, network email, and telephone services, as well as critical business and business processing services, and if our vendors fail to adequately perform the contracted services in the manner necessary to meet our needs, our business, financial condition, and results of operations may be harmed. Additionally any failure in the operating systems of the Office of Finance could disrupt our ability to conduct and manage our business.

We have engaged various vendors to provide us with data center and hosted services that may include hardware, software support, connectivity, and other technology services. Any failure, interruption, or breach in security of these systems or services could result in disruptions in our ability to conduct business. There is no assurance that if or when such incidents do occur, that they will be adequately addressed by us or the third party vendors on whom we rely. The occurrence of any failures or interruptions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

Additionally, we rely on the Office of Finance to facilitate the issuance and servicing of our consolidated obligations. A failure, interruption, or breach in the security of the Office of Finance's or their third party vendor's operating systems could disrupt our access to funds, and may harm our business. Moreover, any operational failure of the Office of Finance or their third party vendors could also expose us to the risk of loss of data or confidential information, or other harm, including reputational damage.

The performance of our MPF Loan portfolio depends in part upon third party servicers and defaults by one or more of these third parties on its obligations to us could adversely affect our results of operations or financial condition.

Mortgage Servicing. We rely on PFIs and third party servicers to perform mortgage loan servicing activities for our MPF Loans held in portfolio. With respect to the MPF Xtra and MPF Government MBS products, we are contractually obligated to Fannie Mae and Ginnie Mae, respectively, with respect to servicing of the related MPF Loans under certain servicing options.

Servicing activities include collecting payments from borrowers, paying taxes and insurance on the properties secured by the MPF Loans, advancing principal and interest under scheduled remittance options, maintaining applicable government agency insurance or guaranty, reporting loan delinquencies, loss mitigation, and disposition of real estate acquired through foreclosure or deed-in-lieu of foreclosure. If current housing market trends negatively decline, the number of delinquent mortgage loans serviced by PFIs and third party servicers could increase. Managing a substantially higher volume of non-performing loans could create operational difficulties for our servicers. In the event that any of these entities fails to perform its servicing duties, we could experience a temporary interruption in collecting principal and interest or even credit losses on MPF Loans or incur additional costs associated with obtaining a replacement servicer if the servicer fails to indemnify us for its breaches. Similarly, if any of our servicers become ineligible to continue to perform servicing activities under MPF Program guidelines, we could incur additional costs to obtain a replacement servicer. If a PFI servicer fails to perform its servicing responsibilities, we can potentially recover losses we incur from the collateral pledged to us under our Advances, Collateral Pledge and Security Agreement with the PFI; however, the amount of collateral pledged thereunder is not sized to cover a specific amount related to servicing obligations. If a third party servicer is not one of our members, we would not have this additional remedy.

We offer servicing released alternatives for all of our MPF Loan products but currently we only have one servicing aggregator for particular products. If a servicing aggregator that is established as an approved servicer for the MPF Program exited the business or was not offering attractive servicing released premiums, or if we should decide to terminate our relationship with the servicer, our MPF Loan volume could be negatively impacted until we could engage replacement servicers.

Master Servicing. We act as master servicer for the MPF Program. In this regard, we have engaged a vendor for master servicing, Wells Fargo Bank N.A., which monitors the servicers' compliance with the MPF Program requirements and issues periodic reports to us. While we manage MPF Program cash flows, if the vendor should refuse or be unable to provide the necessary service, or if we should decide to terminate our relationship with the vendor, we may be required to engage another vendor which could result in delays in reconciling MPF Loan payments to be made to us or increased expenses to retain a new master servicing vendor.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments	Item 1R	Unresolved	Staff C	omment
------------------------------------	---------	------------	---------	--------

Not applicable.

#### Item 2. Properties.

As of February 29, 2020, we occupy 95,105 square feet of leased office space at 200 East Randolph Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60601 (the "Aon Location"). We also maintain 5,518 square feet of leased space for an off-site back-up facility 15 miles northwest of our main facility, which is on a separate electrical distribution grid.

The Bank expects to relocate from the Aon Location to a leased new office space at 433 West Van Buren Street, Chicago, Illinois 60607 in late 2020.

#### Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

On October 15, 2010, the Bank instituted litigation relating to 64 private label MBS bonds purchased by the Bank in an aggregate original principal amount of \$4.29 billion. Of the three cases that were filed by the Bank, only the action filed in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois remains active. As of December 31, 2019, the remaining litigation covers three private label MBS bonds in the aggregate outstanding principal amount of \$35 million. As of February 29, 2020, Morgan Stanley & Co., Incorporated, and certain of its affiliates, remain as the sole defendants in the Illinois action.

In this action, the Bank asserts claims for untrue or misleading statements in the sale of securities, signing or circulating securities documents that contained material misrepresentations, and negligent misrepresentation. The Bank seeks the remedies of rescission, recovery of damages, and recovery of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit.

The Bank may also be subject to various other legal proceedings arising in the normal course of business. After consultation with legal counsel, management is not aware of any other proceedings that might have a material effect on the Bank's financial condition or results of operations.

#### Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

#### **PART II**

### Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters, and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

Our members, and under limited circumstances former members, own our capital stock. Former members may continue to hold our capital stock when they have withdrawn from membership or have merged with out-of-district institutions. Our members elect our directors. We conduct our business almost exclusively with our members. Our stock can only be acquired and redeemed or repurchased at a par value of \$100 per share. Our stock is not publicly traded and no market mechanism exists for the exchange of stock outside our cooperative structure.

We issue only one class of capital stock, Class B stock, consisting of two sub-classes of stock, Class B1 stock and Class B2 stock which, under our Capital Plan has a par value of \$100 per share. As of January 31, 2020, we had 21,314,321 shares of capital stock outstanding, including mandatorily redeemable capital stock recorded as a liability, and we had 717 stockholders of record. For details on our Capital Plan, on member withdrawals and other terminations, and related amounts classified as mandatorily redeemable capital stock, see **Note 12 - Capital and Mandatorily Redeemable Capital Stock (MRCS)** to the financial statements and **Capital Resources** on page 54.

Information regarding our cash dividends declared in each quarter in 2018 and 2019, and information regarding regulatory requirements and restrictions on dividends, is set forth in the **Retained Earnings & Dividends Policy** section on page 58.

The following table presents, by type of institution, the outstanding capital stock holdings of our members and former members. Our capital stock may be redeemed upon five years' notice from the member to the Bank, subject to applicable conditions. For a description of our policies and related restrictions regarding capital stock redemptions and repurchases, see **Capital Resources** on page 54.

As of	Decem	ber 31, 2019	Decemb	er 31, 2018
Commercial banks	\$	1,057	\$	1,123
Savings institutions		173		241
Credit unions		285		190
Insurance companies		197		143
Community Development Financial Institutions		1		1
Total GAAP capital stock		1,713		1,698
Stock reclassified as mandatorily redeemable capital stock (liability)		324		313
Total regulatory capital stock outstanding	\$	2,037	\$	2,011



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

Member standthy letters of credit outstanding	As of or for the years ended December 31,		2019		2018		2017	2016		2015
Advances         50,508         52,628         48,085         45,067         36,778           MFF Loans held in portfolio, net         10,000         7,103         5,193         4,967         4,828           Total assets         99,827         92,857         84,355         78,692         70,671           Consolidated obligation bords         51,475         43,166         41,191         36,903         22,582           Mendatorily redeemable capital stock (MRCS) recorded as a liability         32         313         311         301         8           Capital stock         1,713         1,698         1,443         1,711         1,950           Retailande capital stock (MRCS) recorded as a liability         32,861         \$2,882         3,852         \$3,602         30,002         2,730           Total capital         5,546         \$5,528         \$4,852         \$4,652         \$4,652         \$4,652           Other selected data at period end         88,799         \$8,820         \$1,9572         \$10,828         \$6,678           MFF Loans par value outstanding - FHLB Chage PTIs **         16,879         \$8,820         \$1,9342         \$1,05,779         \$7,22           FHLB systemwide consolidated obligations par value outstanding - FHLB Chage PTIs **         \$1,05,819	Selected statements of condition data		00.000	•	00.004	•	00.000	<b>A</b> 00 000	•	00.004
MPF Loans held in portfolio, net   10,000   7,103   5,193   4,967   4,828   10ctal assets   99,827   92,957   84,355   70,671   70,672   70,671   70,672   70,671   70,672   70,671   70,672   70,671   70,672   70,671   70,672   70,671   70,672   70,672   70,671   70,672		\$	•	\$		\$	·		\$	•
Total assets			•							
Consolidated obligation discount notes	•		•		·		·			·
Consolidated obligation bonds         50,474         42,250         37,121         36,903         22,582           Mandatorily redeemable capital stock (MRCS) recorded as a liabilitity         324         313         311         301         8           Capital stock (ARCS) recorded as a liability         3,770         3,536         3,297         3,020         2,730           Total capital         5,454         5,289         3,852         3,693         3,602         3,730           Total capital         5,454         5,289         3,852         3,693         3,623           Member standby letters of credit outstanding         8,759         58,820         51,553         46,293         43,445           MPF Loans par value outstanding - FHLB Chicago PFIs **         17,364         41,522         12,484         11,624         10,577           FHLB systemwide consolidated obligations par value outstanding         \$1,025,895         51,031,617         \$1,034,260         \$99,311         \$905,202           Number of members         689         705         720         728         740           Total amployees (full and part time)         488         513         483         455         \$9,301         \$93           Nointerest income after provision for (reversal of) credit losses			•							•
Mandatoliry redeemable capital stock (MRCS) recorded as a liability         324         313         311         301         8           Capital stock         1,713         1,698         1,443         1,711         1,950           Retalande aarnings         3,707         3,536         3,237         3,020         2,730           Total capital         5,545         5,289         3,4852         \$4,695         \$4,695           Member standby letters of credit outstanding         \$23,851         \$24,306         \$19,572         \$10,828         \$6,678           MIPE Loans par value outstanding - FHLB Chicago PFIs **         68,759         58,820         51,563         46,293         43,445           MIPE Loans par value outstanding - FHLB Chicago PFIs **         17,364         14,522         12,484         11,624         19,057           FHLB systemwide consolidated obligations par value outstanding as the provision for members         689         705         720         728         740           Total employees (full and part time)         488         513         \$483         \$455         \$5,53           Nointerest income after provision for (reversal of) credit losses         \$488         \$513         \$483         \$455         \$5,53           Nointerest income after provision for (reversal of) cred			•		·		·			
Capital stock         1,713         1,698         1,443         1,711         1,590           Relatine dearnings         3,770         3,536         3,279         3,020         2,730           Ottal capital         5,454         5,289         4,852         4,695         4,695           Ottal capital         5,454         5,289         4,852         4,852         10,282         6,678           Member standby letters of credit outstanding         68,759         58,820         51,553         46,293         43,455           MFP Loans par value outstanding - FHLB System MP Loans par value outstanding - FHLB Chicago PFIs MPL Systemwide consolidated obligations par value outstanding         17,364         14,522         12,484         11,624         10,577           FHLB Systemwide consolidated obligations par value outstanding         488         7,05         12,484         11,624         10,577           FHLB Systemwide consolidated obligations par value outstanding         488         7,05         2,02         7,22         <										
Retained earnings										
Total capital         \$ 5,454         \$ 5,289         \$ 4,852         \$ 4,695         \$ 4,652           Other selected data at period end         Wember Istandiby letters of credit outstanding         \$ 23,851         \$ 24,306         \$ 19,572         \$ 10,828         \$ 6,678           MPF Loans par value outstanding - FHLB System **         68,759         58,820         51,563         46,293         43,445           MPF Loans par value outstanding - FHLB Chicago PFIs **         17,364         14,522         12,484         11,624         10,577           PHLB systemwide consolidated obligations par value outstanding         488         705         720         898,311         905,202           Number of members         689         705         720         728         740           Sclected statements of income         488         468         460         440         422           Selected statements of income after provision for (reversal of) credit losses         485         513         \$483         \$455         \$503           Noninterest income after provision for (reversal of) credit losses         485         513         \$483         \$455         \$503           Noninterest expense         25         300         \$303         \$317         \$327         \$349           Other sel	·		•							
Other selected data at period end           Member standby letters of credit outstanding         \$23,851         \$24,306         \$19,572         \$10,828         \$6,678           MFP Loans par value outstanding - FHLB System b         68,759         58,820         51,563         46,293         43,445           MFF Loans par value outstanding - FHLB Chicago PFIs b         17,364         14,522         12,484         11,624         10,577           FHLB systemwide consolidated obligations par value outstanding of the periods of the property of the periods of the period of the periods of the periods of the period of the periods of the period of the periods of the period of	· ·		•		·					
Member standby letters of credit outstanding         \$3,851         \$24,306         \$19,572         \$10,828         \$6,678           MPF Loans par value outstanding - FHLB System b         68,759         58,820         51,563         46,293         43,445           MPF Loans par value outstanding - FHLB Chicago PFIs b         17,364         14,522         12,484         11,624         10,577           FHLB systemwide consolidated obligations par value outstanding         \$1,025,895         \$1,031,617         \$1,034,260         \$989,311         \$905,202           Number of members         689         705         720         728         740           Total amployees (full and part time)         488         468         460         440         422           Selected statements of income         488         513         \$483         \$455         \$503           Noninterest income after provision for (reversal of) credit losses         \$458         \$513         \$483         \$455         \$503           Noninterest income         \$100         16         44         76         23         349           Noninterest expense         \$21,005         \$13,617         \$11,915         \$10,822         \$7,225           Noninterest expense         \$21,005         \$13,617         \$		\$	5,454	\$	5,289	\$	4,852	\$ 4,695	\$	4,652
MPF Loans par value outstanding - FHLB System b         68,759         58,820         51,563         46,293         43,454           MPF Loans par value outstanding - FHLB Chicago PFIs b         17,364         14,522         12,484         11,624         10,577           FHLB systemwide consolidated obligations par value outstanding Number of members         689         705         720         728         740           Number of members         689         705         720         728         740           Total employees (full and part time)         488         488         460         440         422           Selected statements of income data         488         513         8433         \$455         \$503           Noninterest income         100         16         44         76         23           Noninterest expense         223         191         174         167         138           Not income         300         303         303         301         510,872         7,225           Not income         450         450         450         450         450         450         450         450         450         450         450         450         450         450         450         450         450	Other selected data at period end	_								
MPF Loans par value outstanding - FHLB Chicago PFIs b         17,364         14,522         12,484         11,624         10,577           FHLB systemwide consolidated obligations par value outstanding Number of members         689         705         720         728         740           Total employees (full and part time)         488         488         468         460         440         422           Selected statements of income data         8         458         513         8483         455         \$03           Noninterest income after provision for (reversal of) credit losses         458         \$13         \$483         \$455         \$03           Noninterest income         100         16         44         76         23           Noninterest expense         223         191         174         167         138           Noninterest expense         230         303         307         307         327         349           Other selected data during the periode ended         8         31,617         \$1,915         \$0,872         \$1,225           Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB System b         8         21,005         \$13,617         \$1,915         \$0,872         \$1,884           Selected atais surface of perioducts - FHLB Chicago PFIs fundin		\$		\$		\$			\$	
PHLB systemwide consolidated obligations par value outstanding   \$1,025,895   \$1,031,617   \$1,034,260   \$989,311   \$905,202   \$1,005   \$			•		·		·			
Number of members 689 705 720 728 740 740 750 750 740 740 750 750 750 750 750 750 750 750 750 75			•							
Total employees (full and part time)	FHLB systemwide consolidated obligations par value outstanding	\$1	,025,895	\$1	,031,617	\$1	,034,260	\$989,311	\$9	905,202
Net interest income after provision for (reversal of) credit losses   \$ 458	Number of members		689		705		720	728		740
Net interest income after provision for (reversal of) credit losses   \$458   \$513   \$483   \$455   \$503   Noninterest income   100   16   44   76   23   Noninterest expense   223   191   174   167   138   Noninterest expense   223   191   174   167   138   Not income   \$300   \$303   \$317   \$327   \$349   When the periods ended   225   225   225   225   225   225   When Ecans par value amounts funded - FHLB System   \$21,005   \$13,617   \$11,915   \$10,872   \$7,225   Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB System   \$898   902   909   873   843   MPF Loans par value amounts funded - FHLB Chicago PFIs   \$5,788   \$3,614   \$2,521   \$3,145   \$1,784   Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago   \$5,788   \$3,614   \$2,521   \$3,145   \$1,784   Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago   \$5,788   \$3,614   \$2,521   \$3,145   \$1,784   Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago   \$5,788   \$3,614   \$2,521   \$3,145   \$1,784   Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago   \$5,788   \$3,614   \$2,521   \$3,145   \$1,784   Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago   \$5,788   \$3,614   \$2,521   \$3,145   \$1,784   Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago   \$5,788   \$3,614   \$2,521   \$3,145   \$1,784   Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago   \$5,828   \$5,978   \$5,998   \$6,409   \$6,639   Market value of equity to book value of equity   \$1,059   \$1,059   \$1,079   \$1,089   \$1,089   Primary mission asset ratio   \$7,239   \$3,999   \$6,409   \$6,639   MPF Loans held in portfolio, net - % of total assets   \$3,998   \$3,999   \$3,999   \$6,409   \$6,639   MPF Loans held in portfolio, net - % of total assets   \$3,998   \$3,999   \$3,999   \$6,409   \$3,999	Total employees (full and part time)		488		468		460	440		422
Noninterest income 100 16 44 76 23 Noninterest expense 223 191 174 167 138 Noninterest expense 223 191 174 167 138 Not income 300 303 303 317 327 349 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	Selected statements of income data	_								
Nominterest expense 223 191 174 167 138 Net income \$300 \$300 \$303 \$317 \$327 \$349  Other selected data during the periods ended  MPF Loans par value amounts funded - FHLB System b 898 902 909 873 843  MPF Loans par value amounts funded - FHLB Chicago PFIs b 5,788 \$3,614 \$2,521 \$3,145 \$1,784  Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago PFIs b 5,788 \$3,614 \$2,521 \$3,145 \$1,784  Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago PFIs b 210 208 204 191 188  Selected ratios (rates annualized)  Total regulatory capital to assets ratio 58,82% 5,97% 5,99% 6,40% 6,639  Market value of equity to book value of equity 105% 105% 107% 108% 1089  Primary mission asset ratio 772.3% 71.1% 67.3% 66.2% 58.89  Advances - % of total assets 510% 57% 57% 57% 52% 598  MPF Loans held in portfolio, net - % of total assets 10% 8% 6% 6% 79  Dividend rate class B1 activity stock-period paid 5.00% 4.06% 3.19% 2.75% 2.319  Dividend rate class B2 membership stock-period paid 5.00% 4.06% 3.19% 2.75% 2.319  Dividend rate class B2 membership stock-period paid 5.00% 5.29% 5.74% 5.88% 7.18% 7.65%  Return on average equity to average assets 5.67% 5.74% 5.58% 5.87% 6.359  Net yield on average interest earning assets 5.67% 5.74% 5.58% 5.87% 6.359  Return on average Regulatory Capital spread to 3-month LIBOR index 5.82 5.64 5.00 5.55% 5.96% 7.559	Net interest income after provision for (reversal of) credit losses	\$	458	\$	513	\$	483			503
Net income \$ 300 \$ 303 \$ 317 \$ 327 \$ 349 \$ 000 \$ 000 \$ 303 \$ 317 \$ 327 \$ 349 \$ 000 \$	Noninterest income		100		16		44	76		23
Other selected data during the periods ended           MPF Loans par value amounts funded - FHLB System b         \$ 21,005         \$ 13,617         \$ 11,915         \$ 10,872         \$ 7,225           Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB System b         898         902         909         873         843           MPF Loans par value amounts funded - FHLB Chicago PFIs b         \$ 5,788         \$ 3,614         \$ 2,521         \$ 3,145         \$ 1,784           Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago b         210         208         204         191         188           Selected ratios (rates annualized)           Total regulatory capital to assets ratio         5.82%         5.97%         5.99%         6.40%         6.63%           Market value of equity to book value of equity         105%         105%         107%         108%         108%           Primary mission asset ratio         72.3%         71.1%         67.3%         66.2%         58.8%           Investments - % of total assets         39%         35%         36%         36%         40%           Advances - % of total assets         10%         8%         6%         6%         7%           Dividend rate class B1 activity stock-period paid         5.0%         4.06% <th< td=""><td>Noninterest expense</td><td></td><td>223</td><td></td><td>191</td><td></td><td>174</td><td>167</td><td></td><td>138</td></th<>	Noninterest expense		223		191		174	167		138
MPF Loans par value amounts funded - FHLB System b Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB System b Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago PFIs b Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago PFIs b Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago PFIs b Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago b Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago b Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago b Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago b Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago b Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago b Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago b Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago b Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago b Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago b Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago b Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago b Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago b Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago b Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago PFIs b Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago PFIs b Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago PFIs b Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago PFIs b Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago PFIs b Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago PFIs b Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago PFIs b Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago PFIs b Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago PFIs b Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago PFIs b Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago PFIs b Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago PFIs b Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago PFIs b Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago PFIs b Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago PFIs b Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago PFIs b Number of PFIs funding MPF	Net income	\$	300	\$	303	\$	317	\$ 327	\$	349
Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB System b \$898 902 909 873 843 MPF Loans par value amounts funded - FHLB Chicago PFIs b \$5,788 \$3,614 \$2,521 \$3,145 \$1,784 Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago b 210 208 204 191 188 Selected ratios (rates annualized)  Total regulatory capital to assets ratio 5.82% 5.97% 5.99% 6.40% 6.63% Market value of equity to book value of equity 105% 105% 105% 107% 108% 108% 108% 108% 108% 108% 108% 108	Other selected data during the periods ended	_								
MPF Loans par value amounts funded - FHLB Chicago PFIs button of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago button of PFIs funding MPF pro		\$	21,005	\$	13,617	\$	11,915	\$ 10,872	\$	7,225
Number of PFIs funding MPF products - FHLB Chicago baselected ratios (rates annualized)  Total regulatory capital to assets ratio 5.82% 5.97% 5.99% 6.40% 6.63% Market value of equity to book value of equity 105% 105% 107% 108% 108% 108% 108% 108% 108% 108% 108			898		902		909	873		843
Selected ratios (rates annualized)   Total regulatory capital to assets ratio   5.82%   5.97%   5.99%   6.40%   6.63%     Market value of equity to book value of equity   105%   105%   107%   108%   108%     Primary mission asset ratio d   72.3%   71.1%   67.3%   66.2%   58.8%     Investments - % of total assets   39%   35%   36%   36%   40%     Advances - % of total assets   51%   57%   57%   57%   57%     MPF Loans held in portfolio, net - % of total assets   10%   8%   6%   6%   7%     Dividend rate class B1 activity stock-period paid   5.00%   4.06%   3.19%   2.75%   2.31%     Dividend rate class B2 membership stock-period paid   2.19%   1.65%   1.10%   0.60%   0.50%     Return on average assets   0.30%   0.33%   0.38%   0.42%   0.49%     Average equity to average assets   5.67%   5.74%   5.58%   5.87%   6.35%     Net yield on average interest earning assets   0.47%   0.56%   0.59%   0.59%   0.72%     Return on average Regulatory Capital spread to 3-month LIBOR index   2.92%   3.25%   5.25%   5.96%   7.55%     Cash dividends   82		\$	5,788	\$	3,614	\$	2,521	\$ 3,145	\$	1,784
Total regulatory capital to assets ratio    5.82%   5.97%   5.99%   6.40%   6.639     Market value of equity to book value of equity   105%   105%   105%   107%   108%   1089     Primary mission asset ratio documents of total assets   72.3%   71.1%   67.3%   66.2%   58.89     Investments - % of total assets   39%   35%   36%   36%   409     Advances - % of total assets   51%   57%   57%   57%   529     MPF Loans held in portfolio, net - % of total assets   10%   8%   6%   6%   6%   79     Dividend rate class B1 activity stock-period paid   5.00%   4.06%   3.19%   2.75%   2.319     Dividend rate class B2 membership stock-period paid   2.19%   1.65%   1.10%   0.60%   0.509     Return on average assets   0.30%   0.33%   0.38%   0.42%   0.499     Return on average equity   5.29%   5.74%   6.84%   7.18%   7.659     Average equity to average assets   5.67%   5.74%   5.58%   5.87%   6.359     Net yield on average interest earning assets   0.47%   0.56%   0.59%   0.59%   0.729     Return on average Regulatory Capital spread to 3-month LIBOR index   2.92%   3.25%   5.25%   5.96%   7.559     Cash dividends   \$82    \$ 64    \$ 40    \$ 37    \$ 25      Cash dividends   \$82    \$ 64    \$ 40    \$ 37    \$ 25      Cash dividends   \$82    \$ 64    \$ 40    \$ 37    \$ 25      Cash dividends   \$82    \$ 64    \$ 40    \$ 37    \$ 25      Cash dividends   \$82    \$ 64    \$ 40    \$ 37    \$ 25      Cash dividends   \$82    \$ 64    \$ 40    \$ 37    \$ 25      Cash dividends   \$82    \$ 64    \$ 40    \$ 37    \$ 25      Cash dividends   \$82    \$ 64    \$ 40    \$ 37    \$ 25      Cash dividends   \$82    \$ 64    \$ 40    \$ 37    \$ 25      Cash dividends   \$82    \$ 64    \$ 40    \$ 37    \$ 25      Cash dividends   \$82    \$ 64    \$ 40    \$ 37    \$ 25      Cash dividends   \$82    \$ 64    \$ 40    \$ 37    \$ 25      Cash dividends   \$82    \$ 64    \$ 40    \$ 37    \$ 25      Cash dividends   \$82    \$ 64    \$ 40    \$ 37    \$ 25      Cash dividends   \$82    \$ 64    \$ 40    \$ 37    \$ 25      Cash dividends   \$82    \$ 64    \$ 40    \$ 37    \$ 25      Cash dividends   \$8			210		208		204	191		188
Market value of equity to book value of equity       105%       105%       107%       108%       1089         Primary mission asset ratio d       72.3%       71.1%       67.3%       66.2%       58.8%         Investments - % of total assets       39%       35%       36%       36%       40%         Advances - % of total assets       51%       57%       57%       57%       52%         MPF Loans held in portfolio, net - % of total assets       10%       8%       6%       6%       7%         Dividend rate class B1 activity stock-period paid       5.00%       4.06%       3.19%       2.75%       2.31%         Dividend rate class B2 membership stock-period paid       2.19%       1.65%       1.10%       0.60%       0.50%         Return on average assets       0.30%       0.33%       0.38%       0.42%       0.49%         Return on average equity to average assets       5.67%       5.74%       5.58%       5.87%       6.35%         Net yield on average interest earning assets       0.47%       0.56%       0.59%       0.59%       0.72%         Return on average Regulatory Capital spread to 3-month LIBOR index       2.92%       3.25%       5.25%       5.96%       7.55%         Cash dividends       82       64 </td <td>Selected ratios (rates annualized)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Selected ratios (rates annualized)									
Primary mission asset ratio d 72.3% 71.1% 67.3% 66.2% 58.89 Investments - % of total assets 39% 35% 36% 36% 40% Advances - % of total assets 51% 57% 57% 57% 52% MPF Loans held in portfolio, net - % of total assets 10% 8% 6% 6% 6% 7% Dividend rate class B1 activity stock-period paid 5.00% 4.06% 3.19% 2.75% 2.31% Dividend rate class B2 membership stock-period paid 2.19% 1.65% 1.10% 0.60% 0.50% Return on average assets 0.30% 0.33% 0.38% 0.42% 0.49% Return on average equity to average equity to average assets 5.67% 5.74% 6.84% 7.18% 7.65% Average equity to average interest earning assets 0.47% 0.56% 0.59% 0.59% 0.72% Return on average Regulatory Capital spread to 3-month LIBOR index 2.92% 3.25% 5.25% 5.96% 7.55% Cash dividends \$82 \$64 \$40 \$37 \$25	Total regulatory capital to assets ratio		5.82%		5.97%		5.99%	6.40%		6.63%
Investments - % of total assets 39% 35% 36% 36% 40% Advances - % of total assets 51% 57% 57% 52% MPF Loans held in portfolio, net - % of total assets 10% 8% 6% 6% 7% Dividend rate class B1 activity stock-period paid 5.00% 4.06% 3.19% 2.75% 2.31% Dividend rate class B2 membership stock-period paid 2.19% 1.65% 1.10% 0.60% 0.50% Return on average assets 0.30% 0.33% 0.38% 0.42% 0.49% Return on average equity 5.29% 5.74% 6.84% 7.18% 7.65% Average equity to average assets 5.67% 5.74% 5.58% 5.87% 6.35% Net yield on average interest earning assets 0.47% 0.56% 0.59% 0.59% 0.72% Return on average Regulatory Capital spread to 3-month LIBOR index 2.92% 3.25% 5.25% 5.96% 7.55% Cash dividends \$82 \$ 64 \$ 40 \$ 37 \$ 25	Market value of equity to book value of equity		105%		105%		107%	108%		108%
Advances - % of total assets  MPF Loans held in portfolio, net - % of total assets  10% 8% 6% 6% 7%  Dividend rate class B1 activity stock-period paid  5.00% 4.06% 3.19% 2.75% 2.31%  Dividend rate class B2 membership stock-period paid  2.19% 1.65% 1.10% 0.60% 0.50%  Return on average assets  0.30% 0.33% 0.38% 0.42% 0.49%  Return on average equity  5.29% 5.74% 6.84% 7.18% 7.65%  Average equity to average assets  5.67% 5.74% 5.58% 5.87% 6.35%  Net yield on average interest earning assets  0.47% 0.56% 0.59% 0.59% 0.72%  Return on average Regulatory Capital spread to 3-month LIBOR index  2.92% 3.25% 5.25% 5.96% 7.55%  Cash dividends  \$ 82 \$ 64 \$ 40 \$ 37 \$ 25	Primary mission asset ratio <sup>d</sup>		72.3%		71.1%		67.3%	66.2%		58.8%
MPF Loans held in portfolio, net - % of total assets       10%       8%       6%       6%       79         Dividend rate class B1 activity stock-period paid       5.00%       4.06%       3.19%       2.75%       2.31%         Dividend rate class B2 membership stock-period paid       2.19%       1.65%       1.10%       0.60%       0.50%         Return on average assets       0.30%       0.33%       0.38%       0.42%       0.49%         Return on average equity       5.29%       5.74%       6.84%       7.18%       7.65%         Average equity to average assets       5.67%       5.74%       5.58%       5.87%       6.35%         Net yield on average interest earning assets       0.47%       0.56%       0.59%       0.59%       0.72%         Return on average Regulatory Capital spread to 3-month LIBOR index       2.92%       3.25%       5.25%       5.96%       7.55%         Cash dividends       \$ 82       64       \$ 40       \$ 37       \$ 25	Investments - % of total assets		39%		35%		36%	36%		40%
Dividend rate class B1 activity stock-period paid 5.00% 4.06% 3.19% 2.75% 2.31% Dividend rate class B2 membership stock-period paid 2.19% 1.65% 1.10% 0.60% 0.50% Return on average assets 0.30% 0.33% 0.38% 0.42% 0.49% Feturn on average equity 5.29% 5.74% 6.84% 7.18% 7.65% Average equity to average assets 5.67% 5.74% 5.58% 5.87% 6.35% Net yield on average interest earning assets 0.47% 0.56% 0.59% 0.59% 0.72% Return on average Regulatory Capital spread to 3-month LIBOR index 2.92% 3.25% 5.25% 5.96% 7.55% Cash dividends \$ 82 \$ 64 \$ 40 \$ 37 \$ 25	Advances - % of total assets		51%		57%		57%	57%		52%
Dividend rate class B2 membership stock-period paid  2.19% 1.65% 1.10% 0.60% 0.50% Return on average assets 0.30% 0.33% 0.38% 0.42% 0.49% 7.18% 7.65% Average equity to average assets 5.67% 5.74% 5.58% 5.87% 6.35% Net yield on average interest earning assets 0.47% 0.56% 0.59% 0.59% 0.72% Return on average Regulatory Capital spread to 3-month LIBOR index 2.92% 3.25% 5.25% 5.96% 7.55% Cash dividends	MPF Loans held in portfolio, net - % of total assets		10%		8%		6%	6%		7%
Return on average assets       0.30%       0.33%       0.38%       0.42%       0.49%         Return on average equity       5.29%       5.74%       6.84%       7.18%       7.65%         Average equity to average assets       5.67%       5.74%       5.58%       5.87%       6.35%         Net yield on average interest earning assets       0.47%       0.56%       0.59%       0.59%       0.72%         Return on average Regulatory Capital spread to 3-month LIBOR index       2.92%       3.25%       5.25%       5.96%       7.55%         Cash dividends       \$ 82       64       \$ 40       \$ 37       \$ 25	Dividend rate class B1 activity stock-period paid		5.00%		4.06%		3.19%	2.75%		2.31%
Return on average equity       5.29%       5.74%       6.84%       7.18%       7.65%         Average equity to average assets       5.67%       5.74%       5.58%       5.87%       6.35%         Net yield on average interest earning assets       0.47%       0.56%       0.59%       0.59%       0.72%         Return on average Regulatory Capital spread to 3-month LIBOR index       2.92%       3.25%       5.25%       5.96%       7.55%         Cash dividends       82       64       40       37       25	Dividend rate class B2 membership stock-period paid		2.19%		1.65%		1.10%	0.60%		0.50%
Average equity to average assets	Return on average assets		0.30%		0.33%		0.38%	0.42%		0.49%
Net yield on average interest earning assets       0.47%       0.56%       0.59%       0.59%       0.729         Return on average Regulatory Capital spread to 3-month LIBOR index       2.92%       3.25%       5.25%       5.96%       7.55%         Cash dividends       \$ 82       \$ 64       \$ 40       \$ 37       \$ 25	Return on average equity		5.29%		5.74%		6.84%	7.18%		7.65%
Return on average Regulatory Capital spread to 3-month LIBOR index       2.92%       3.25%       5.25%       5.96%       7.55%         Cash dividends       \$ 82 \$ 64 \$ 40 \$ 37 \$ 25	Average equity to average assets		5.67%		5.74%		5.58%	5.87%		6.35%
Cash dividends \$ 82 \$ 64 \$ 40 \$ 37 \$ 25	Net yield on average interest earning assets		0.47%		0.56%		0.59%	0.59%		0.72%
Cash dividends \$ 82 \$ 64 \$ 40 \$ 37 \$ 25	Return on average Regulatory Capital spread to 3-month LIBOR index		2.92%		3.25%		5.25%	5.96%		7.55%
	Cash dividends	\$	82	\$	64	\$	40	\$ 37	\$	
	Dividend payout ratio		27.33%		21.12%		12.62%	11.31%		7.16%

Investments includes investment debt securities, interest bearing deposits, Federal Funds sold, and securities purchased under agreements to resell.

b Includes all MPF products, whether on or off our balance sheet. See **Mortgage Partnership Finance Program** beginning on page 8 for details on our various MPF products.

Includes \$38 million in litigation settlement awards.

The FHFA issued an advisory bulletin that provides guidance relating to a primary mission asset ratio by which the FHFA will assess each FHLB's core mission achievement. See **Mission Asset Ratio** on page 5 for more information.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

#### Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

#### **Forward-Looking Information**

Statements contained in this report, including statements describing the plans, objectives, projections, estimates, strategies, or future predictions of management, statements of belief, any projections or guidance on dividends or other financial items, or any statements of assumptions underlying the foregoing, may be "forward-looking statements." These statements may use forward-looking terminology, such as "anticipates," "believes," "expects," "could," "plans," "estimates," "may," "should," "will," their negatives, or other variations of these terms. We caution that, by their nature, forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties related to our operations and business environment, all of which are difficult to predict and many of which are beyond our control. These risks and uncertainties could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied in these forward-looking statements and could affect the extent to which a particular objective, projection, estimate, or prediction is realized. As a result, undue reliance should not be placed on such statements.

These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties including, but not limited to, the following:

- changes in the demand by our members for advances, including the impact of pricing increases and the availability of other sources of funding for our members, such as deposits;
- · regulatory limits on our investments;
- the impact of new business strategies, including our ability to develop and implement business strategies focused on
  maintaining net interest income; our ability to successfully maintain our balance sheet and cost infrastructure at an
  appropriate composition and size scaled to member demand; our ability to execute our business model, implement
  business process improvements and scale our size to our members' borrowing needs; the extent to which our members
  use our advances as part of their core financing rather than just as a back-up source of liquidity; and our ability to
  implement product enhancements and new products and generate enough volume in new products to cover our costs
  related to developing such products;
- the extent to which we are able to maintain our current capital stock requirements and/or continue to offer the Reduced Capitalization Advance Program for certain future advance borrowings, and our ability to continue to pay enhanced dividends on our activity stock, impact borrowing by our members;
- our ability to meet required conditions to repurchase and redeem capital stock from our members (including maintaining compliance with our minimum regulatory capital requirements and determining that our financial condition is sound enough to support such repurchases), the amount and timing of such repurchases or redemptions, and our ability to maintain compliance with regulatory and statutory requirements relating to our dividend payments:
- general economic and market conditions, including the timing and volume of market activity, inflation/deflation, unemployment rates, housing prices, the condition of the mortgage and housing markets, increased delinquencies and/or loss rates on mortgages, prolonged or delayed foreclosure processes, and the effects on, among other things, mortgage-backed securities; volatility resulting from the effects of, and changes in, various monetary or fiscal policies and regulations, such as those determined by the Federal Reserve Board and Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation; disruptions in the credit and debt markets and the effect on future funding costs, sources, and availability; the impact of the occurrence of a major natural or other disaster, a pandemic such as COVID-19, or other disruptive event;
- volatility of market prices, rates, and indices, or other factors, such as natural disasters, that could affect the value of our investments or collateral; changes in the value or liquidity of collateral securing advances to our members;
- changes in the value of and risks associated with our investments in mortgage loans, mortgage-backed securities, and the related credit enhancement protections;
- · changes in our ability or intent to hold mortgage-backed securities to maturity;
- · changes in mortgage interest rates and prepayment speeds on mortgage assets;
- membership changes, including the loss of members through mergers and consolidations or as a consequence of
  regulatory requirements; changes in the financial health of our members, including the resolution of some members;
  risks related to expanding our membership to include more institutions with regulators and resolution processes with
  which we have less experience;



#### (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

- increased reliance on short-term funding and changes in investor demand and capacity for consolidated obligations
  and/or the terms of interest rate derivatives and similar agreements, including changes in the relative attractiveness of
  consolidated obligations as compared to other investment opportunities; changes in our cost of funds due to concerns
  over U.S. fiscal policy, and any related rating agency actions impacting FHLB consolidated obligations;
- uncertainties relating to the potential phase-out of the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR);
- political events, including legislative, regulatory, judicial, or other developments that affect us, our members, our
  counterparties and/or investors in consolidated obligations, including, among other things, changes to the Dodd-Frank
  Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank Act) and related regulations and proposals and
  legislation related to housing finance and GSE reform; changes by our regulator or changes affecting our regulator and
  changes in the FHLB Act or applicable regulations as a result of the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008
  (Housing Act) or as may otherwise be issued by our regulator; the potential designation of us as a nonbank financial
  company for supervision by the Federal Reserve;
- regulatory changes to FHLB membership requirements, capital requirements, and liquidity requirements by the FHFA, and increased guidance from the FHFA impacting our balance sheet management;
- the ability of each of the other FHLBs to repay the principal and interest on consolidated obligations for which it is the
  primary obligor and with respect to which we have joint and several liability;
- the pace of technological change and our ability to develop and support technology and information systems, including
  our ability to protect the security of our information systems and manage any failures, interruptions or breaches in our
  information systems or technology services provided to us through third party vendors;
- · our ability to attract and retain skilled employees;
- the impact of new accounting standards and the application of accounting rules, including the impact of regulatory guidance on our application of such standards and rules;
- the volatility of reported results due to changes in the fair value of certain assets and liabilities;
- our ability to identify, manage, mitigate, and/or remedy internal control weaknesses and other operational risks; and
- the reliability of our projections, assumptions, and models on our future financial performance and condition, including dividend projections.

For a more detailed discussion of the risk factors applicable to us, see Risk Factors starting on page 18.

These forward-looking statements are representative only as of the date they are made, and we undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statement as a result of new information, future events, changed circumstances, or any other reason.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

#### **Executive Summary**

#### 2019 Financial Highlights

- We recorded net income of \$300 million in 2019 compared to \$303 million in 2018.
- Net interest income for 2019 was \$458 million, which included \$3 million of income from available-for-sale (AFS) investment security prepayments during the period. For 2018, net interest income was \$513 million, which included \$32 million of income from AFS investment security prepayments during the period. The decrease in net interest income for 2019 was primarily the result of the decrease in the AFS prepayment fees.
- Noninterest income increased by \$84 million to \$100 million in 2019 compared to \$16 million in 2018, primarily due to
  instruments held under fair value option, which increased by \$54 million, from a loss of \$19 million in 2018 to a gain of
  \$35 million in 2019. Net gains on trading securities and derivatives increased \$24 million combined from 2018 to 2019.
- Noninterest expense was \$223 million for 2019 compared to \$191 million for 2018, primarily driven by an increase in employee compensation and benefits, and planned operating expenses related to information technology and business resiliency.
- Total assets were \$99.8 billion at year-end 2019 compared to \$92.9 billion at year-end 2018, due to strong growth in liquidity assets to continue to meet the needs of members during all economic cycles, along with MPF Loan growth.
- Total investment securities increased 8% in 2019 to \$23.1 billion, as we increased our purchase of Treasury securities and mortgage-backed securities.
- Retained earnings were \$3.8 billion at year-end 2019, up from \$3.5 billion at year-end 2018, and we remained in compliance with all of our regulatory capital requirements as of year-end 2019.

#### **Summary and Outlook**

#### Member Product and Program Use

In 2019, 84% of our member institutions used at least one of our core product offerings-advances, letters of credit, or MPF Program products-or participated in our competitive AHP or DPP Programs. Below is a recap of member product and program use in 2019.

- Advances outstanding: At year-end 2019, 366 members had advances of \$50.5 billion, down 4% from year-end 2018.
- Letters of Credit: At year-end 2019, 211 members had letters of credit outstanding of \$23.9 billion, down 2% from year-end 2018.
- MPF Loans outstanding: At year-end 2019, MPF Loans outstanding on our balance sheet were \$10.0 billion, up 41% from year-end 2018.
- Competitive AHP: In 2019, \$27.7 million was awarded through our competitive AHP to help finance 50 affordable housing projects consisting of 2,671 housing units located primarily in Illinois and Wisconsin.
- DPP: In 2019, 192 members reserved more than \$19.5 million in DPP grants on behalf of 3,421 homebuyers in member communities.

#### Reducing the Cost of Advances with the Activity Stock Dividend

Based on our preliminary financial results for the fourth quarter of 2019, on January 23, 2020, the Board of Directors of the Bank declared a dividend of 5.00% (annualized) for Class B1 activity stock and a dividend of 2.25% (annualized) for Class B2 membership stock, maintaining the levels declared for the third quarter of 2019. Additionally, based on current projections and assumptions about the Bank's financial condition and the economic outlook, the Bank expects to maintain a 5.00% (annualized) level of dividend for Class B1 activity stock for the first and second quarters of 2020. The Bank continues to work to support a reliable dividend on its stock, but any future dividend payment remains subject to determination and declaration by the Board of Directors and may be impacted by a change in financial or economic conditions, regulatory and statutory limitations, and any other relevant factors. We maintained rates on both dividends in the fourth quarter of 2019 and in the forward guidance even as the Federal Reserve reduced rates, providing additional value to all of our members. For additional discussion of risks that may impact the Bank's dividend payments, see the **Risk Factors** section starting on page 18.

The Bank plans to continue to share dividend guidance as we have since the third quarter of 2019, subject to quarterly evaluation by the Board of Directors. We use the activity stock dividend as one way in which members receive value from their membership as it rewards members that support the entire cooperative by borrowing from the Bank.

On October 31, 2019, we discontinued the automatic weekly repurchase of excess stock. This change enables members to retain excess stock which, for many members, simplifies managing stock balances and provides a dividend benefit on the excess stock. Members can request repurchases of their excess stock at any time.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

#### Expanding Member Borrowing Capacity and Accepting of eNotes as Collateral

This past July, changes were implemented to maximize member collateral value and support member borrowing capacity. The Bank's collateral eligibility guidelines were updated to provide value for previously ineligible collateral categories, such as special purpose commercial real estate, and provide partial value for various loan attributes that previously rendered collateral as ineligible. These enhancements led to a significant increase in member collateral capacity. As we look ahead to this year and how we will continue to expand member collateral capacity and make borrowing easy, we have been developing a solution with the other FHLBs to accept eNotes as collateral. We anticipate the acceptance of eNotes as collateral becoming available for members later this year.

#### Sharing Legislative News from the District

In November 2019, with substantial help from the Wisconsin Bankers Association, and the support of the Wisconsin Credit Union League, legislation was enacted in Wisconsin eliminating the restriction on the amount of collateral that Wisconsin state banks can pledge when borrowing from an FHLB and removed the 20 year limit on advances to state banks from an FHLB. This change allows Wisconsin members to better manage borrowing capacity.

#### Preparing for the LIBOR Transition

Throughout 2019, the financial markets continued preparing for the anticipated phase-out of the LIBOR by the end of 2021. Acknowledging the importance of this transition, we are working to prepare for the transition. For further discussion, see **LIBOR Transition** on page 49.

#### Delivering an Exceptional Member Experience

As a cooperative, we are dedicated to delivering the highest value to our members and providing a seamless borrowing experience when transacting with the Bank. This past year was an exciting time of discovery as cross-department teams identified how we can enhance member borrowing experience to reduce processing time, enhance eBanking, and expand the products available to transact online. Toward the end of the first quarter of 2020, members will see these enhancements when conducting business with us through eBanking. We look forward to developing ways to improve member borrowing experience through new and more intuitive technology.

#### Becoming a Leader in Diversity and Inclusion

The Bank recognizes the communities members serve in Illinois and Wisconsin are becoming increasingly diverse and we believe our Bank should reflect the diverse perspectives of our members and offer products and services tailored to the communities our members serve. Our commitment to make our Bank a leader in diversity and inclusion led the Board of Directors, Executive Team, and a group of our employees to attend our second Cultural Exploration this past November in Chicago's Latino communities.

Cultural Explorations are just one of the ways the Bank is creating a diverse and inclusive culture. The Bank's CEO recently signed the CEO Action for Diversity and Inclusion Pledge; this pledge has been taken by over 800 CEOs across the nation who believe diverse teams and inclusive behaviors are essential to a strong organization. We are joined together on a commitment to enhance diversity and inclusion in our organizations, share best practices, and participate in a Day of Understanding.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

#### Investing in Members' Communities

Deepening our support of our members' local communities through products, programs, and awards that drive economic development, expand capacity and lending capital, rebuild after natural disasters, and support community leaders is central to our mission. Here is a recap of those efforts in 2019.

- Community Small Business Advance: The Community Small Business Advance enables our members to support their local economy and community revitalization efforts in income-eligible communities. In 2019, \$1.5 million in interest-rate subsidy was reserved, representing \$14.4 million in anticipated lending to small businesses.
- Community First Capacity-Building Grant Program: In 2019, more than \$250,000 was awarded through the Community
  First Capacity-Building Grant Program. These grants help participating members strengthen a nonprofit lender's
  financial position, operational efficiency, and/or human capital in support of their economic development and affordable
  housing activities in Illinois and Wisconsin.
- Community First Disaster Relief Program: In 2019, we committed \$500,000 in response to FEMA-declared disasters in Illinois and Wisconsin. Since the program's inception in 2013, we have awarded over \$1.5 million in disaster relief funding.
- Community First Fund: We committed \$47.5 million of the \$50 million revolving Community First Fund by year-end 2019
  as part of our ongoing effort to directly fund community development financial institutions and community development
  loan funds
- Community First Awards: In 2019, we awarded \$40,000 in Community First Awards to recognize outstanding achievements of our members and their local partners in the communities they serve. Award recipients included an innovative home loan program, leaders in affordable housing and sustainable homeownership, and a development that revitalized a neighborhood through affordable housing and economic development.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

#### **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

See Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Note 3 - Recently Issued but Not Yet Adopted Accounting Standards to the financial statements for further details.

Estimating Fair Value

See Note 15 - Fair Value to the financial statements for further details.

Controls over Internal Valuation Methodologies and Third Party Pricing Vendors

Segregation of duties is a key control over our internal valuation methodologies and third party pricing vendors. In this regard, our segregation of duties are outlined below.

- Senior management is responsible for our valuation policies. Senior management's responsibility is independent of our investing and treasury functions.
- The Asset/Liability Management Committee approves fair value policies and reviews the appropriateness of current valuation methodologies and policies. Model validation is overseen by the Risk Management Committee of the Board of Directors.
- The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors oversees the remediation of gaps in models identified as a result of our governance process.
- The Risk Management Group prepares the fair value measurements of our financial instruments, evaluates the
  appropriateness of the fair values generated by pricing models, and assures the reasonableness and consistent application
  of valuation approaches and assumptions utilized in cases where unobservable inputs are utilized. In addition, the group
  performs control processes to ensure the fair values received from third party pricing services are consistent with GAAP fair
  value measurement guidance.
- The Risk Management Group's responsibility is independent of our investing and treasury management functions.

Other control processes over our internal valuation methodologies include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Reviewing the pricing model's theoretical soundness and appropriateness by personnel with relevant expertise who are independent from the fair value measurement function.
- Back testing models to subsequent transactions (e.g. termination of a derivative), analysis of actual cash flows to projected
  cash flows, comparisons with similar observable positions, and comparisons with information received from pricing services
  for financial instruments where prices or valuations require unobservable inputs.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

Other control processes over third party pricing vendors, include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Understanding and evaluating the fair value measurements received on each major investment security type to ensure that
  the amounts reported in our financial statements as well as our fair value disclosures comply with GAAP.
- Utilizing all fair value inputs received from multiple third party pricing vendors to determine the fair value of an individual security unless we determine that exclusion of a fair value input is appropriate based on our control processes.
- Discussions with our third party pricing vendors to ensure that they are in compliance with fair value measurement guidance under GAAP. Such discussions focus on the following:
  - Understanding their pricing models to the extent possible, as some pricing models are proprietary in nature.
  - Understanding the principal or most advantageous market selected and our ability to access that market.
  - Assumptions and significant inputs used in determining the fair value measurement.
  - The appropriateness of the fair value hierarchy level as of the reporting date.
  - Whether the market was active or illiquid as of the reporting date.
  - Whether transactions were between willing buyers and sellers or distressed in nature as of the reporting date.
  - Whether the fair value measurements as of the reporting date is based on current or stale assumptions and inputs.
- Obtaining the third party pricing vendor methodologies and control reports.
- Challenging fair value measurements received that represent outliers to the fair value measurements received on the same financial instrument from a different third party pricing service. We document these challenges on a monthly basis.
- Examining the underlying inputs and assumptions for a sample of individual securities across asset classes and average life
- Identifying stale prices, prices changed significantly from prior valuations, and other anomalies that may indicate that a price
  may not be accurate.
- Performing implied yield analysis to identify anomalies.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

### **Results of Operations**

#### **Net Interest Income**

Net interest income is the difference between the amount we recognize into interest income on our interest earning assets and the amount we recognize into interest expense on our interest bearing liabilities. These amounts were determined in accordance with GAAP and were based on the underlying contractual interest rate terms of our interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities as well as the following items:

- Amortization of premiums;
- Accretion of discounts and credit OTTI reversals;
- Beginning January 1, 2019 on a prospective basis, hedge ineffectiveness, which represents the difference between changes
  in fair value of the derivative hedging instrument and the related change in fair value of the hedged item is recognized into
  either interest income or interest expense, whichever is appropriate. For cash flow hedges, recognition occurs only when
  amounts are reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income. Such recognition occurs when earnings are
  affected by the hedged item. Prior to January 1, 2019, hedge ineffectiveness was classified in noninterest income on
  derivatives and hedging activities;
- Net interest paid or received on interest rate swaps that are accounted for as fair value or cash flow hedges;
- Amortization of fair value and cash flow closed hedge adjustments;
- Advance and investment prepayment fees; and
- · MPF credit enhancement fees.

The following table presents the increase or decrease in interest income and expense due to volume or rate variances. The calculation of these components includes the following considerations:

- Average Balance: Average balances are calculated using daily balances. Amortized cost basis is used to compute the
  average balances for most of our financial instruments, including MPF Loans held in portfolio that are on nonaccrual status
  and available-for-sale debt securities. Fair value is used to compute average balances for our trading debt securities and
  financial instruments carried at fair value under the fair value option.
- *Total Interest:* Total interest includes the net interest income components, as discussed above, applicable to our interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities.
- Yield/Rate: Effective yields/rates are based on total interest and average balances as defined above. Yields/rates are calculated on an annualized basis. The calculation of the yield on our available-for-sale securities does not give effect to changes in fair value that are reflected as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI).
- The change in volume is calculated as the change in average balance multiplied by the current year yield. The change in rate is calculated as the change in yield multiplied by the prior year average balance. Any changes due to the combined volume/rate variance have been allocated to volume.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

#### 2019 compared to 2018

For comparisons to 2017 see Net Interest Income on page 41 in our 2018 Form 10-K.

		2019		2018 Increase (decrease)					due to		
	Average Balance	Total Interest	Yield/ Rate	Average Balance	Total Interest	Yield/ Rate	Volume	Rate	Net Change		
For the years ended December 31,											
Investment debt securities	\$ 20,575	\$ 704	3.42%	\$ 18,206	\$ 678	3.72%	\$ 81	\$ (55)	\$ 26		
Advances	55,203	1,333	2.41%	55,479	1,157	2.09%	(2)	178	176		
MPF Loans held in portfolio	8,308	313	3.77%	5,891	247	4.19%	91	(25)	66		
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell	11,625	253	2.18%	11,368	208	1.83%	5	40	45		
Interest bearing deposits	1,262	28	2.22%	1,047	20	1.91%	5	3	8		
Other interest bearing assets	114	4	3.51%	145	5	3.45%	(1)	_	(1)		
Interest bearing assets	97,087	2,635	2.71%	92,136	2,315	2.51%	136	184	320		
Noninterest bearing assets	1,797			828							
Total assets	98,884			92,964							
Consolidated obligation discount notes	45,699	1,038	2.27%	41,336	857	2.07%	98	83	181		
Consolidated obligation bonds	45,273	1,109	2.45%	45,011	922	2.05%	7	180	187		
Other interest bearing liabilities	1,012	30	2.96%	896	23	2.57%	4	3	7		
Interest bearing liabilities	91,984	2,177	2.37%	87,243	1,802	2.07%	113	262	375		
Noninterest bearing liabilities	1,231			388							
Total liabilities	93,215			87,631							
Net yield on interest bearing assets	97,087	458	0.47%	92,136	513	0.56%	\$ 28	\$ (83)	\$ (55)		
AFS prepayment fees		3			32						
Adjusted net yield on interest bearing assets <sup>a</sup>	\$ 97,087	\$ 455	0.47%	\$ 92,136	\$ 481	0.52%					

- <sup>a</sup> Adjusted net yield on interest bearing assets is on a non-GAAP basis, calculated the same as net yield on interest bearing assets, but excluding AFS prepayment fees from net interest income. The prepayment fees on our AFS investments are unpredictable and we cannot be certain of the timing or amount of future prepayments (see **Note 4 Interest Income and Interest Expense** for details). Accordingly, we believe that the use of adjusted net yield is useful in evaluating our ongoing operational and financial results in a manner that is consistent with our evaluation of business performance.
- Interest income from investment debt securities increased due to increases in volume as purchases exceeded paydowns
  and maturities. Subject to FHFA regulatory limits as discussed in Investments on page 10, we resumed making
  investments in MBS in June 2018.
- Interest income from advances increased due to higher interest rates, primarily as a result of advances borrowed during a period of rising market interest rates despite market interest rates declining overall in 2019.
- Interest income from MPF Loans held in portfolio increased due to higher volumes, as new MPF loan purchases continued
  to outpace paydown and maturity activity due mainly to refinancing and loan origination activity as mortgage rates have
  declined. In addition, increased utilization by PFIs and the addition of new PFIs from our Bank to the MPF Program continue
  to contribute to higher volume in our MPF Loans held in portfolio. The increase in interest income due to volume was
  somewhat offset by the decline in interest income on MPF Loans held in portfolio that are being replaced by lower rate
  loans.
- Interest income from overnight Federal Funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell increased primarily
  due to higher overall short term market interest rates in 2019 compared to the same period in 2018 despite market interest
  rates declining overall in 2019.
- Interest expense on our shorter termed consolidated obligation discount notes increased due to higher volume and interest
  rates. We issued additional consolidated obligation discount notes to fund demand for our advances and other assets.
   Interest rates increased due to higher overall short term market interest rates in 2019 compared to the same period in 2018
  despite market interest rates declining in 2019.
- Interest expense on our longer termed consolidated obligation bonds increased primarily due to higher interest rates, as the bonds were issued during a period of rising market interest rates despite market interest rates declining overall in 2019.

For details of the effect our fair value and cash flow hedge activities had on our net interest income see the following page.

(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

#### Noninterest income

For comparisons to 2017 see Noninterest Income on pages 43 and 44 in our 2018 Form 10-K.

For the years ended December 31,	2019	2018
Trading securities	\$ 24	\$ 15
Derivatives and hedging activities	(9)	(24)
Instruments held under fair value option	35	(19)
MPF fees, 28 and 24 from other FHLBs	38	32
Other, net	12	12
Noninterest income	\$ 100	\$ 16

Trading Securities, Derivatives and Hedging Activities, and Instruments Held Under Fair Value Option

Unrealized gains in instruments held under the fair value option was the most significant driver of our increase in noninterest income. Net gains in our trading securities and derivatives and hedging activities also contributed to our increase in noninterest income. The corresponding net gains in 2019 compared to 2018 were primarily attributable to the decline in market interest rates in 2019.

The following table details the effect of these transactions on our statements of income.

	Adv	ances	Inves	tments	-	MPF oans	count otes	Вс	onds	Ot	ther	7	<b>Total</b>
Year ended December 31, 2019													
Recorded in net interest income	\$	29	\$	(52)	\$	(1)	\$ (25)	\$	(53)	\$	_	\$	(102)
Recorded in derivatives & hedging activities		(40)		(11)		16	4		10		12		(9)
Recorded in trading securities		_		14		_	_		_		_		14
Recorded on instruments held under fair value option		49		_		(1)	_		(14)		1		35
Total net effect gain (loss) of hedging activities	\$	38	\$	(49)	\$	14	\$ (21)	\$	(57)	\$	13	\$	(62)
Year ended December 31, 2018													
Recorded in net interest income	\$	17	\$	(57)	\$	(3)	\$ (97)	\$	(56)	\$	_	\$	(196)
Recorded in derivatives & hedging activities		9		(15)		(5)	2		(15)		_		(24)
Recorded in trading securities		_		16		_	_		_		_		16
Recorded on instruments held under fair value option		(2)		_		(6)	_		(11)		_		(19)
Total net effect gain (loss) of hedging activities	\$	24	\$	(56)	\$	(14)	\$ (95)	\$	(82)	\$	$\equiv$	\$	(223)

### MPF fees (including from other FHLBs)

A majority of MPF fees are from other FHLBs that pay us a fixed membership fee to participate in the MPF Program and a volume based fee for us to provide services related to MPF Loans carried on their balance sheets. MPF fees also include income from other third party off balance sheet MPF Loan products and other related transaction fees. These fees are designed to compensate us for the expenses we incur to administer the program. MPF fees have grown as MPF Loan volume has increased as noted in **Item 6. Selected Financial Data.** 

Other, net

Other, net consists primarily of fee income we earn from member standby letters of credit products, as noted in **Item 6. Selected Financial Data.** 



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

#### **Noninterest Expense**

For comparisons to 2017 see Noninterest Expense on page 44 in our 2018 Form 10-K.

For the years ended December 31,	2	2019		2018
Compensation and benefits	\$	115	\$	110
Nonpayroll operating expenses		96		74
Other		12		7
Noninterest expense	\$	223	\$	191

The following analysis and comparisons apply to the periods presented in the above table.

As noted in **Noninterest Income** on page 42, we earn MPF fees from the MPF Program, a majority of which are from other FHLBs but also include income from other third party investors. These fees are designed to offset the expenses we incur to administer the program. Our expenses relating to the MPF fees earned are included in the relevant line items in the noninterest expense table shown above. The following table summarizes MPF related fees and expenses.

For the years ended December 31,	20	19	2018
MPF fees earned	\$	38	\$ 32
Expenses related to MPF fees earned		34	32

#### Compensation and benefits

Compensation and benefits increased due to increased employee headcount and increases in salaries and incentive compensation expenses. We had 488 employees as of December 31, 2019, compared to 468 as of December 31, 2018.

### Nonpayroll operating expenses

Operating expenses increased primarily due to a planned investment in information technology, specifically applications, infrastructure and business resiliency.

#### Other

Other consists primarily of our share of the funding for the FHFA, our regulator, and the Office of Finance, which manages our consolidated obligation debt issuances. These costs were \$12 million and \$10 million for 2019 and 2018. Other also includes MPF related non-operating expenses and offsetting gains on the sale of real estate owned.

#### **Assessments**

We record the Affordable Housing Program (AHP) assessment expense at a rate of 10% of income before assessments, excluding interest expense on MRCS. See **Note 11 - Affordable Housing Program** to the financial statements for further details.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

### Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

For comparisons to 2017 see Other Comprehensive Income on page 45 in our 2018 Form 10-K.

	Foi	rem	alance aining in CI as of			
For the years ended December 31,	2019			2018		ember 31, 2019
Net unrealized gain (loss) available-for-sale debt securities	\$	(107)	\$	(196)	\$	104
Noncredit OTTI held-to-maturity debt securities		29		29		(85)
Net unrealized gain (loss) cash flow hedges		(7)		116		(38)
Postretirement plans		1		(6)		(10)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	\$	(84)	\$	(57)	\$	(29)

Net unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale debt securities

The net unrealized loss on our available-for-sale (AFS) portfolio for 2019 is attributable to the reversal of net unrealized gains from prior reporting periods as these securities approach maturity (since we expect to receive par value at maturity). The smaller unrealized loss during 2019 compared to 2018 resulted from decreases in market interest rates for 2019. The unrealized gain balance remaining in AOCI is expected to reverse over the remaining life of these securities.

#### Noncredit OTTI on held-to-maturity debt securities

We recorded unrealized noncredit impairments on held-to-maturity debt securities during the last financial crisis. As the market has recovered and because we intend to hold these securities to maturity, we are recording accretion to the carrying amount of the securities, reversing the remaining loss balance in AOCI over the remaining life of these securities.

Net unrealized gain (loss) on cash flow hedges

The net unrealized loss on cash flow hedges for 2019 compared to 2018 resulted from a decrease in overall market interest rates for 2019.

### Postretirement plans

The gain recorded in 2019 primarily is related to the difference between actual experience and that expected based on actuarial assumptions attributable to our post-retirement supplemental defined benefit equalization plan. A portion of the effect will be amortized into earnings over the plan's average employment period remaining before retirement. We do not expect the amount to be amortized to be material to our results of operations.

We have not recognized any instrument-specific credit risk in our statements of comprehensive income due to changes in our credit rating. For further details on the activity in our Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) see **Note 13 - Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)** to the financial statements.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

#### **Statements of Condition**

As of	Decen	nber 31, 2019	Decer	mber 31, 2018
Cash and due from banks, interest bearing deposits, Federal Funds sold, and securities purchased under agreement to resell	\$	15,815	\$	11,407
Investment debt securities		23,096		21,305
Advances		50,508		52,628
MPF Loans held in portfolio, net of allowance for credit losses		10,000		7,103
Other		408		414
Assets	\$	99,827	\$	92,857
Consolidated obligation discount notes	\$	41,675	\$	43,166
Consolidated obligation bonds		50,474		42,250
Other		2,224		2,152
Liabilities		94,373		87,568
Capital stock		1,713		1,698
Retained earnings		3,770		3,536
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		(29)		55
Capital		5,454		5,289
Total liabilities and capital	\$	99,827	\$	92,857

# Cash and due from banks, interest bearing deposits, Federal Funds sold, and securities purchased under agreements to resell

Amounts held in these typically overnight accounts will vary each day based on the following:

- Interest rate spreads between Federal Funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell and our debt;
- Liquidity requirements;
- · Counterparties available; and
- Collateral availability on securities purchased under agreements to resell.

In response to regulatory guidance, we increased our liquid assets in 2019 in order to maintain the liquidity recommended to support anticipated member demand for advances and letters of credit.

#### **Investment Debt Securities**

Investment debt securities slightly increased due to purchases in our trading, AFS, and HTM securities portfolio in 2019. This increase was offset by declines in our trading, AFS, and HTM securities portfolios that matured or paid down. We resumed making investments in MBS securities starting in June 2018, as noted in **Results of Operations** on page 40.

#### **Advances**

Advance balances declined slightly at December 31, 2019 compared to year end 2018. Advance balances will vary based primarily on member demand or need for wholesale funding and the underlying cost of the advance to the member. While advance demand remained strong during 2019, it is possible that member demand for our advances could decline further in future periods should their funding needs change, or to the extent they elect alternative funding resources. In addition, as our advances with captive insurance companies mature, our total advance levels may decrease. See **Risk Factor** starting on page 18 for details regarding captive insurance companies.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

The following table sets forth the current period par amount of advances outstanding for the five largest advance borrowers:

As of	December 31, 2019
One Mortgage Partners Corp.	\$ 11,000 <sup>a</sup> 21.9
The Northern Trust Company	6,700 13.4
BMO Harris Bank, NA	3,875 7.7
Associated Bank, NA	3,180 6.3
State Farm Bank, F.S.B.	2,378 4.7
All other borrowers	22,989 46.0
Total par value	\$ 50,122 100.0

One Mortgage Partners Corp. is a subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase Bank NA.

The following table presents outstanding advances by type of institution. Former members may withdraw from membership or merge with out-of-district institutions but continue to hold advances.

As of	Decembe	r 31, 2019	Decembe	r 31, 2018
Members				
Commercial banks	\$	23,705	\$	26,461
Savings institutions		3,359		5,044
Credit unions		3,282		2,872
Insurance companies		19,631		18,135
Community Development Financial Institutions		36		29
Members total		50,013		52,541
Former members and Housing Associates		109		65
Total at par		50,122		52,606
Fair value hedging and other adjustments		386		22
Balance on the statements of condition	\$	50,508	\$	52,628

### MPF Loans Held in Portfolio, Net of Allowance for Credit Losses

MPF Loans held in portfolio increased as new-purchase volume continued to outpace paydown and maturity activity due mainly to refinancing and loan origination activity as mortgage rates have declined. In addition, increased utilization by PFIs and the addition of new PFIs from our Bank to the MPF Program continue to contribute to higher volume in our MPF Loans held in portfolio. In

addition to our MPF Loans held in portfolio, we have MPF off-balance sheet products, where we buy and concurrently resell MPF Loans to Fannie Mae or other third party investors or pool and securitize them into Ginnie Mae MBS. See **MPF Program Overview** on page 8 for details.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

### Liquidity, Funding, & Capital Resources

#### Liquidity

We establish our liquidity position primarily based on the factors outlined below.

- · FHFA regulations and guidance.
- · Policies established by our Board of Directors.
- Member demand for short- and long-term funds.
- Maturing consolidated obligations as well as obligations arising from our normal operating activities.

We seek to be in a position to meet our members' credit and liquidity needs without maintaining excessive holdings of lowyielding liquid investments or being forced to incur unnecessarily high borrowing costs.

#### Liquidity from Investments

Our sources of liquidity from investments are short-term liquid assets, primarily overnight Federal Funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell. Our ability to utilize these investments for liquidity purposes may be affected if the credit markets experience disruptions, as discussed below.

- Our ability to use Federal Funds sold is restricted under our current policy and FHFA regulations. Specifically, we restrict
  these investments to short maturities and eligible counterparties as discussed in **Unsecured Short Term Investments** on
  page 70 because such investments are unsecured. If the credit markets experience disruptions, and as a result one of our
  counterparties became insolvent or otherwise defaulted on their obligation to us, these investments would not satisfy our
  liquidity needs and we may incur a credit loss.
- Securities purchased under agreements to resell are secured by marketable securities held by a third party custodian. If
  the credit markets experience disruptions, and as a result one of our counterparties became insolvent or otherwise defaulted
  on their obligations to us, these investments would not satisfy our liquidity needs if the collateral pledged to secure those
  obligations has decreased in value. In such cases, we also may suffer a credit loss. See Investment Debt Securities by
  Rating on page 68 for further discussion and a summary of counterparty credit ratings for these investments.

Other sources of liquidity from investing activities include trading debt securities, maturing advances, and maturing MPF Loans.

### Liquidity from Debt

Our source of liquidity from debt is the issuance of new consolidated obligation bonds and discount notes.

#### Liquidity Measures

We use different measures of liquidity as follows:

Overnight Liquidity - Our overnight liquidity requirement is established by our Asset/Liability Committee. Currently, our Asset/Liability Management Policy (ALM Policy) requires us to maintain overnight liquid assets at least equal to 3.5% of total assets. Under our ALM Policy, overnight liquidity includes money market assets, Federal Funds sold, deposits, paydowns of advances, MPF Loans with one day to maturity, and inter FHLB loans with one day to maturity. As of December 31, 2019, our overnight liquidity was \$20.4 billion or 20.4% of total assets. This amount represents excess overnight liquidity of \$16.9 billion over the minimum threshold of 3.5% of total assets.

<u>Deposit Coverage</u> - To support our member deposits, FHFA regulations require us to have an amount equal to the current deposits received from our members invested in obligations of the U.S. government, deposits in eligible banks or trust companies, or advances with maturities not exceeding five years. As of December 31, 2019, we had excess liquidity of \$56.5 billion to support member deposits.

Contingency Liquidity - For 2019, FHFA regulations required us to maintain enough contingency liquidity to meet our liquidity needs for five business days without access to the debt market (the "Contingency Liquidity Regulation"). Contingent liquidity is defined as: (a) marketable assets with a maturity of one year or less; (b) self-liquidating assets with a maturity of seven days or less; (c) assets that are generally accepted as collateral in the repurchase agreement market; and (d) irrevocable lines of credit from financial institutions that are considered to be of investment quality. Our ALM Policy defines our liquidity needs for five business days as an amount equal to the total of all principal and interest payments on non-deposit liabilities coming due in the next five business days plus a reserve consisting of one-fourth of customer deposits and \$1.0 billion. Our net liquidity in excess of our total uses and reserves over a cumulative five-business-day period was \$31.3 billion as of December 31, 2019.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

On August 23, 2018, the FHFA issued new guidance on liquidity (the "Liquidity AB") that communicates the FHFA's expectations with respect to the maintenance of sufficient liquidity to enable the FHLBs to fund advances and letters of credit for members during a sustained capital markets disruption. In connection with the Liquidity AB, the FHFA also issued a supervisory letter that identifies initial applicable thresholds for certain measures identified in the Liquidity AB. As market conditions warrant, the FHFA may update its supervisory letter to identify new thresholds within the ranges set forth in the Liquidity AB. The Liquidity AB replaces the previous FHFA guidance that required us to maintain daily liquidity through short-term investments in an amount at least equal to our anticipated cash outflows under two different scenarios. Additionally, in light of the Liquidity AB, the Contingency Liquidity Regulation discussed above was rescinded on January 1, 2020, when the FHFA's amendments to its risk-based capital requirements for the FHLBs became effective (as discussed in **Recent Legislative and Regulatory Developments** on page 13).

The Liquidity AB requires that: (i) we hold positive cash flow assuming no access to the capital markets for an increased period of between ten to thirty calendar days and assuming renewal of all maturing advances, and (ii) we maintain liquidity reserves between one and 20 percent of our outstanding letter of credit commitments. The Liquidity AB implements a phased-in compliance approach with its guidance; with the full measurement period becoming effective on December 31, 2019.

To satisfy our current liquidity requirements, we generally maintain increased balances in short-term investments. Depending on market conditions, the Liquidity AB may require the Bank to hold an additional amount of liquid assets, which could reduce the Bank's ability to invest in higher-yielding assets, and may in turn negatively impact net interest income. To the extent that the Bank adjusts pricing for its short-term advances and letters of credit, these products may become less competitive, which may adversely affect advance and capital stock levels as well as letters of credit levels. For additional discussion of how our liquidity requirements may impact our earnings, see **Risk Factors** starting on page 18.

In addition, we fund certain overnight or shorter-term investments and advances with debt that has a maturity that extends beyond the maturities of the related investments or advances. The Liquidity AB provides guidance on maintaining appropriate funding gaps for three-month (-10% to -20%) and one-year (-25% to -35%) maturity horizons, which we were required to meet by December 31, 2018. Subject to market conditions, the Bank's cost of funding may increase if we are required to achieve the appropriate funding gap by using longer term funding, on which we generally pay higher interest than on our short-term funding.

We are sensitive to maintaining an appropriate liquidity and funding balance between our financial assets and liabilities, and we measure and monitor the risk of refunding such assets as liabilities mature (refunding risk). In measuring the level of assets requiring refunding, we take into account their contractual maturities, as further described in the notes to the financial statements. In addition, we make certain assumptions about their expected cash flows. These assumptions include: calls for assets with such features, projected prepayments and scheduled amortizations for our MPF Loans held in portfolio, MBS and ABS investments.

The following table presents the unpaid principal balances of (1) MPF Loans held in portfolio, (2) AFS securities, and (3) HTM securities (including ABS and MBS investments), by expected principal cash flows. The table is illustrative of our assumptions about the expected cash flow of our assets, including prepayments made in advance of maturity.

MP	MPF Loans		Investment Debt Securities				
		Ava	Available-for Sale		eld-to- aturity		
\$	2,111	\$	1,074	\$	1,706		
	4,143		2,192		581		
	2,065		7,217		274		
	1,511		4,712		73		
\$	9,830	\$	15,195	\$	2,634		
	H Po	\$ 2,111 4,143 2,065 1,511	## Ava Portfolio    \$ 2,111   \$ 4,143   \$ 2,065   \$ 1,511	## Available-for Sale  \$ 2,111 \$ 1,074  4,143 2,192  2,065 7,217  1,511 4,712	## Available-for Sale ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##		

We consider our liabilities available to fund assets until their contractual maturity. For further discussion of the liquidity risks related to our access to funding, see **Risk Factors** starting on page 18.

FHLB P&I Funding and Contingency Plan Agreement. We have entered into an agreement with the other FHLBs and the Office of Finance regarding the Federal Reserve's intraday funding process to provide a mechanism for the FHLBs to provide liquidity to avert a shortfall in the timely payment of principal and interest on any consolidated obligations by one or more FHLBs. We may increase our liquidity ratio for a designated month out of an 11 month rotation to mitigate the risk that we are required to fund under the FHLB P&I Funding and Contingency Plan Agreement. Through the date of this report, no FHLB has been required to fund under this contingency agreement.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

#### **Funding**

#### Conditions in Financial Markets

In October 2019, the Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC) lowered the target range for the Federal Funds rate by 25 basis points to 1.50 to 1.75 percent and maintained that rate at the December 2019 meeting. October was the third time the FOMC reduced the benchmark interest rate in 2019, following similar rate cuts in July 2019 and September 2019. As of December 31, 2019, the markets predict approximately a 50 percent chance of an additional rate cut by the end of 2020. Throughout 2019, U.S. Treasury yields were lower across all maturities, although these yields were mixed during the fourth quarter of 2019 relative to the end of the third quarter, with lower rates in the front end of the curve and higher rates for maturities greater than two years.

We maintained ready access to funding throughout 2019.

#### LIBOR Transition

In July 2017, the United Kingdom's (U.K.) Financial Conduct Authority (FCA), a regulator of financial services firms and financial markets in the U.K., announced its intention to cease sustaining the LIBOR indices after 2021. In response, the Federal Reserve Board (FRB) and the Federal Reserve Bank of New York convened the Alternative Reference Rates Committee (ARRC) to identify a set of alternative reference interest rates for possible use as market benchmarks. The ARRC has identified the Secured Overnight Financing Rate (SOFR) as its recommended alternative rate. SOFR is based on a broad segment of the overnight Treasuries repurchase market and is intended to be a measure of the cost of borrowing cash overnight collateralized by Treasury securities. The Federal Reserve Bank of New York began publishing SOFR rates in April 2018 and the FHLB System issued its first SOFR-linked debt in the market on November 13, 2018. In January 2019, we participated in our first SOFR-indexed bond issuance and in February 2019, we began offering our first SOFR-linked advance. Many of our assets and liabilities are indexed to LIBOR, some with maturities or termination dates extending past December 31, 2021. In addition, on September 27, 2019, the FHFA issued a Supervisory Letter (the "Supervisory Letter") that the FHFA stated is designed to ensure the FHLBs will be able to identify and prudently manage the risks associated with the termination of LIBOR in a safe and sound manner. Among other things, the Supervisory Letter provides that the FHLBs should cease entering into certain transactions referencing LIBOR that mature after December 31, 2021. See Recent Legislative and Regulatory Developments on page 13 for a discussion of the Supervisory Letter and its potential impact on the Bank. For further discussion of the risks related to the replacement of LIBOR, see page 24 of the Risk Factors section. We are currently evaluating and planning for the eventual replacement of the LIBOR benchmark interest rate, including the possibility of SOFR as the primary replacement rate for investments and advances.

We have developed an initial LIBOR transition action plan and convened a project team to implement the transition, which is led by a senior executive and comprised of representatives from various areas across the Bank. Our Asset-Liability Management Committee (ALCO) is the management committee responsible for overseeing the transition from LIBOR. In assessing our current exposure to LIBOR, we have begun to develop an inventory of financial instruments impacted and begun to identify contracts that may require adding or adjusting the "fallback" language which provides for contractual alternatives to the use of LIBOR when LIBOR cannot be determined based on the method provided in the agreement. We have amended the terms of certain advance products to include fallback language and the OF has added or adjusted fallback language applicable to FHLB consolidated obligations. We continue to monitor the market-wide efforts to address fallback language related to derivatives and investment securities as well as fallback language for new activities and issuances of financial instruments. We are in the process of assessing our operational readiness, including updating our processes and information technology systems to support the transition from LIBOR to an alternative reference rate.

Market activity in SOFR-indexed financial instruments continues to increase, including the emergence of a SOFR-based derivative market, and we continue to participate in the issuance of SOFR-indexed consolidated bonds. During the year ended December 31, 2019, we have participated in the issuance of \$11.8 billion in SOFR-linked consolidated bonds. We continue to execute LIBOR-indexed derivatives to manage interest-rate risk. We have also begun to use Federal Funds Overnight Index Swap (Fed Funds OIS) swaps as an interest rate hedging strategy for financial instruments that do not have embedded options, as an alternative to using LIBOR when entering into new derivative transactions. We have begun to offer SOFR-linked advances to our members, and during the year ended December 31, 2019, have issued \$18 million in SOFR-linked advances. We also offer Discount Note-index floater advances, which some members have used as alternatives to LIBOR-linked advance products.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

### Variable-Rate Financial Instruments by Interest-Rate Index and LIBOR-Indexed Financial Instruments

We have advances, investment securities, consolidated bonds, and derivatives with interest rates indexed to LIBOR. The following tables presents our variable rate financial instruments by interest-rate index at December 31, 2019 and may not include instruments that indirectly incorporate LIBOR or another interest rate index. The tables also do not consider the impact of any fallback language contained in our financial products. ABS and MBS are presented by contractual maturity, however, their expected maturities will likely differ from contractual maturities as borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment fees.

As of December 31, 2019	Ac	Advances		restments		nsolidated bligations
Principal amount of variable rate instruments outstanding						
LIBOR	\$	4,069	\$	4,003	\$	(8,684)
SOFR		8		_		(11,836)
Other	_	21,676	_	489	_	
Total	\$	25,753	<u>\$</u>	4,492	<u>\$</u>	(20,520)
LIBOR indexed instruments principal amount outstanding by contractual maturity/termination date						
Before January 1, 2022	\$	1,119	\$	42	\$	(8,400)
January 1, 2022, and thereafter		2,950		3,961		(284)
Total	\$	4,069	\$	4,003	\$	(8,684)
Principal amount of SOFR-linked instruments issued during 2019	\$	18	\$	<u> </u>	\$	(11,836)
			De	erivative No Outsta		
As of December 31, 2019			F	Pay Leg	Re	ceive Leg
Interest rate swaps outstanding						
Fixed rate			\$	32,398	\$	41,378
LIBOR				21,197		23,488
SOFR				158		20
OIS				20,057		7,024
Other				_		1,900
Total interest rate swaps			\$	73,810	\$	73,810
LIBOR indexed interest rate aways by contractual maturity/termination	n data					
LIBOR indexed interest rate swaps by contractual maturity/termination  Maturity/termination before January 1, 2022	on date		\$	7,832	\$	2 522
Maturity/termination January 1, 2022, and thereafter			Ф	3,226	Ψ	3,532 9,707
Total cleared				11,058		13,239
Total Cleared				11,050		13,239
Maturity/termination before January 1, 2022				4,606		2,529
Maturity/termination January 1, 2022, and thereafter				5,533		7,720
Total uncleared				10,139		10,249
Total LIBOR indexed interest rate swaps			\$	21,197	\$	23,488
Total Libor interest rate swaps			Ψ	21,137	Ψ	23,400



#### (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

Liquidity Analysis - Statement of Cash Flows

Our assets and liabilities support our mission to provide our member shareholders competitively priced funding, a reasonable return on their investment in our capital stock, and support for their community investment activities. Our assets and liabilities can vary significantly in the normal course of business due to the amount and timing of cash flows, which are affected by member-driven activities and market conditions. We believe the cash flows from our operating and financing activities are sufficient to fund both our operating and investing liquidity needs. The following tables compare our significant cash flow activities in 2019 and 2018.

For comparisons to 2017 please refer to our 2018 Form 10-K starting on page 51.

Cash flows from operating activities with significant activity

For the years ended December 31,	2	2019		2018
Net income	\$	300	\$	303
Change in net fair value on derivatives and hedging activities		(557)		71
Other		114		34
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$	(143)	\$	408

The decrease in net cash provided by operating activities resulted primarily from the change in fair value of our derivatives and hedging activities. The Federal Reserve was gradually raising interest rates during 2018 but reversed course rapidly and significantly during 2019 resulting in a significant change in net fair value on derivatives.

Cash flows from investing activities with significant activity

For the years ended December 31,	2019		2018	
Net cash flows on interest bearing deposits, Federal Funds sold, and securities purchased under agreement to resell	\$	(4,407)	\$	1,957
Net cash flows on investment debt securities		(1,659)		(3,161)
Net cash flows on advances		2,481		(4,586)
Net cash flows on MPF Loans held in portfolio		(2,924)		(1,919)
Other		5		19
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	\$	(6,504)	\$	(7,690)

Our investing activities consist predominantly of liquid assets, investment debt securities, advances, and MPF Loans. Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities changed for the following reasons:

- · We increased our liquid assets in 2019 to comply with regulatory guidance.
- We continued to purchase investment debt securities in 2019 but at a lower rate than in 2018 due to the above noted increase in liquid assets.
- Overall, members paid back more advances in 2019 compared to 2018, when more members took out new advances.
- MPF Loan volume increased in 2019 compared to 2018 due to lower interest rates which increased mortgage refinancings and loan origination. Increased utilization by PFIs and the addition of new PFIs from our Bank also contributed to higher volume.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

Cash flows from financing activities with significant activity

For the years ended December 31,	2019		2018
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities -			
Net cash flows on discount notes	\$	(1,495)	\$ 1,930
Net cash flows on consolidated obligation bonds		7,903	5,138
Other		240	200
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	\$	6,648	\$ 7,268

Our financing activities primarily reflect cash flows related to issuing and repaying consolidated obligations. The proceeds from our discount notes and bonds were primarily utilized to fund our investing activities as noted above. Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities changed for the following reasons:

- We had a net decrease in funds provided from discount notes as we took advantage of more favorable rates on longer termed bonds as noted below.
- We increased the amount of our consolidated obligation bonds used to fund the growth in our balance sheet as we
  continued to take advantage of favorable variable interest rates on longer term bonds as compared to a higher reliance
  on discount notes.

### Sources of Funding

We fund our assets principally with consolidated obligations (bonds and discount notes) issued through the Office of Finance, deposits, and capital stock. As of December 31, 2019, our consolidated obligations were rated AA+ (with outlook stable) by S&P and Aaa (with outlook stable) by Moody's. Consolidated obligations have GSE status although they are not obligations of the United States and the United States does not guarantee them.

Reliance on short-term debt offers us certain advantages which are weighed against the increased risk of using short-term debt. Traditionally we have benefited from interest rates below LIBOR rates for our short-term debt which has resulted in a positive impact on net interest income when used to fund LIBOR-indexed assets.

The following table summarizes our short-term discount notes and consolidated obligation bonds with original maturities of one year or less. Weighted average rates exclude hedging adjustments. For comparisons to 2017 please refer to our 2018 Form 10-K starting on page 53.

	Discount Notes (carrying amount)					Short-Term C Obligation Bor	
As of or for the years ended December 31,		2019		2018		2019	2018
Outstanding at period end	\$	41,675	\$	43,166	\$	14,103	\$ 10,240
Weighted average rate at period-end		1.61%		2.28%		1.68%	2.19%
Daily average outstanding for the year-to-date period	\$	45,814	\$	41,336	\$	13,084	\$ 15,837
Weighted average rate for the year-to-date period		2.20%		1.83%		1.81%	1.68%
Highest outstanding at any month-end during the year-to-date period	\$	47,647	\$	43,408	\$	14,300	\$ 19,770

We comply with FHFA regulations that require we maintain the following types of assets free from any lien or pledge in an amount at least equal to the amount of our consolidated obligations outstanding:

- cash
- obligations of, or fully guaranteed by, the United States;
- secured advances;
- mortgages, which have any guaranty, insurance, or commitment from the United States or any agency of the U.S. government; and
- investments described in Section 16(a) of the FHLB Act, which, among other items, includes securities that a fiduciary or trust fund may purchase under the laws of the state in which the FHLB is located.

At December 31, 2019, we had eligible assets free from pledges of \$99.3 billion, compared to our outstanding consolidated obligations of \$92.1 billion.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

The Office of Finance has responsibility for the issuance of consolidated obligations. It also services all outstanding debt, provides us with information on capital market developments, manages our relationship with ratings agencies with respect to consolidated obligations, and prepares the FHLBs' combined quarterly and annual financial statements. The Office of Finance will allocate the proceeds from the issuance of consolidated obligations that cannot be issued in sufficient amounts to satisfy all FHLB demand for funding during periods of financial distress and when its existing allocation processes are deemed insufficient. In general, the proceeds in such circumstances will be allocated among the FHLBs based on regulatory capital unless the Office of Finance determines that there is an overwhelming reason to adopt a different allocation method. As is the case during any instance of disruption in our ability to access the capital market, market conditions or this allocation could adversely impact our ability to finance our operations, which could thereby adversely impact our financial condition and results of operations.

#### Consolidated Obligation Bonds

Consolidated obligation bonds (bonds) satisfy term funding requirements and are issued under various programs. The maturities of these securities may range from less than one year to over 20 years, but they are not subject to any statutory or regulatory limits on maturity. The bonds can be fixed- or adjustable-rate, and callable or non-callable. We also offer fixed-rate, non-callable (bullet) bonds via the FHLBs' Tap issue program. This program uses specific maturities that may be reopened daily during a three month period through competitive auctions. The goal of the Tap program is to aggregate frequent smaller issues into a larger bond issue that may have greater market liquidity.

Although we issue fixed-rate bullet and callable bonds, we may also issue bonds that have adjustable rates, step-up rates that step-up or increase at fixed amounts on predetermined dates, zero-coupons, and other types of rates. See **Note 10 - Consolidated Obligations** to the financial statements for details. Bonds are issued and distributed daily through negotiated or competitively bid transactions with approved underwriters or selling groups.

We receive 100% of the net proceeds of a bond issued via direct negotiation with underwriters of FHLB debt when we are the only FHLB involved in the negotiation; we are the sole FHLB that is primary obligor on the bond in those cases. When we and one or more other FHLBs jointly negotiate the issuance of a bond directly with underwriters, we receive the portion of the proceeds of the bond agreed upon with the other FHLBs; in those cases, we are primary obligor for the pro rata portion of the bond based on proceeds received. The majority of our bond issuance is conducted via direct negotiation with underwriters of the FHLB bonds, some with, and some without, participation by other FHLBs.

We may also request specific bonds to be offered by the Office of Finance for sale via competitive auction conducted with underwriters in a bond selling group. One or more other FHLBs may request amounts of the same bonds to be offered for sale for their benefit via the same auction. We may receive from 0% to 100% of the proceeds of the bonds issued via competitive auction depending on:

- · the amount and cost for the bonds bid by underwriters;
- the maximum cost we or other FHLBs participating in the same issue, if any, are willing to pay for the bonds; and
- quidelines for allocation of the bond proceeds among multiple participating FHLBs administered by the Office of Finance.

We also participate in the Global Issuances Program, under which the FHLB System, through the Office of Finance, maintains a process for scheduled issuance of global fixed-rate consolidated bonds. As part of this process, management from each FHLB determines and communicates a firm commitment to the Office of Finance for an amount of scheduled global debt to be issued on its behalf. If the FHLBs' orders do not meet the minimum debt issuance size, each FHLB receives an allocation of proceeds equal to the larger of the FHLB's commitment or the ratio of the individual FHLB's capital to total capital of all of the FHLBs. If the FHLBs' commitments exceed the minimum debt issuance size, then the proceeds are allocated based on actual commitment amount. The FHLBs can, however, pass on any scheduled calendar slot and decline to issue any global consolidated obligations under this program upon agreement of at least eight of the 11 FHLBs.

### Consolidated Obligation Discount Notes

The FHLBs sell consolidated obligation discount notes (discount notes) in the capital markets to provide short-term funds for advances to members, for seasonal and cyclical fluctuations in savings flows, and for mortgage financing and investments. Discount notes have maturities up to 365 days and are sold through a selling group and through other authorized securities dealers. Discount notes are sold at a discount and mature at par.

On a daily basis, we may request specific amounts of discount notes with specific maturity dates to be offered by the Office of Finance at a specific cost for sale to underwriters in the selling group. One or more other FHLBs may also request an amount of discount notes with the same maturity to be offered for sale for their benefit on the same day. The Office of Finance commits to issue discount notes on behalf of the participating FHLBs when underwriters in the selling group submit orders for the specific



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

discount notes offered for sale. We may receive from zero to 100% of the requested proceeds depending on: the maximum costs we or other FHLBs participating in the same discount notes, if any, are willing to pay for the discount notes; the amount of orders for the discount notes submitted by underwriters; and guidelines for allocation of discount note proceeds among multiple participating FHLBs administered by the Office of Finance.

Twice weekly, we may also request specific amounts of discount notes with fixed maturity dates ranging from four weeks to 26 weeks to be offered by the Office of Finance for sale via competitive auction conducted with underwriters in the selling group. The bi-weekly discount note auction uses a single-price (Dutch) award method to determine winning bids. One or more FHLBs may also request amounts of those same discount notes to be offered for sale for their benefit via the same auction. We may receive from zero to 100% of the requested proceeds depending on the amounts and costs for the discount notes bid by the underwriters and guidelines for allocation of discount note proceeds among multiple participating FHLBs administered by the Office of Finance. The majority of our issuances are conducted via the twice weekly auctions.

#### Deposits

We accept deposits from our members, institutions eligible to become our members, institutions for which we are providing correspondent services, other FHLBs, and other government instrumentalities such as the FDIC. We offer several types of deposits to our deposit customers including demand, overnight, and term deposits. Deposits are not a significant source of funding for our operations and are primarily offered for the convenience of our members doing business with us.

The following table presents average deposit balances and the rate paid. For comparisons to 2017 please refer to our 2018 Form 10-K starting on page 55.

For the years ended December 31,	2019		2018
Average outstanding interest bearing	\$	693	\$ 580
Average outstanding non-interest bearing		166	63
Interest expense		14	10
Weighted average rate interest bearing		2.02%	1.74%

#### **Capital Resources**

#### Capital Rules

Under our Capital Plan our stock consists of two sub-classes of stock, Class B1 stock and Class B2 stock (together, Class B stock), both with a par value of \$100 per share and redeemable on five years' written notice, subject to certain conditions. Under the Capital Plan, each member is required to own capital stock in an amount equal to the greater of a membership stock requirement or an activity stock requirement. Class B1 stock is available to support a member's activity stock requirement. Class B2 stock is available to support a member's membership stock requirement and any activity stock requirement.

The following table reflects the ranges of capital stock requirements permitted under our Capital Plan and requirements during 2019.

Capital Pla	an Requirement during 2019	Range Permitted under Capital Plan <sup>d</sup>
Membership Stock Requirement	0.40% of a member's mortgage assets	0.20% to 2% of a member's mortgage assets, with a minimum requirement of \$10,000
Cap on Membership Stock Requirement	\$5 million <sup>b</sup>	The lesser of (1) a dollar cap set by the Board within a range of \$10,000 and \$75 million, and (2) 9.9% of our total capital stock outstanding as of the prior December 31.
Activity Stock Requirement for Advances	4.5% of outstanding advances °	4% to 6% of a member's outstanding advances, although the requirement may be set as low as 2% for those advances made through our Reduced Capitalization Advance Program (RCAP)
B2/B1 Threshold <sup>a</sup>	\$10,000	\$10,000 to \$75 million

The amount of a member's Class B2 stock that exceeds this "threshold" and is necessary to support advance activity is automatically converted to Class B1 stock.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> A member's investment in membership stock is subject to a cap.

The activity stock requirement applies to all outstanding and new advances other than those advances made through the Bank's RCAP.

d Ranges reflected above are from our current Capital Plan, as last amended and restated effective October 1, 2015.



#### (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

Our Capital Plan allows for an activity stock requirement for MPF Loans acquired for our portfolio within a range of 0% and 6%, which our Board has set at 0%. Currently, the Bank does not have a capital stock requirement for the issuance of standby letters of credit. However, we have received regulatory communication relating to the inclusion of an activity stock requirement for letters of credit, the specific terms of which have not yet been determined, and any such requirement will need to be included in an amended capital plan. At such time as the Board decides to introduce, or at such time as our regulator imposes, an activity stock requirement for MPF Loans or standby letters of credit, we intend to notify members sufficiently in advance of the change and apply that change only to future acquisitions or transactions.

Membership stock requirements will continue to be recalculated annually, whereas the activity stock requirement and any automatic conversion of Class B2 stock to Class B1 stock related to the threshold will apply on a daily basis.

We may only redeem or repurchase capital stock from a member if, following the redemption or repurchase, the member continues to meet its minimum investment requirement and we remain in compliance with our regulatory capital requirements discussed below.

Members that withdraw from membership must wait at least five years after their membership was terminated and all of their capital stock was redeemed or repurchased before being readmitted to membership in any FHLB.

Under the terms of our Capital Plan, our Board of Directors is authorized to amend the Capital Plan, and the FHFA must approve all such amendments before they become effective.

### Reduced Capitalization Advance Program

During 2019, we continued to offer our Reduced Capitalization Advance Program (RCAP), which allows members to borrow both short-term and long-term advances with an activity stock requirement of only 2% for the life of the advance instead of the current 4.5% requirement under our Capital Plan's general provisions. As of December 31, 2019, RCAP advances outstanding total \$24.2 billion to 142 members. We may implement future programs for advances with a reduced activity stock requirement that may or may not have the same characteristics as current RCAP offerings.

### Minimum Capital Requirements

We are subject by regulation to the following three capital requirements:

- total regulatory capital ratio;
- leverage capital ratio; and
- risk-based capital.

For tables showing our compliance with the total capital ratio and leverage capital ratio as well as further details on all of our capital requirements, see **Note 12 - Capital and Mandatorily Redeemable Capital Stock (MRCS)** to the financial statements.

Under the risk-based capital requirement (which was amended effective January 1, 2020, as discussed below), we must maintain permanent capital equal to the sum of our (i) credit risk capital requirement, (ii) market risk capital requirement, and (iii) operations risk capital requirement, as outlined below:

- <u>Credit Risk Capital Requirement</u>. The credit risk capital requirement is the sum of the capital charges for our assets, off balance sheet items, and derivatives contracts. These capital charges are calculated using the methodologies and percentages assigned by the FHFA regulations to each class of assets.
- <u>Market Risk Capital Requirement</u>. The market risk capital requirement is the sum of (a) the market value of our portfolio at
  risk from movements in interest rates, foreign exchange rates, commodity prices, and equity prices that could occur during
  periods of market stress; and (b) the amount, if any, by which the market value of total capital is less than 85% of the book
  value of total capital.
- Operations Risk Capital Requirement. The operations risk capital requirement is 30% of the sum of our (a) credit risk capital requirement and (b) market risk capital requirement.



#### (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

The following table summarizes our risk-based capital amounts. Under the FHFA regulation on capital classifications and critical capital levels for the FHLBs, we were adequately capitalized.

As of	Decem	Decen	nber 31, 2018	
Capital stock	\$	1,713	\$	1,698
Mandatorily redeemable capital stock (MRCS) recorded as a liability		324		313
Retained earnings		3,770		3,536
Total permanent capital	\$	5,807	\$	5,547
Credit risk capital	\$	657	\$	648
Market risk capital		221		206
Operations risk capital		263		257
Total risk based capital requirement	\$	1,141	\$	1,111
Excess permanent capital stock over risk based capital requirement	\$	4,666	\$	4,436

As discussed in **Recent Legislative and Regulatory Developments** on page 13, effective January 1, 2020 amended FHFA regulations revised: (1) the credit risk component of the risk-based capital requirements through certain changes to the capital charges assigned to advances, non-mortgage assets, off-balance sheet assets and derivatives, and (2) the market risk component of the requirements by repealing the 85% requirement (i.e., that market risk capital include an amount equal to the market value of the Bank's total capital that is less than 85% of the book value of the Bank's total capital). The Bank does not expect that the revisions to the credit risk and market risk component of the risk-based capital requirements will materially impact our financial condition or results of operations in the future.

Statutory and Regulatory Restrictions on Capital Stock Repurchase and Redemption

In accordance with the FHLB Act, our capital stock is considered putable with restrictions given the significant restrictions on the obligation/right to redeem.

We cannot redeem shares of stock from any member if:

- the principal or interest on any consolidated obligation is not paid in full when due;
- we fail to certify in writing to the FHFA that we will remain in compliance with our liquidity requirements and will remain capable of making full and timely payment of all of our current obligations;
- we notify the FHFA that we cannot provide the required quarterly certification, or project that we will fail to comply with statutory or regulatory liquidity requirements, or will be unable to timely and fully meet all of our current obligations; or
- we actually fail to comply with statutory or regulatory liquidity requirements or to timely and fully meet all of our current obligations, or enter or negotiate to enter into an agreement with one or more other FHLBs to obtain financial assistance to meet our current obligations.

Additional statutory and regulatory restrictions on the redemption and repurchase of our capital stock include the following:

- In no case may we redeem or repurchase capital stock if, following such redemption, we would fail to satisfy our minimum regulatory capital requirements established by the GLB Act or the FHFA.
- In no case may we redeem or repurchase capital stock if either our Board of Directors or the FHFA determines that we have
  incurred, or are likely to incur, losses resulting or expected to result in a charge against capital stock. In addition to being
  able to prohibit capital stock redemptions and repurchases, our Board has a right to call for additional capital stock
  purchases by members, as a condition of continuing membership, as needed for us to satisfy our statutory and regulatory
  capital requirements.

The FHLB Act provides that, in accordance with rules, regulations, and orders that may be prescribed by the FHFA, we may be liquidated or reorganized and our capital stock paid off and retired, in whole or in part, after paying or making a provision for payment of our liabilities. The FHLB Act further provides that, in connection with any such liquidation or reorganization, any other FHLB may, with the approval of the FHFA, acquire our assets and assume our liabilities, in whole or in part.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

#### Capital Amounts

The following tables, respectively, presents our five largest holders of regulatory capital stock and reconciles our capital reported in our statements of condition to the amount of capital stock reported for regulatory purposes. MRCS is included in the calculation of the regulatory capital and leverage ratios but is recorded as a liability in the statements of condition.

Regula Stock C	tory Capital Outstanding	% of Total Outstanding	Amount of Which is Classified as a Liability (MRCS)
\$	247	12.1%	<del>\$</del> —
	<b>245</b> <sup>a</sup>	12.0%	245
	174	8.6%	<u> </u>
	149	7.3%	<del>_</del>
	110	5.4%	
	1,112	54.6%	79
\$	2,037	100.0%	\$ 324
		December 31, 2019	December 31, 2018
		\$ 1,713	\$ 1,698
		324	313
		\$ 2,037	\$ 2,011
		\$ 1,713	\$ 1,698
		3,770	3,536
		(29)	55
		\$ 5,454	\$ 5,289
	Stock C	245 <sup>a</sup> 174 149 110 1,112	Stock Outstanding         Outstanding           \$ 247         12.1%           245 a         12.0%           174         8.6%           149         7.3%           110         5.4%           1,112         54.6%           \$ 2,037         100.0%           December 31, 2019           \$ 1,713         324           \$ 2,037         \$ 1,713           3,770         \$ 2,037

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> One Mortgage Partners Corp. is a subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase Bank NA.

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in the above table consists of changes in market value of various balance sheet accounts where the change is not recorded in earnings but are instead recorded in equity capital as the income (loss) is not yet realized. For details on these changes please see **Note 13 - Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)** to the financial statements.

Although we had no OTTI year to date in 2019, credit deterioration may negatively impact our remaining private label MBS portfolio. We cannot predict if or when impairments will occur, or the impact these impairments may have on our retained earnings and capital position.

Components of total GAAP capital changed for the following reasons:

- The increase in capital stock corresponds to our members' increased use of our advances to support their borrowings.
- Total retained earnings increased due to our net income less dividends paid; see Statements of Capital on page F-7 for details

Beginning in February 2020, the FHFA will consider the proportion of capital stock to assets, measured on a daily average basis at month end, when assessing each FHLB's capital management practices. See **Recent Legislative and Regulatory Developments** on page 13.

### **Repurchase of Excess Capital Stock**

Beginning on October 31, 2019, we stopped repurchasing all excess Class B2 membership stock on a weekly basis .However, members may continue to request repurchase of excess stock on any business day. All repurchases of excess capital stock requested by members will continue until otherwise announced, but remain subject to our regulatory requirements, certain financial and capital thresholds, and prudent business practices.

**Table of Contents** 



# Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago

(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

Repurchase of excess capital stock held by members is subject to compliance with the following financial and capital thresholds both before and after repurchase:

- The ratio of our total capital to total assets is greater than or equal to 4.25%;
- Our ratio of the Bank's market value of equity to book value of equity is at least 85% on a U.S. GAAP basis;
- Our risk-based capital is greater than or equal to 125% of the minimum amount required, as discussed in Capital Resources on page 54;
- Compliance with all of our minimum regulatory capital requirements;
- Projected compliance with each of our minimum regulatory capital requirements for the next four quarters using the most recent expected case income projections; and
- Compliance with our contractual obligations under the Joint Capital Enhancement Agreement, as discussed in **Joint Capital Enhancement Agreement with other FHLBs** on the next page.

For further information on amounts of excess stock repurchased, see **Statements of Capital** to the financial statements.

### **Retained Earnings & Dividends**

#### Dividend Payments

FHFA rules state that FHLBs may declare and pay dividends only from previously retained earnings or current net earnings, and may not declare or pay dividends based on projected or anticipated earnings. Under our Capital Plan, any dividend declared on Class B1 shares must be greater than or equal to the dividend declared on Class B2 shares for the same period, and dividends may be paid in the form of cash or stock, or a combination of both. All dividends we have paid since 2011 have been in cash rather than stock. We have paid an enhanced dividend on Class B1 activity stock since the fourth quarter of 2013.

Although we continue to work to maintain our financial strength to support a reasonable dividend, any future dividend payment remains subject to declaration by our Board and will depend on future operating results, our Retained Earnings and Dividend Policy, and any other factors the Board determines to be relevant.

We may not pay dividends if we fail to satisfy our minimum capital and liquidity requirements under the FHLB Act and FHFA regulations. Further, under FHFA regulations, we may not pay any dividends in the form of capital stock if excess stock held by our shareholders is greater than 1% of our total assets or if, after the issuance of such shares, excess stock held by our shareholders would be greater than 1% of our total assets.

#### Retained Earnings and Dividend Policy

Our Board of Directors has adopted a Retained Earnings and Dividend Policy (Policy) which, as last updated in December 2019, establishes a target for retained earnings to provide a cushion against the potential for loss that could impact shareholder value. Specifically, the Policy requires us to establish an overall target for retained earnings incorporating the following components:

- Risk-based targets based on estimates using the greater of (1) modified regulatory-based estimates with components for credit risk and market risk, (2) results of our annual stress test, and (3) parametric value-at-risk estimates for credit and market risk. Each of these risk-based alternatives incorporates additional estimates for exposures related to (a) operational risk, (b) repurchase risk arising from obligations to third party investors from loans originated under the MPF Program, (c) settlement risk relating to unsettled consolidated obligations and investment debt securities, (d) accounting risk related to hedge accounting and OTTI accounting; (e) counterparty risks relating to risk of loss due to failure of a counterparty in an unsecured transaction; and (f) the amount of loans outstanding under the Bank's Community First Fund;
- Earnings-based targets that would allow the Bank to pay a reasonable return on member capital in low-rate and lowmember utilization environments; and
- Risk-adjusted capital target that would allow the Bank to meet regulatory capital requirements without reliance on risk-based retained earnings under stressful conditions.

Under the Policy, we may, but are not required, to pay a dividend out of our net income (with certain adjustments as described below) or retained earnings, after consideration of the retained earnings target on a quarterly basis and management's assessment of the current adequacy of retained earnings. The maximum dividend allowed would align with requirements set forth in the Policy regarding target levels of retained earnings and risk-adjusted capital, and achievement of risk-based targets. The Board may declare a dividend less than the maximum dividend permitted under the Policy. For these purposes, adjusted net income is net income resulting directly from certain business activities, excluding income from such activities as advance prepayments, transfers of debt to other FHLBs and gains or losses resulting from certain hedge practices.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

Our Board of Directors declared quarterly cash dividends at annualized percentage rates per \$100 of par value as presented in the below table (based on the previous quarter's earnings).

	<b>B1 Activity Stock</b>			E	32 Member	rship Stock	
Quarter in which dividend was declared (recorded) and paid	Dividends		Annualized Rate	Dividends		Annualized Rate	
2019							
1st quarter	\$	20	5.00%	\$	1	2.00%	
2nd quarter		20	5.00%		1	2.25%	
3rd quarter		19	5.00%		1	2.25%	
4th quarter		19	5.00%		1	2.25%	
Total	\$	78	5.00%	\$	4	2.19%	
2018							
1st quarter	\$	12	3.50%	\$	1	1.50%	
2nd quarter		15	4.00%		_	1.60%	
3rd quarter		16	4.25%		1	1.70%	
4th quarter		18	4.50%		1_	1.80%	
Total	\$	61	4.06%	\$	3	1.65%	

On January 23, 2020, our Board of Directors maintained the dividend declared per share on both sub-classes of capital stock. Based on our financial results for the fourth quarter of 2019, the Board declared a cash dividend of 5.00% (annualized) for Class B1 activity stock and a cash dividend of 2.25% (annualized) for Class B2 membership stock. This dividend, including dividends on mandatorily redeemable capital stock (recorded as interest expense), totaled \$25 million and was paid on February 13, 2020. As further discussed in **Executive Summary** on page 35, on January 23, 2020, the Bank also provided dividend guidance for the next two quarters.

Joint Capital Enhancement Agreement with other FHLBs

The FHLBs, including us, entered into a Joint Capital Enhancement Agreement (JCE Agreement) intended to enhance the capital position of each FHLB. The intent of the JCE Agreement is to allocate that portion of each FHLB's earnings to a separate retained earnings account at that FHLB. For more information on the JCE Agreement, see **Note 12 - Capital and Mandatorily Redeemable Capital Stock (MRCS)** to the financial statements.

Although restricted retained earnings under the JCE Agreement are included in determining whether we have attained the retained earnings target under the Bank's Retained Earnings and Dividend Policy discussed above, these restricted retained earnings will not be available to pay dividends. We do not believe that the requirement to contribute 20% of our future net income to a restricted retained earnings account under the JCE Agreement will have an impact on our ability to pay dividends except in the most extreme circumstances. There is a provision in the JCE Agreement that if, at any time, our restricted retained earnings were to fall below the required level, we would only be permitted to pay dividends out of (1) current net income not required to be added to our restricted retained earnings and (2) retained earnings that are not restricted.

#### **FICO Dissolution**

On October 2019, the Financing Corporation (FICO), formed pursuant to the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation Recapitalization Act of 1987 to provide financing for the resolution of failed savings and loan associations, commenced the process of dissolution in accordance with relevant statutory requirements and the terms of a plan of dissolution approved by the Director of the FHFA. Subject to the satisfaction of any claims and the payment of other administrative expenses upon FICO's dissolution, which is expected to occur in the first half of 2020, any surplus and remaining cash on hand of FICO is expected to be distributed to the FHLBs, as FICO's sole stockholders, in proportion to their ownership in FICO's nonvoting capital stock. The Bank's ownership in FICO's nonvoting capital stock is 9.69%. The receipt by the Bank of any such distribution from FICO will be treated as a partial return of its prior capital contributions to FICO and credited to its unrestricted retained earnings. The Bank does not expect any distribution from FICO to materially affect the Bank's financial condition or combined results of operations.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

### **Off Balance Sheet Arrangements**

We provide members with letters of credit for a fee. If a beneficiary draws under a letter of credit, our member either reimburses us for the amount drawn or the drawn amount is converted into a collateralized advance to the member. If any advances were to be issued under these letters of credit, they would be made under the same standards and terms of collateral as any other advance issued to that member at that point of time.

We have entered into standby bond purchase agreements with the Illinois and Wisconsin state housing authorities. Upon request of the applicable authority, we enter into an agreement with them to purchase and hold the authority's bonds for a fee until the designated remarketing agent can find a suitable investor.

Refer to **Note 16 - Commitments and Contingencies** to the financial statements for further disclosures related to our commercial commitments, such as letters of credit and standby bond purchase agreements.

### **Contractual Cash Obligations**

We enter into various contractual obligations that require us to make future cash payments. The following table summarizes our contractual cash obligations due by period:

	Contractual Cash Payments Due by Period									
As of December 31, 2019		ess than 1 year	1-	3 years	After 5 3-5 years years Total <sup>a</sup>				Total <sup>a</sup>	
Consolidated obligation bonds	\$	22,483	\$	16,269	\$	6,015	\$	5,631	\$	50,398
Operating leases		7		13		14		53		87
MPF purchase delivery commitments		615		_		_		_		615
Mandatorily redeemable capital stock		1		9		8		306		324
Other		2		21		7		19		49
Total contractual cash obligations	\$	23,108	\$	16,312	\$	6,044	\$	6,009	\$	51,473

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Total excludes projected contractual interest payments for consolidated obligation bonds of \$3.6 billion.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

#### **Risk Management**

### **Operational Risk**

Operational risk is the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems or from external events. Fraud, legal, compliance, financial reporting, model and technology risks are components within the definition of operational risk. We have established programs to identify, measure, monitor, manage, and report operational risks such as comprehensive risk assessments, establishing policies and procedures, loss incident reporting and obtaining appropriate insurance coverage to mitigate the likelihood of, and potential losses from, such occurrences.

#### Governance and Control Activities

The Board of Directors has established Bank-wide policies governing operational risk, which include an Enterprise Risk Management Policy and an Enterprise Operational Risk Management Policy. Primary oversight responsibility for operational risk is vested with our management level Operational Risk Oversight Committee. Responsibilities of this committee include, but are not limited to, oversight and review of Bank-wide operational risks such as the management of business continuity, technology (including information security) risks, issues or updates, operational aspects of new business activities, analysis and mitigation of any operational loss, vendor management, oversight and direction to our compliance activities, and oversight to internal controls and procedures in compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. This Committee monitors the performance of these operational activities by reviewing management reports prepared by the responsible business manager on a periodic basis. Also, the Committee monitors the effectiveness of operational controls through the reporting of critical operational losses, events, risk assessments, operational risk metrics, and a quarterly certification of operational and internal controls over financial reporting.

Our executive officers or their delegates provide periodic reports, as appropriate, to the following Board committees: Risk Management Committee, Operations and Technology Committee, and the Audit Committee.

### Business Resiliency

In order to ensure our ability to provide liquidity and service to our members and PFIs, we have business resumption plans designed to restore critical business processes and systems in the event of a variety of business interruptions. We maintain key information systems infrastructure with vendors that have reliable and consistent data recovery capabilities as well as more optimal geographic diversity to provide a more resilient technology infrastructure. We are party to a reciprocal arrangement with the FHLB Dallas to allow members to access their deposits as well as have access to overnight advances to the extent they have collateral already in place. Both the FHLB Dallas and our off-site recovery plans are subject to periodic testing.

#### **Credit Risk**

We define credit risk as the risk to our earnings or capital due to an obligor's failure to meet the terms of any contract with us, or to otherwise perform as agreed. We are exposed to credit risk principally through:

- Member credit products, such as advances, letters of credit, and other extensions of credit to borrowers;
- · MPF Loans and related exposures;
- investment debt securities;
- · securities purchased under agreements to resell;
- unsecured short-term investments;
- · Community First Fund loans; and
- · derivatives.

### Managing Our Credit Risk Exposure Related to Member Credit Products

Our member credit products credit risk exposure includes our secured credit extensions, such as advances, letters of credit, and related extensions of credit to members. See **Note 6 - Advances** and **Note 16 - Commitments and Contingencies** to the financial statements for further details on member credit products. We lend to our members in accordance with federal statutes and FHFA regulations. We manage our credit exposure to our member credit products using a risk-based integrated approach as discussed below. We utilize conservative collateral/lending policies to limit risk of loss while balancing members' needs for a reliable source of funding.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

**Establishing Credit Limits** - Under our credit policy, a member's initial credit limit is set at 35% of their total assets, subject to modifications up or down based primarily on the following factors:

- The collateral value of eligible collateral a member has pledged. Collateral value represents the borrowing capacity assigned to the types of collateral we accept for member credit products. Collateral value does not imply fair value.
- A member's risk rating, which is determined by assessing their creditworthiness and financial condition utilizing financial
  information available to us, including the quarterly financial statement reports members file with their regulators. Additionally,
  we conduct an ongoing review of each borrower's financial condition.
- For increases to the initial credit limit, approval by the Credit and Collateral Committee, our CRO, or our CEO is required.

<u>Member Credit Outstanding</u> - We track total credit risk with our members. The total credit risk concentrated with members with 10% or more of our total member credit outstanding is as follows:

As of December 31, 2019	 Credit anding	% of Total
One Mortgage Partners Corp.	\$ 25,227 a	33.9 %

One Mortgage Partners Corp. is a subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase Bank NA.

Member Credit Risk Ratings - Our credit risk rating system focuses primarily on our member's overall financial health and takes into account the member's asset quality, earnings, and capital position. We utilize our credit risk rating system to assign each member a credit risk rating from one (lowest credit risk) to five (highest credit risk). Our credit risk rating represents our assessment of the risk of member insolvency rather than the risk of credit loss on the member's credit outstanding with us. We utilize the credit risk rating of a member to manage our credit risk through collateral controls, and as a result, we have never suffered a credit loss on a member credit product. Credit risk mitigation actions may be applied to members perceived to pose increased risk, and are commonly applied to members with credit risk ratings of four and five. Specifically, these members may be:

- · required to maintain higher amounts of collateral;
- · required to deliver loan collateral to us or a third party custodian on our behalf;
- restricted from obtaining certain member credit products; and
- faced with more stringent collateral reporting requirements.

The following table presents the number of members and related credit outstanding to them by credit risk rating. Credit outstanding consists primarily of outstanding advances and letters of credit. MPF credit enhancement obligations, member derivative exposures, and other obligations make up the rest. Of the total credit outstanding, \$50.1 billion were advances (par value) and \$23.9 billion were letters of credit at December 31, 2019, compared to \$52.6 billion and \$24.3 billion at December 31, 2018.

		December 31, 2019				De	cember 31, 2018		
Rating	Borrowing Members	Credit Outstanding	Co	llateral Loan Value	Borrowing Members	Cr	edit Outstanding	(	Collateral Loan Value
1-3	492	\$ 73,421	\$	134,965	509	\$	76,456	\$	130,791
4	2	871		881	2		504		536
5	9	60		88	11		126		193
Total	503	\$ 74,352	\$	135,934	522	\$	77,086	\$	131,520

The majority of members assigned a 4 rating in the above table were required to submit specific collateral listings and the majority of members assigned a 5 rating were required to deliver collateral to us or to a third party custodian on our behalf.

Nature and Amount of Collateral Pledged. - Collateral arrangements may vary by type of member (e.g., depository versus non-depository institutions), lien structure, member credit quality, collateral availability, collateral type, results of periodic on-site reviews of collateral, and overall member credit exposure. The FHLB Act requires us to obtain sufficient collateral to fully secure member credit products. Eligible collateral includes whole first mortgages on improved residential property, or non-agency securities representing a whole interest in such mortgages; securities issued, insured, or guaranteed by the U.S. government or any of its agencies (includes MBS issued or guaranteed by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, or Ginnie Mae and FHLB consolidated



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

obligations); cash or deposits; and other real estate related collateral (includes home equity loans and lines of credit, farmland, and commercial real estate) that has a readily ascertainable value, can be reliably discounted to account for liquidation risk and can be liquidated in due course and that we can perfect a security interest in such collateral. We also accept pledges of secured business or agri-business loans from community financial institutions (CFIs), which are permitted under the FHLB Act.

In certain circumstances, such as when a member's membership is terminated due to a merger and the acquiring entity is a member of another FHLB; the other FHLB may agree to manage the former member's collateral covering advances and any other amounts still outstanding to us and will subordinate to us either certain collateral it receives from the member or certain categories of collateral. Likewise, if one of our members were to acquire the member of another FHLB, we may agree to manage the collateral for the other FHLB and subordinate our security interest in a certain category of collateral.

Our Advances, Collateral Pledge and Security Agreement requires that a member provide collateral value equal to its credit outstanding (unless we specifically require more for a particular member). The value assigned to securities and loan collateral is calculated as shown below. It should be noted that the applicable percentage margin utilized in the calculation for securities or loans vary based on the type of collateral being pledged, as well as factors (that vary whether the collateral is a security or loan) including model risk, broker fees, market volatility and liquidity, the type of collateral reporting, the member's risk rating, and whether the member is a depository or non-depository.

- For securities, we multiply the applicable margin by the market value of each security; and
- For loans, we multiply the applicable margin by the unpaid principal balance of pledged loans, along with any applicable percentage applied to adjust for exceptions found during a member's collateral review.
  - In general members pledging loan collateral via blanket reporting will receive the margins published, as a percent of unpaid principal balance.
  - Members with listed and/or delivered loan collateral will generally receive the margins published, which will be applied
    as a percent to the lower of par or market value of the unpaid principal balance of the reported loan collateral.

<u>Controls over Pledged Collateral</u> - We require delivery of all securities collateral and may also require delivery of loan collateral under certain conditions (for example, when a member's credit condition deteriorates).

The FHLB Act requires that each advance to a member be fully secured. We are required to obtain and maintain a security interest in collateral securing advances. The FHLB Act provides that any security interest granted to us by our members, or an affiliate of such member, is entitled to priority over the claims and rights of any party, including any receiver, conservator, trustee, or similar party having rights of a lien creditor other than claims and rights that would be entitled to priority under otherwise applicable law and are held by actual bona fide purchasers for value or by actual secured parties that are secured by actual perfected security interests. We perfect the security interests granted to us by members and affiliates by taking possession of securities collateral and by filing UCC-1 financing statements on all other collateral.

For depository institutions, we generally require members to pledge collateral under a blanket lien under which our security interest in collateral is automatically released when the member has sold or otherwise transferred its ownership interest in the collateral (unless otherwise notified by us) and such collateral is not required to secure a member's outstanding credit obligations and we have not required the member to list or deliver such collateral. Under the Advances, Collateral Pledge and Security Agreement with our members, a member must maintain collateral with a collateral value to fully cover its credit outstanding. If the collateral does not have collateral value sufficient to cover the credit outstanding, we require a member to pledge other assets as collateral to cover the shortage, this includes the pledging of types of collateral that are outside of our eligibility criteria. As a result, we may require listing or delivery of the additional collateral from the member at any time while there is credit outstanding. Additionally, we have a lien on their capital stock in us.

The method by which a member reports collateral is dependent upon the collateral status to which it is assigned, as well as the type of collateral being pledged. In order for a member to have borrowing capacity with us, the member must report its eligible collateral using one of the following methods. Under blanket reporting, a member that has granted us a blanket lien on certain categories of collateral may report the collateral types on a qualified collateral report. For members that list collateral, either by choice or as directed by us, the member must submit a listing of its collateral which includes loan-level detail of the collateral. Securities pledged to us must be delivered to us or an approved third party custodian pursuant to a collateral control agreement. Loan collateral pledged to us may be required to be delivered to an approved third party custodian pursuant to a collateral control agreement. Regardless of the manner in which the collateral is pledged, all members must report their collateral to us at least quarterly.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

We also conduct periodic on-site loan collateral reviews to confirm the collateral meets our eligibility requirements. On-site collateral verifications are performed on a schedule that varies based upon, including, but not limited to, our assessment of the credit risk of the member, the size of the member's credit exposure, the types of collateral pledged, and the amount of collateral coverage.

We have not recorded any allowance for credit losses for our on balance sheet member credit products nor any liabilities for our off balance sheet member credit products in the periods presented based on the following factors:

- Our credit outstanding is sufficiently well collateralized as a result of the collateral and credit risk mitigation efforts described above:
- Our credit analyses of our members;
- The repayment history on member credit products; and
- No member credit products that were past due, on nonaccrual status, involved in a troubled debt restructuring, or otherwise considered impaired.

MPF Loans and Related Exposures

For a description of the MPF Program see Mortgage Partnership Finance Program on page 8.

<u>Credit Risk Exposure</u> - Our credit risk exposure on conventional MPF Loans held in portfolio is the potential for financial loss due to borrower default and depreciation in the value of the real estate collateral securing the MPF Loan, offset by our ability to recover losses from PMI, Recoverable CE Fees, and the CE Amount which may include SMI. The PFI is required to pledge collateral to secure any portion of its CE Amount that is a direct obligation of the PFI. The unpaid principal balances of our conventional MPF Loans held in portfolio exposed to credit losses was \$8.9 billion at December 31, 2019, and \$6.1 billion at December 31, 2018. Our actual credit exposure is less than these amounts because the borrower's equity, which represents the fair value of underlying property in excess of the outstanding MPF Loan held in portfolio balance, has not been considered.

Our MPF Loans held in portfolio includes conventional mortgage loans that may be viewed as having greater credit risk because the borrowers have weaker credit histories. The current MPF Program eligibility criteria for conforming conventional MPF Loans excludes loans to borrowers with a FICO score less than 620. Historically, we accepted MPF Loans from borrowers with FICO scores below 620 provided they met the underwriting standards set forth in the MPF Guides, which require compliance with applicable laws and regulations, including the Interagency Guidance on Nontraditional Mortgage Product Risks (issued October 4, 2006) and the Statement on Subprime Mortgage Lending (issued on July 10, 2007) issued by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Office of the Thrift Supervision, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, and the National Credit Union Administration. MPF Loans to borrowers with no FICO scores are also eligible for delivery under the MPF Program provided that acceptable alternate documentation of credit history is provided. We do not classify these MPF Loans internally as "subprime" because they are not higher-priced mortgage loans. Mortgages that meet the MPF Program's definition of higher-priced mortgage loans are not eligible for delivery under the MPF Program. MPF Loans with borrowers having no FICO scores or with FICO scores less than 660 represent a relatively small portion of our total conventional MPF Loan portfolio.

For MPF Loans, the MPF Program allows for varying levels of documentation with respect to borrower income, and the level of documentation is considered when determining the amount of credit enhancement required for each Master Commitment under the credit model we utilize to set the CE Amount. To date, we have not experienced material differences in loss or delinquency rates based on documentation levels of our conventional MPF Loans held in portfolio.

Under the MPF Government MBS product, we must advance the scheduled principal and interest payments to the securities holders of Ginnie Mae MBS that we issued if the servicing PFI defaults on its payment obligations to advance the scheduled remittances. Once MPF Government MBS loans are ninety days delinquent, we have the option to repurchase the mortgage loan out of the security and work with a servicer to mitigate the credit loss.

<u>Setting Credit Enhancement Levels</u> - For conventional MPF Loans held in portfolio, credit losses in a Master Commitment are first absorbed by the Bank's FLA but, if applicable to the MPF product, we will withhold a PFI's scheduled performance credit enhancement fee in order to reimburse ourselves for any losses allocated to the FLA. If the FLA is exhausted, the credit losses are then absorbed by the PFI's CE Amount that is calculated by utilizing third party credit models. For further details on the FLA and PFI's CE Amount, refer to **Loss Structure for Credit Risk Sharing Products** on page 9 and **Conventional MPF Loans Held in Portfolio** on page F-28.

The PFI's CE Amount is determined by the Bank, based on documented analysis, that the Bank has a high degree of confidence that it will not bear material losses beyond the losses absorbed by the Bank's FLA, even under reasonably likely adverse changes to expected economic conditions. Loans are assessed by third party credit models at acquisition and a credit



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

enhancement is calculated based on credit attributes of the loans in each Master Commitment. Credit losses on a loan may only be absorbed by the CE Amount in the Master Commitment related to the loan.

The CE Amounts and the FLA for certain conventional MPF Products held in our portfolio may be periodically reset lower for each Master Commitment after a required period of seasoning because the amount of credit enhancement necessary to maintain our risk of credit losses within our risk tolerance is usually reduced over time.

For the MPF Plus product, the PFI is required to provide an SMI policy covering the MPF Loans in the Master Commitment and having a deductible initially equal to the FLA. As of December 31, 2019, and 2018, the outstanding balances of MPF Loans under the MPF Plus product with SMI coverage were \$148 million and \$265 million and the amounts of SMI coverage provided against losses were \$9 million and \$12 million. The reduction in coverage was due to the resetting of SMI policies as provided in the MPF Plus product structure. Credit losses associated with Master Commitments where the SMI coverage has been discontinued are incorporated into the allowance for credit losses calculation. Such credit losses were immaterial.

The following table shows the status of our credit enhancement structure on conventional MPF Loans held in portfolio. Unpaid principal balances in this table include REO, as losses in REO impact, and are impacted by, the credit enhancement structure of a Master Commitment. As defined, the CE Amount includes SMI on the MPF Plus product. Government Loans are excluded from the table as they are not directly credit enhanced by the PFI.

	As of December 31, 2019										
Conventional MPF Product Type	Unpaid Pr	incipal Balance	90+ Days Delinquent	FLA <sup>a</sup>	PFI's CE Amount <sup>b</sup>						
100	\$	135	1.88%	6.50%	4.20%						
125		3,612	0.16%	1.35%	1.62%						
Plus		806	2.20%	9.46%	1.22%						
35		1,965	0.02%	0.40%	1.63%						
Original		2,405	0.23%	0.43%	4.51%						

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> For each product above, except MPF Original, a portion of losses experienced at the FLA level may be recovered through the withholding of performance-based CE Fees from PFIs.

<u>Concentration Risks</u> - In conjunction with assessing credit risks on the MPF Loan portfolio, we also assess concentration risks that could negatively impact this portfolio.

<u>Geographic Concentration</u> - While we have MPF Loans throughout the United States, our largest concentrations of 10% or more of conventional MPF Loans held in portfolio were secured by properties located in the states shown in the following table. Amounts shown are based on outstanding par value. An overall decline in the economy, residential real estate market, or the occurrence of a natural disaster could adversely affect the value of the mortgaged properties in these states and increase the risk of delinquency, foreclosure, bankruptcy or loss on MPF Loans, which could negatively affect our business, results of operations, and financial condition.

As of December 31, 2019	Pa	r Value	%
Wisconsin	\$	3,021	34%
Illinois		2,101	24%
California		1,137	13%
Total unpaid principal balance of conventional MPF Loans	\$	8,919	100%

<u>Seller Concentration</u> - During 2019, the top five PFIs, in the aggregate, accounted for 44% of our MPF Loans purchased and held in portfolio on our balance sheet. To the extent we lose our business with these PFIs and cannot attract comparable replacements, our business may be adversely affected.

For further discussion of how concentration risks may affect us, see Risk Factors starting on page 18.

<u>Mortgage Repurchase Risk</u> - We are exposed to mortgage repurchase risk in connection with our sale of MPF Loans to Fannie Mae under the MPF Xtra product, to third party investors under the MPF Direct Product, and to Ginnie Mae for MPF Loans securitized in Ginnie Mae MBS if a loan eligibility requirement or other warranty is breached. We may require the PFI from which

Credit losses on a loan may only be absorbed by the CE Amount in the Master Commitment related to the loan. For further detail refer to Conventional MPF Loans Held in Portfolio on page F-28.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

we purchased the ineligible MPF Loan to repurchase that loan from us or indemnify us for related losses or request indemnification from the PFI's MPF Bank as further discussed in the **Risk Factors** starting on page 18.

Our mortgage repurchase liability is an estimate of our losses associated with all mortgage loans previously sold in connection with the MPF Xtra, MPF Direct, and MPF Government MBS products for which a breach of representation or warranty has occurred. We consider factors based predominantly on our historical repurchase experience and only include mortgage loans for which we deem it probable that we will be required to either repurchase the mortgage loan or indemnify the applicable third party for losses. This assessment is primarily made during our quality assurance review process. Our estimate incorporates our experiences with third party repurchase demands, PFIs' historical ability to cure repurchase demands, and an assumed loss severity given default.

The methodology to estimate the associated mortgage repurchase liability for MPF Government MBS loans also considers potential repurchases based on delinquency activity or lack of final loan certifications within issued pools. We are expected to maintain delinquency rates on outstanding issuances below key thresholds and fulfill operational requirements published by Ginnie Mae.

We accrue a mortgage repurchase liability with an offsetting charge to noninterest expense when it is probable and reasonably estimable that we will be obligated to repurchase a loan or indemnify an investor.

#### Based on the above factors:

We recognized a mortgage repurchase liability of \$0.3 million as of December 31, 2019, and \$0.4 million as of December 31, 2018, on the MPF Xtra loans. We also recognized an offsetting receivable due from our PFIs, since we deem it probable that we will recover any losses from third parties (e.g., PFIs and other MPF Banks). We believe the estimate of reasonably possible losses is zero as of the end of this reporting period.

We have repurchased \$8 million of unpaid principal balances related to mortgage loans sold as part of our off balance sheet MPF Loan products for the year ending December 31, 2019, compared to \$9 million for 2018, and \$4 million for 2017. These repurchases represent repurchase requests that have been resolved during the reporting period. Due to recoveries from PFIs, we incurred no material losses on these loans.

We have \$18 million as of December 31, 2019, of unpaid principal with respect to MPF Xtra loans that represent unresolved claims with Fannie Mae, in which a repurchase demand may occur compared to \$24 million at December 31, 2018; see **Note 16 - Commitments and Contingencies** to the financial statements.

Additionally, PFIs are required to repurchase ineligible MPF Loans held in our portfolio unless we either require the PFI to indemnify us or decide to continue to hold such loans in our portfolio. The PFI repurchase requirement is a factor in determining our allowance for credit losses. If a PFI is unable to repurchase ineligible MPF Loans or indemnify us, we would incur a loss to the extent a credit loss is not recovered from collateral provided by the PFI or, alternatively, from the FDIC. In this regard, we have not recorded an allowance for credit losses related to MPF Loans held in our portfolio, as we do not expect to incur any losses after factoring in our recovery claims from PFIs.

We record allowances for credit losses for MPF Loans held in portfolio based on available information of past events and the current economic conditions existing as of the date of our statements of condition. Such information includes, but is not limited to, delinquency rates, loss severities, and prepayment speeds consistent with the percentages of delinquent, nonaccrual, and impaired MPF Loans to total conventional MPF Loans. Refer to **Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies** to the financial statements for details on our charge-off policy. Additionally, refer to **Note 8 - Allowance for Credit Losses** to the financial statements for further details on our allowance for credit losses.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

### Five year trend in our MPF Loans held in portfolio

The following table shows the five year trend in our MPF Loans held in portfolio.

	2019		2018		2017		2016		2	2015
Recorded investment as of December 31,										
MPF Loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest <sup>a</sup>	\$	20	\$	24	\$	29	\$	31	\$	25
Nonaccrual MPF Loans including nonperforming troubled debt restructurings (TDR)		29		33		49		74		107
TDRs performing - on an accrual basis		27		36		36		42		47
Total	\$	76	\$	93	\$	114	\$	147	\$	179
Interest on original terms (nonaccrual loans/performing TDRs)	\$	3	\$	3	\$	4	\$	5	\$	7
Interest recognized (performing TDRs only) b	\$	1	\$	2	\$	2	\$	2	\$	2
Allowance for credit losses for the years ended December 31,										
Balance, beginning of period	\$	1	\$	2	\$	3	\$	3	\$	15
Losses charged to the allowance <sup>c</sup>		_		(1)		(1)		(1)		(17)
Provision for (reversal of) credit losses		_		_		_		1		5
Balance, end of period	\$	1	\$	1	\$	2	\$	3	\$	3

Includes loans which are well-secured and in the process of collection. MPF Loans that are on nonperforming status, and are collateral-dependent, are excluded. Collateral-dependent is when repayment is expected to be provided solely by the sale of the underlying property. Government Loans are included because repayment is insured or guaranteed by the government.

### Investment Debt Securities

On October 15, 2010, we instituted litigation relating to 64 private label MBS bonds purchased by us in an aggregate original principal amount of \$4.29 billion. Our complaints assert claims for untrue or misleading statements in the sale of securities, and it is possible that the classifications of private label MBS, as well as other statements made about the securities by the issuer, are inaccurate. See **Item 3. Legal Proceedings** on page 30 for details on this litigation.

In the following tables, we classify our private label MBS as prime, subprime, or Alt-A based upon the nature of the majority of underlying mortgages collateralizing each security based on the issuer's classification, or as published by an NRSRO, at the time of issuance of the MBS.

Category	Majority of Underlying Mortgage Loans	Description of Mortgage Loans Underlying the Security and Security Features
Prime	Prime	Mortgage loans meet the criteria of Ginnie Mae, Fannie Mae, or Freddie Mac and the securities have credit protection in the form of a guarantee from the U.S. government in the case of Ginnie Mae, or a guarantee from Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac.
Prime	Prime Fixed Rate/ Adjustable Rate	First-lien mortgage loans that typically conform to "prime" credit guidelines described above, but with a balance that exceeds the maximum allowed under programs sponsored by Ginnie Mae, Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac.
Prime	Interest First - Prime Fixed/Adjustable Rate	Mortgage loans typically conform to traditional "prime" credit guidelines described above, but may allow for principal deferment for a specified period of time.
Alt-A	Alternative Documentation Fixed/ Adjustable Rate	Mortgage loans generally conform to traditional "prime" credit guidelines described above, although the LTV ratio, loan documentation, occupancy status, property type, loan size, or other factors causes the loan not to qualify under standard underwriting programs. Typically includes less-than-full documentation.
Subprime	Subprime	Primarily first-lien mortgage loans that have lower credit scores, higher debt to income ratios, and higher loan to value ratios.

We do not recognize interest on nonaccrual loans.

The net (charge-off)/recovery rate was less than one basis point for all periods presented.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

In addition to private label MBS, we also hold a variety of other investment debt securities we believe are low risk and mostly government backed or insured such as GSE debt and FFELP ABS.

### Investment Debt Securities by Rating

The carrying amounts of our investments are presented in the following table by the long term NRSRO credit rating of the counterparty.

		AAA	AA	A	BBB	Below Investment Grade		Unrated		Carrying Amount
December 31, 2019										
Investment debt securities-	•									
U.S. Government & other governmental related	\$	_	\$ 6,546	\$ _	\$ _	\$	_	\$	_	\$ 6,546
State or local housing agency		_	19	_	_		_		_	19
FFELP ABS		26	3,326	_	_		_		_	3,352
MBS:										
GSE residential		149	12,172	_	_		_		_	12,321
Government guaranteed residential		_	530	_	_		_		_	530
Private label MBS residential		_	18	4	4		138		164	328
Total investment debt securities		175	22,611	4	4		138		164	23,096
Interest bearing deposits		_	_	1,680	_		_		_	1,680
Federal Funds sold		_	2,206	5,150	_		_		_	7,356
Securities purchased under agreements to resell		_	5,000	1,750	_		_		_	6,750
Total carrying amount of investments	\$	175	\$ 29,817	\$ 8,584	\$ 4	\$	138	\$	164	\$ 38,882
December 31, 2018										
Investment debt securities-										
U.S. Government & other governmental related	\$	_	\$ 5,308	\$ _	\$ _	\$	_	\$	_	\$ 5,308
State or local housing agency		_	23	_	_		_		_	23
FFELP ABS		33	3,748	_	_		_		_	3,781
MBS:										
GSE residential		108	10,624	_	_		_		_	10,732
Government guaranteed residential		_	1,028	_	_		_		_	1,028
Private label MBS residential		_	22	2	6		174		229	 433
Total investment debt securities	·	141	20,753	2	6		174		229	 21,305
Interest bearing deposits		_	_	775	_		_		_	775
Federal Funds sold		_	2,425	5,100	157		22		_	7,704
Securities purchased under agreements to resell		_	1,250	1,650	_		_		_	2,900
Total carrying amount of investments	\$	141	\$ 24,428	\$ 7,527	\$ 163	\$	196	\$	229	\$ 32,684



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

#### Investment Debt Securities Issuer Concentration

The following table summarizes our investment debt securities by issuer with a carrying amount exceeding 10% of our stockholders' equity:

December 31, 2019	Carrying Amount		Fair Market Value
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation	\$	821	\$ 830
Federal National Mortgage Association		11,500	11,522
SLCLT 2009-1 Student Loan ABS		700	700
SLM Student Loan Mortgage SLMA 2009-1 A		897	897
SLM Student Loan Mortgage SLMA 2009-2 A		764	764
Small Business Administration		1,823	1,839
United States Department of the Treasury		4,636	4,636
All others		1,955	2,142
Total investment debt securities	\$	23,096	\$ 23,330

#### **Aging and Carrying Amount**

A table presenting the aging of our investments for the current year, as well as the carrying amounts for the previous two years can be found in **Note 5 - Investment Debt Securities** to the financial statements. It also discloses the yields by aging categories for the current year.

#### Other-Than-Temporary Impairment Analysis - Mortgage Backed Securities

Significant Inputs Used to Determine OTTI

We assess an HTM or AFS private label MBS security for OTTI whenever its fair value is less than its amortized cost basis as of the reporting date. Specifically, we generate cash flow projections utilizing key modeling assumptions, significant inputs, and methodologies provided by an FHLB System OTTI Committee, which was formed by the FHLBs to achieve consistency among the FHLBs in their OTTI analyses for private label MBS. We then utilize these cash flow projections to determine OTTI on our private label MBS; however, we are still responsible for making our own OTTI determination, which includes determining the reasonableness of assumptions, significant inputs, and methodologies used, and performing the required present value calculations using appropriate historical cost bases and yields.

### Cash Flow Analysis

We perform a cash flow analysis for substantially all of these private label securities utilizing two models provided by independent third parties as described below,

- First model. This model considers borrower characteristics and the particular attributes of the loans underlying the
  securities, in conjunction with assumptions about future changes in home prices and interest rates, prepayment rates,
  default rates, and loss severities. A significant input to the first model is the forecast of future housing price changes for the
  relevant states and core based statistical areas (CBSAs), which are based upon an assessment of the individual housing
  markets.
- Second model. This model uses the month-by-month projections of future loan performance derived from the first model
  and allocates the projected loan level cash flows and losses to the various security classes in the securitization structure in
  accordance with its prescribed cash flow and loss allocation rules.

We had no OTTI charge for the year ended December 31, 2019, under a base case (best estimate) scenario. We also performed a cash flow analysis for each of these securities under a more stressful scenario, or adverse case scenario. This adverse case scenario was primarily based on a short-term housing price forecast that was five percentage points lower than the base case, followed by a path with annual rates of housing price growth that included rates which were 33.0% lower than the base case. Based on the adverse scenario, we would not have had a material OTTI charge.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

#### Other Credit Indicators:

The following table presents the outstanding unpaid principal balance and credit ratings on our private label residential MBS by Prime, Alt-A, and Subprime as designated at time of issuance. For securities on which an OTTI charge has been taken, see **Note 5 - Investment Debt Securities** to the financial statements. Except for an immaterial amount of fixed rate, these MBS are all variable rate securities.

As of December 31, 2019	Р	rime	Alt-A		prime	Total
Unpaid Principal Balance (UPB) by credit rating -						
Investment grade	\$	18	\$ _	\$	7	\$ 25
Below investment grade		16	45		267	328
Unrated		284	 		1	 285
Total unpaid principal balance		318	45		275	638
Amortized cost		250	29		127	406
Gross unrealized losses (incl. noncredit OTTI)		(62)	_		(24)	(86)
Gross unrealized gains		90	 6		96	 192
Fair value	\$	278	\$ 35	\$	199	\$ 512
For the year ended December 31, 2019						
Weighted average percentage fair value to unpaid principal balance		87%	78%		72%	80%
Original weighted average credit support		12%	17%		22%	17%
Current weighted average credit support		1%	<b>—</b> %		14%	7%
Weighted average collateral delinquency		11%	15%		17%	14%

At December 31, 2019, 36% of the total mortgage properties collateralizing our private label MBS were located in California, which was the only state with a concentration exceeding 10% of this portfolio.

#### Securities Purchased Under Agreements to Resell

We invest in securities purchased under agreements to resell in order to ensure the availability of funds to meet members' liquidity and credit needs. Securities purchased under agreements to resell are secured by collateral of marketable securities held by a third party custodian. If the fair value of the accepted collateral decreases below the fair value amount required as collateral, our counterparty is required to provide an equivalent amount of additional securities as collateral to make up the shortfall. If the credit markets experience disruptions, it may increase the likelihood that one of our counterparties could experience liquidity or financial constraints that may cause them to become insolvent or otherwise default on their obligations to us. If the collateral's fair value amount has decreased below the resale agreement's carrying amount, we may suffer a credit loss.

The credit ratings of securities purchased under agreement to resell are disclosed along with investment debt securities and unsecured short-term investments, in the **Investment Debt Securities by Rating** table on page 68.

### Unsecured Short Term Investments

We invest in unsecured short-term investments in order to ensure the availability of funds to meet members' credit and liquidity needs. We have credit risk exposure from our unsecured short-term investment portfolio, which may consist of commercial paper, certificates of deposit, and Federal Funds sold. We have established the following policies and procedures to limit and monitor our unsecured credit risk exposure.

- · Eligible counterparties for short-term investments are:
  - other FHLBs;
  - other U.S. GSEs; and
  - FDIC-insured financial institutions, including U.S. subsidiaries of foreign commercial banks, or U.S. branches of foreign commercial banks whose most recently published financial statements exhibit at least \$250 million of Tier 1 (or total)



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

capital. Foreign banks shall be domiciled in a country whose sovereign rating is at least "Aa3" from Moody's or AA-from Standard & Poor's unless otherwise approved by the Bank's Credit and Collateral Committee, Chief Risk Officer or President.

- Our unsecured credit exposures to U.S. branches or agency offices of foreign commercial banks include the risk that, as a
  result of political or economic conditions in a country, the counterparty may be unable to meet their contractual repayment
  obligations. Our unsecured credit exposures to domestic counterparties and U.S. subsidiaries of foreign commercial banks
  include the risk that these counterparties have extended credit to foreign counterparties.
- Unsecured credit investment maturities are subject to maximum amounts and tenors as established by the Bank.
- We actively monitor our credit risk exposure and the credit quality of each counterparty, including an assessment of each
  counterparty's financial performance, capital adequacy, sovereign support (if applicable) and the current market perceptions
  of the counterparty. General macro-economic, political and market conditions may also be considered when deciding on
  unsecured exposure. As a result of this monitoring activity, we may limit or terminate existing unsecured credit exposure
  limits.

The following table presents the credit ratings of our unsecured investment counterparties, organized by the domicile of the counterparty or, where the counterparty is a U.S. branch or agency office of a foreign commercial bank, by the domicile of the counterparty's parent. This table does not reflect the foreign sovereign government's credit rating. The unsecured investment credit exposure presented in the table may not reflect the average or maximum exposure during the period as the table reflects only the balances at period end.

As of December 31, 2019	AA		A rated		Total
Domestic U.S.					
Interest bearing deposits	\$	_	\$ 1,680	\$	1,680
Federal Funds sold		_	1,900		1,900
Foreign commercial banks - Federal Funds sold:					
Australia		1,000	_		1,000
Canada		_	1,450		1,450
Finland		806	_		806
France		_	700		700
Netherlands		_	400		400
Norway		400	_		400
Switzerland		_	700		700
Total unsecured credit exposure	\$	2,206	\$ 6,830	\$	9,036

All \$9.036 billion of the unsecured credit exposure in the above table represent overnight investments and \$425 million in the above table were with members and their affiliates. Any amounts related to members over a 10% concentration are included in the amounts in the **Member Credit Outstanding** table on page 62.

Managing Our Credit Risk Exposure Related to Derivative Agreements

See **Note 9 - Derivatives and Hedging Activities** to the financial statements for a discussion of how we manage our credit risk exposure related to derivative agreements. We have credit exposure on net asset positions where we have not received adequate collateral from our counterparties. We also have credit exposure on net liability positions where we have pledged collateral in excess of our liability to a counterparty.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

The following table presents our derivative positions where we have such credit exposures. The rating used was the lowest rating among the three largest NRSROs. Non-cash collateral pledged consists of initial margin we posted through our FCMs, on behalf of the DCOs for cleared derivatives and is included in our derivative positions with credit exposure.

	Fair Val	rivatives ue Before lateral	Са	sh Collateral Pledged	Noncash Collateral Pledged		Net Credit xposure to interparties <sup>a</sup>
As of December 31, 2019							
Nonmember counterparties -							
Undercollateralized asset positions -							
Bilateral derivatives -							
AA	\$	8	\$	(8)	\$ _	\$	_
Α		6		(6)	_		_
Overcollateralized liability positions -							
Bilateral derivatives -							
Α		(82)		83	_		1
BBB		(60)		61	_		1
Cleared derivatives		(8)		_	432		424
Nonmember counterparties		(136)		130	432		426
Member counterparties		2		_	_		2
Total	\$	(134)	\$	130	\$ 432	\$	428
As of December 31, 2018							
Nonmember counterparties -							
Undercollateralized asset positions -							
Bilateral derivatives -							
AA rated	\$	1	\$	(1)	\$ _	\$	_
BBB		31		(30)	<del>_</del>		1
Overcollateralized liability positions -							
Bilateral derivatives -							
AA rated		(1)		1	_		_
Α		(111)		115	_		4
BBB		(53)		53	_		_
Cleared derivatives		(9)		_	269		260
Nonmember counterparties		(142)		138	269		265
Member counterparties		1		_	_		1
Total	\$	(141)	\$	138	\$ 269	\$	266

Less than \$1 million is shown as zero.

(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

#### Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

#### Market Risk Profile

Our financial assets and financial liabilities are subject to market risk. Specifically, the fair value of our financial assets may decline while the fair value of our financial liabilities may increase due to changes in market risk factors. Our exposure to interest rate risk, however, represents our most critical market risk factor since our earnings primarily are driven by net interest income.

#### Interest Rate Risk Management:

Our interest rate risk management objective is to manage our exposure to interest rate risk within appropriate limits rather than eliminate our entire exposure to interest rate risk. In this regard, we have established policies that include guidelines on the amount of exposure to interest rate changes we are willing to accept. Our Asset/Liability Management Committee provides oversight of these risk management practices and policies. This includes routine reporting to senior Bank management and the Board of Directors, as well as maintaining the Income and Market Value Risk Policy, which defines our interest rate risk limits. Our strategy to mitigate losses due to interest rate risk is outlined below.

### Monitoring and Analyzing Interest Rate Risk:

- We monitor the risk to our net interest income, and average maturity of our interest bearing assets and liabilities.
- We measure and manage market exposure through four measurements: duration, convexity, curve, and volatility.
  - Duration measures our exposure to parallel interest rate shifts where changes in interest rates occur at similar rates across the yield curve. Duration of equity is a measure that expresses the interest rate sensitivity of the present value of the Bank's cash flow in terms of duration years of portfolio equity. We report the results of our duration of equity calculations to the FHFA each quarter. We measure duration of equity in a base case using the actual yield curve as of a specified date and then shock it with an instantaneous shift of the entire curve. Effective duration measures price sensitivity taking into account that the expected cash flows will change as interest rate change due to any prepayment options embedded within a financial instrument.
  - Convexity measures how fast duration changes as a function of interest rate changes. Convexity is largely driven by
    mortgage cash flows that vary significantly as borrowers respond to rate changes by either prepaying their mortgages
    or slowing such prepayments.
  - Curve quantifies our exposure to non-parallel shifts in the yield curve.
  - Volatility describes the degree to which the value of options, explicit or embedded, fluctuates. MPF Loans held in portfolio and MBS include options held by the mortgage borrowers to prepay their loans. As a result, we have effectively sold options by owning MPF Loans held in portfolio and MBS. Some consolidated obligations issued by us have effective purchased options that allow us to call the bonds prior to the contractual maturity date.
- We analyze the risk of our mortgage assets on a regular basis and consider the interest rate environment under various interest rate scenarios. We also perform analyses of the duration and convexity of the portfolio.

### **Mortgage-Related Assets**

### MPF Loans Held in Portfolio and Residential MBS:

The predominant source of interest rate risk in our market risk profile is attributable to mortgage-related assets. Our mortgage-related assets include, but are not limited to, MPF Loans held in portfolio and MBS. Interest rate risk results from prepayment options embedded in mortgage-related assets. Specifically, changes in interest rates may result in extensions or contractions in the expected maturities of our mortgage-related assets. Interest rate swaps, swaptions, and futures contracts may be used to hedge the duration, convexity, and prepayment risk on MPF Loans held in portfolio. We issue both callable and noncallable debt to achieve cash flow patterns and liability durations similar to those expected on MPF Loans held in portfolio.

### Economic Hedges:

An economic hedge is defined as a derivative that does not qualify (or was not designated) for hedge accounting, but is an acceptable hedging strategy for risk management purposes. These economic hedging strategies also comply with FHFA regulations that prohibit speculative hedge transactions. An economic hedge may introduce the potential for earnings volatility



#### (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

caused by the changes in fair value on the derivatives that are recorded in income but not offset by recognizing corresponding changes in the fair value of the economically hedged assets, liabilities, or firm commitments.

We utilize economic hedges to manage our duration, convexity, curve, and volatility. We hedge the duration and convexity of MPF Loans held in portfolio by using economic hedges or through the use of callable and noncallable debt. Convexity risks arise principally from the prepayment option embedded in our MPF Loans held in portfolio and MBS. As interest rates become more volatile, changes in our duration and convexity profile become more volatile. As a result, our level of economic hedging activity, as discussed below, may increase resulting in an increase in hedging costs.

Our primary risk mitigation tools include funding instruments, swaps, swaptions, futures, options on futures and mortgages, caps, floors, callable debt and selling forward Fannie Mae TBA contracts. We generally do not manage exposure to mortgage option-adjusted spreads. Based on our risk profile, funding is primarily used to address duration, convexity, curve, volatility and cash flow mismatch risks at either a portfolio or balance sheet level under different rate scenarios.

Economic hedges may be executed to reduce exposure or the risk associated with a single transaction or group of transactions. Our economic hedges are evaluated daily and adjusted as deemed necessary.

#### MPF Government MBS Product:

Each delivery commitment is hedged during the delivery commitment period and during the period while the loan held for sale is on the Bank's balance sheet by selling forward Ginnie Mae and Fannie Mae TBA contracts. Both loans held for sale and TBA contracts are carried at fair value on the Bank's balance sheet. These TBA contracts may be executed to reduce the market risk exposure associated with buying or holding an MPF Government MBS loan until it is securitized. The hedges are evaluated daily and adjusted as deemed necessary.

#### MPF Xtra and MPF Direct Products:

We enter into offsetting delivery commitments under the MPF Xtra and MPF Direct products, where we agree to buy mortgage loans from PFIs and simultaneously re-sell them to third party investors. Accordingly, we are not exposed to market risk with respect to these delivery commitments.

#### **Advances**

The optionality embedded in certain advances may create interest rate risk. When a member prepays an advance, we could suffer lower future income if the principal portion of the prepaid advance were invested in lower-yielding assets that continue to be funded by higher-cost debt. To protect against this risk, we generally charge a prepayment fee that makes us financially indifferent to a member's decision to prepay an advance or on some products, we structure the advance to address this risk. When we offer advances (other than short-term advances) that a member may prepay or expand (increase the par amount at a later date) without a fee, we may finance such advances with callable or noncallable debt or enter into a derivative to achieve hedge accounting treatment.

### Fair Value Hedges

With issuances of certain putable advances, we purchase from the member an embedded option that enables us to extinguish the advance. We may hedge a putable advance by entering into a cancelable interest rate swap where we pay fixed interest payments and receive floating rate interest payments based off of LIBOR, OIS or SOFR. (For a discussion of the impact of the transition from LIBOR, see **LIBOR Transition** on page 49.) This type of hedge is accounted for as a fair value hedge. We assess hedge effectiveness primarily under the long-haul method. However, in certain cases where all conditions are met, hedge effectiveness is assessed using the shortcut method. Currently, we principally apply shortcut accounting to certain nonputable and putable fixed-rate advances. In the case of certain putable advances that have features not meeting shortcut requirements, the transactions are primarily hedged under a highly effective hedge relationship. In those cases, the swap counterparty can cancel the derivative financial instrument on the same date that we can put the advance back to the member.

### Economic Hedges

Interest rate swaps, swaptions, and futures contracts may be used to hedge the duration and convexity of the advances portfolio; as well as the prepayment risk on advances and the expander feature risk, which allows a member one or multiple opportunities to increase the principal amount of the advance. We issue both callable and noncallable debt intended to achieve cash flow patterns and liability durations similar to those expected on advances. We may also purchase cancelable swaps in an effort to minimize the prepayment risk embedded in the advances.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

#### **Non-Residential MBS Investment Debt Securities**

Our major security types, excluding Residential MBS, are based on the nature and risks of the security. These securities include, but are not limited to, the following:

- U.S. Government & other government related may consist of the sovereign debt of the United States; debt issued by government sponsored enterprises (GSE); and non-mortgage-backed securities of the Small Business Administration and Tennessee Valley Authority.
- Federal Family Education Loan Program asset backed securities (FFELP ABS).
- State or local housing agency obligations.
- Multi-Family MBS guaranteed by GSE.

We endeavor to manage the interest rate and prepayment risk associated with these non-MBS securities through a combination of debt issuance and derivatives.

#### Fair Value Hedges

We use interest rate swaps to hedge certain AFS securities to shorten our duration profile in an increasing interest rate environment. Our hedge strategy focuses on hedging the benchmark interest rate of LIBOR or OIS by effectively converting fixed-rate securities into floating rate assets to reduce our exposure to rising interest rates. This type of hedge is accounted for as a fair value hedge. We assess hedge effectiveness under the long-haul method. AFS securities are carried at fair value. Changes in fair value on AFS securities are recognized into AOCI in our statements of condition, except for AFS securities that are in a fair value hedge relationship. Effective January 1, 2019 on a prospective basis, the adjustment to the AFS security's carrying amount attributable to fair value changes in the benchmark interest rate is immediately recognized in interest income in our statements of income. Any gain or loss on these AFS securities that is not attributable to changes in the benchmark interest rate is recognized into AOCI. Changes in fair value on the derivative hedging these AFS securities in a fair value hedging relationship are immediately recognized into interest income into our statements of income in order to offset the fair value changes in the benchmark interest rate.

### Economic Hedges

We may manage against prepayment and duration risk by funding investment debt securities with consolidated obligations that have call features, by economically hedging the prepayment risk with caps, floors, or by adjusting the duration of the securities by using derivatives to modify the cash flows of the securities.

We may also manage the risk arising from changing market prices and volatility of investment debt securities classified as trading debt securities by entering into derivative financial instruments (economic hedges) that offset the changes in fair value of the securities. The market value changes of both the trading debt securities and the associated derivatives are recognized in noninterest income.

#### **Discount Notes**

#### Cash Flow Hedges

We are exposed to the variability in the total net proceeds received from forecasted zero-coupon discount note issuances, which is attributable to changes in the benchmark interest rate, LIBOR. Our hedge objective/strategy is to hedge the total net proceeds received from "rolling" forecasted zero-coupon discount note issuances attributable to changes in LIBOR by entering into interest rate swap(s) to mitigate such risk. The life of the hedging relationship between the hedging instrument and the hedged item is from hedge inception until the hedge relationship ends, which is the last forecasted discount note issuance date of the hedge relationship. We are hedging the total net proceeds attributable to changes in LIBOR related to the forecasted issuance (and reissuance) of zero-coupon fixed-rate discount notes with a three or six month tenor. We primarily use a pay-fixed interest rate swap or "swap" as the hedging instrument in which the interest rate resets every three or six months, whichever is applicable.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

### **Consolidated Obligation Bonds**

### Fair Value Hedges

We endeavor to manage the fair value risk of a consolidated obligation by matching the cash inflow on the derivative with the cash outflow on the consolidated obligation bonds. For instance, when a fixed-rate consolidated obligation bond is issued, we may simultaneously enter into an interest rate LIBOR or OIS swap in which we receive fixed cash flows from a counterparty designed to offset in timing and amount the cash outflows we pay on the consolidated obligation bond. We also hedge the LIBOR benchmark rate on callable fixed-rate step-up consolidated obligation bonds at specified intervals where we own a call option(s) to terminate the consolidated obligation bond. The hedging instrument is a fixed-rate interest rate swap with a matching step-up feature that converts the callable fixed-rate step-up bond into a floating rate liability and has an offsetting call option(s) to terminate the interest rate swap. Such transactions are treated as fair value hedges. We assess hedge effectiveness primarily under the long-haul method. However, in certain cases where all conditions are met, hedge effectiveness is assessed using the shortcut method. We apply shortcut accounting to certain noncallable fixed-rate consolidated obligations.

### **Fair Value Option**

We may elect the fair value option for financial instruments, such as advances, MPF Loans held for sale, consolidated obligation discount notes and bonds, in cases where hedge accounting treatment may not be achieved due to the inability to meet the hedge effectiveness testing criteria, or in certain cases where we wish to mitigate the risk associated with selecting the fair value option for other instruments. Electing the fair value option for a financial instrument allows us to better match the changes in fair value on that financial instrument with the interest rate swap economically hedging it.

Our Asset/Liability Management Committee provides oversight of risk management practices and policies. This includes routine reporting to senior Bank management and the Board of Directors, as well as maintaining the Income and Market Value Risk Policy, which defines our interest rate risk limits. The table below reflects the expected change in market value of equity for the stated increase or decrease in interest rates based on our models and related loss limit for each scenario established in the Policy.

		December 31	9	December 31, 2018				
Scenario as of	Change Value	Change in Market Value of Equity		ss Limit	Change in Market Value of Equity		Loss Limit	
-200 bp	\$	199	\$	(450)	\$	27	\$	(450)
-100 bp		58		(200)		7		(200)
-50 bp		29		(90)		12		(90)
-25 bp		15		(45)		9		(45)
+25 bp		(20)		(45)		(12)		(45)
+50 bp		(46)		(90)		(27)		(90)
+100 bp		(107)		(200)		(62)		(200)
+200 bp		(243)		(450)		(159)		(450)



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

The following table outlines our hedge activity by hedged item or economic risk exposure, hedging instrument, hedge type and notional amount by hedging activity.

As of December 31,			Notional	Amount
Hedged Item/Economic Risk Exposure <sup>a</sup>	Hedge Type	Hedging Instrument	2019	2018
Investment Debt Securities	Fair value	Receive floating, pay fixed interest rate swap	\$ 10,323	\$ 7,367
	Economic	Receive floating, pay fixed interest rate swap	4,600	3,450
			14,923	10,817
Advances	Fair value	Receive floating, pay fixed interest rate swap (without options)	4,731	4,403
		Receive floating, pay fixed interest rate swap (with options)	6,155	4,694
	Economic	Receive floating, pay fixed interest rate swap (without options)	774	1,117
		Receive floating, pay fixed interest rate swap (with options)	1,277	271
		Receive floating, pay floating interest rate swap noncallable	_	178
		Interest rate cap floor corridor collar	1,003	3
		Other	39	40
			13,979	10,706
MPF Loans	Economic	A combination of swaps, swaptions, caps, floors, futures, forward settlements and to- be-announced (TBA) forward contracts	6,455	11,038
Discount Notes	Economic	Receive fixed, pay floating interest rate swap	18,000	1,000
	Cash flow	Interest rate cap, floor, or swap	1,134	3,318
			19,134	4,318
Consolidated Obligation Bonds	Fair value	Receive fixed, pay floating interest rate swap (without options)	8,367	6,922
		Receive fixed, pay floating interest rate swap (with options)	7,800	8,845
	Economic	Receive fixed, pay floating interest rate swap (without options)	3,800	1,306
		Receive fixed, pay floating interest rate swap (with options)	601	1,298
		Other	34	209
			20,602	18,580
Intermediary transactions on behalf of members with counterparties	Economic	Receive floating, pay fixed interest rate swap	7	17
Mortgage purchase commitments	Standalone	Mortgage delivery commitment	935	495
Total			\$ 76,035	\$ 55,971

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Hedged item only applies to hedges that qualify for hedge accounting. Economic risk exposure applies economic hedges that are accounted for at fair value.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

### **Measurement of Market Risk Exposure**

To measure our exposure, we discount the cash flows generated from modeling the terms and conditions of all interest rate-sensitive securities using current interest rates to determine their fair values or spreads to the swap curve for securities where third party prices are used. This includes considering explicit and embedded options using a lattice model or Monte Carlo simulation. We estimate yield curve, option, and basis risk exposures by calculating the fair value change in relation to various parallel changes in interest rates, implied volatility, prepayment speeds, spreads to the swap curve and mortgage rates.

Our key interest rate risk exposures and interest rate risk within specific financial instruments are discussed below.

#### Key Interest Rate Risk Exposures:

- Yield curve risk We are exposed to interest rate movements in certain yield curves, such as LIBOR and OIS, which are
  used to discount the future cash flows attributable to our financial instruments, including derivatives. We measure our yield
  curve risk as follows:
  - Yield risk Change in market value for a one basis point parallel increase in the swap curve.
- Option risk We are exposed to option risk as the value of option positions (explicit and embedded) vary due to changes in the implied volatility of the yield curve as well as the yield curve itself. We measure our option risk as follows:
  - Option risk (implied volatility) Change in market value for a one percent parallel increase in the swaption volatility.
  - Option risk (prepayment speeds) Change in market value for a one percent increase in prepayment speeds.
- Basis risk We are exposed to basis risk as the yields on different assets, liabilities and derivatives are determined on different yield curves. This includes (1) differences between the swap curve and the Office of Finance cost of funds or consolidated obligation curve; (2) changes in individual securities' spreads to the swap curve as a result of changes in supply, demand, and credit quality of different securities in the market; and (3) changes in mortgage rates relative to the swap curve. We measure our basis risk as follows:
  - Basis risk (spread to swap curve) Change in market value for a one basis point parallel increase in the spread to the swap curve.
  - Basis risk (mortgage spread) Change in market value for a one basis point increase in mortgage rates.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

The following table summarizes our sensitivity to various interest rate risk exposures in terms of changes in market value.

			Option Risk				Basis	Ris	sk
	Yield Curve Risk		Implied Volatility		Prepayment Speeds		Spread to Swap Curve		Mortgage Spread
As of December 31, 2019			_				_		
Advances	\$ (7)	\$	2	\$	_	\$	(13)	\$	_
MPF Loans	(3)		(6)		(3)		(4)		2
Mortgage Backed Securities	(9)		_		_		(10)		_
Other interest earning assets	(1)		_		_		(2)		_
Interest-bearing liabilities	6		5		_		7		_
Derivatives	13		(3)		_		_		_
Total	\$ (1)	\$	(2)	\$	(3)	\$	(22)	\$	2
As of December 31, 2018									
Advances	\$ (5)	\$	2	\$	_	\$	(13)	\$	_
MPF Loans	(2)		(6)		(1)		(4)		_
Mortgage Backed Securities	(5)		_		(1)		(5)		_
Other interest earning assets	(1)		_		_		(2)		_
Interest-bearing liabilities	7		9		_		8		_
Derivatives	6		(6)		_		<del>_</del>		_
Total	\$ _	\$	(1)	\$	(2)	\$	(16)	\$	_

As of December 31, 2019, our sensitivity to changes in implied volatility was \$(2) million. At December 31, 2018, our sensitivity to changes in implied volatility was \$(1) million. These sensitivities are limited in that they do not incorporate other risks, including but not limited to, non-parallel changes in yield curves, prepayment speeds, and basis risk related to differences between the swap and the other curves. Option positions embedded in our mortgage assets and callable debt impact our yield curve risk profile, such that swap curve changes significantly greater than one basis point cannot be linearly interpolated from the table above.

Duration of equity is another measure to express interest rate sensitivity. We report the results of our duration of equity calculations to the FHFA each quarter. We measure duration of equity in a base case using the actual yield curve as of a specified date and then shock it with an instantaneous shift of the entire curve. The following table presents the duration of equity reported by us to the FHFA in accordance with the FHFA's guidance, which prescribes that down and up interest-rate shocks equal 200 basis points. The results are shown in years of duration equity.

As	of December 31, 20	19	As	of December 31, 201	8
Down 200 bps	Base	Up 200 bps	Down 200 bps	Base	Up 200 bps
2.2	1.2	2.4	1.1	0.7	1.9

As of December 31, 2019, on a U.S. GAAP basis, our fair value surplus (relative to book value) was \$266 million, and our market value of equity to book value of equity ratio was 105%, compared to \$294 million and 105% at December 31, 2018. Our market to book value of total capital for regulatory risk-based capital purposes differs from this GAAP calculation, as discussed in **Note 12 - Capital and Mandatorily Redeemable Capital Stock (MRCS)** to the financial statements.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

### Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

Our **Annual Financial Statements and Notes,** including the **Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**, are set forth starting on page F-1.

### Supplementary Data - Selected Quarterly Financial Data (Quarter amounts are unaudited)

	Year	4th	3rd	2nd	1st
2019					
Interest income	\$ 2,635	\$ 584	\$ 662	\$ 703	\$ 686
Interest expense	2,177	473	549	587	568
Net interest income after provision for (reversal of) credit losses	458	111	113	116	118
Noninterest income	100	32	29	21	18
Noninterest expense	223	67	54	52	50
Affordable Housing Program assessment	35	8	9	9	9
Net income	\$ 300	\$ 68	\$ 79	\$ 76	\$ 77
2018					
Interest income	\$ 2,315	\$ 655	\$ 603	\$ 577	\$ 480
Interest expense	1,802	524	477	445	356
Provision for (reversal of) credit losses	_	1	(1)	_	_
Net interest income after provision for (reversal of) credit losses	513	130	127	132	124
Noninterest income	16	1	6	9	_
Noninterest expense	191	56	47	46	42
Affordable Housing Program assessment	35	8	9	10	8
Net income	\$ 303	\$ 67	\$ 77	\$ 85	\$ 74



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

#### **Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as of the end of the period covered by this report (the Evaluation Date). Based on this evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded as of the Evaluation Date that the disclosure controls and procedures were effective such that information relating to us that is required to be disclosed in reports filed with the SEC: (i) is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and (ii) is accumulated and communicated to management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

### Management's Report on Internal Controls over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as such term is defined in Exchange Act 13a-15(f). Internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements.

Our management, which includes our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2019. In making this assessment, management uses as guidance the framework developed by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in "Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013)" and other authoritative guidance on governance and internal control. The assessment included extensive documenting, evaluating and testing the design and operating effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. Management concluded that based on its assessment, our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2019. The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2019, has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report included herein.

#### **Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

For the quarter ended December 31, 2019, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

#### **Consolidated Obligations**

Our disclosure controls and procedures include controls and procedures for accumulating and communicating information relating to our joint and several liability for the consolidated obligations of other FHLBs. Because the FHLBs are independently managed and operated, our management relies on information that is provided or disseminated by the FHFA, the Office of Finance or the other FHLBs, as well as on published FHLB credit ratings, in determining whether the FHFA's joint and several liability regulation is probable to result in a direct obligation for us or whether it is reasonably possible that we will accrue a direct liability.

Our management also relies on the operation of the FHFA's joint and several liability regulation that requires each FHLB to file with the FHFA a quarterly certification that it will remain capable of making full and timely payment of all of its current obligations, including direct obligations, coming due during the next quarter. In addition, if an FHLB cannot make such a certification or if it projects that it may be unable to meet its current obligations during the next quarter on a timely basis, it must file a notice with the FHFA. Under the FHLB Act and related regulation, the FHFA may order any FHLB to make principal and interest payments on any consolidated obligations of any other FHLB, or allocate the outstanding liability of an FHLB among all remaining FHLBs on a pro rata basis in proportion to each FHLB's participation in all consolidated obligations outstanding or on any other basis.

Based on these factors, we do not expect to pay any additional amounts on behalf of other FHLBs under our joint and several liability as of December 31, 2019, and as a result, we did not accrue a liability. For additional information, see **Note 10 - Consolidated Obligations** and **Note 16 - Commitments and Contingencies** to the financial statements.

Item 9B. Other Information.

None.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

### **PART III**

#### Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers, and Corporate Governance.

Our Board is comprised of a combination of industry directors elected by the Bank's member institutions (referred to as member directors) on a state-by-state basis and independent directors elected by a plurality of the Bank's members (referred to as independent directors). No member of the Bank's management may serve as a director of an FHLB. Our Board currently includes ten member directors and eight independent directors.

#### **Nomination of Member Directors**

Member directors are required by statute and regulation to meet certain specific criteria in order to be eligible to be elected and serve as Bank directors. To be eligible an individual must:

- be an officer or director of a Bank member institution located in the state in which there is an open Bank director position;
- the member institution must be in compliance with the minimum capital requirements established by its regulator; and
- the individual must be a U.S. citizen.

These criteria are the only permissible eligibility criteria that member directors must meet. The FHLBs are not permitted to establish additional eligibility criteria for member directors or nominees. For member directors, each eligible institution may nominate representatives from member institutions in its respective state to serve four-year terms on the Board of the Bank. As a matter of statute and regulation, only FHLB stockholders may nominate and elect member directors. FHLB Boards are not permitted to nominate or elect member directors, although they may appoint a director to fill a vacant directorship in advance of the next annual election. Specifically, institutions which are members required to hold capital stock in the Bank as of the record date (i.e., December 31 of the year prior to the year in which the election is held) are entitled to participate in the election process unless they have been involuntarily terminated as a member at the time of voting. With respect to member directors, under FHFA regulations, no director, officer, employee, attorney, or agent of the Bank (except in his/her personal capacity) may, directly or indirectly, support the nomination or election of a particular individual for a member directorship. Because of the structure of FHLB member director nominations and elections, we do not know what factors our member institutions consider in selecting member director nominees or electing member directors.

### **Nomination of Independent Directors**

For independent directors, the members elect these individuals on an at large basis to four-year terms, subject to FHFA designation. Independent directors cannot be officers or directors of a Bank member, and must meet certain statutory and regulatory eligibility criteria. To be eligible to serve as an independent director, an individual must be a citizen of the United States and a bona fide resident of the district in which the Bank is located. FHFA regulations require that an independent director (other than a public interest independent director) must have experience in or knowledge of one or more of the following areas: auditing and accounting, derivatives, financial management, organizational management, project development, risk management practices and the law. In addition, the FHFA regulation requires a public interest independent director to have more than four years' experience representing consumer or community interests in banking services, credit needs, housing or consumer financial protection.

Under FHFA regulation, our members are permitted to nominate candidates to be considered by the Bank to be included on the nominee slate and our Board determines the nominees after consulting with the Bank's Community Investment Advisory Council (Advisory Council). FHFA regulations permit a Bank director, officer, attorney, employee or agent and our Board and Advisory Council to support the candidacy of any person nominated by the Board for election to an independent directorship. Our Board selected independent director nominees based on their qualifications as described in each independent director's biography below.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

### 2019 Director Election

Voting rights and process with regard to the election of member and independent directors are set forth in the FHLB Act and FHFA regulations. For the election of both member directors and independent directors, each eligible member institution is entitled to cast one vote for each share of capital stock that it was required to hold as of the record date; however, the number of votes that each institution may cast for each directorship cannot exceed the average number of shares of capital stock that were required to be held by all member institutions located in that state on the record date. The only matter submitted to a vote of shareholders in 2019 was the election of certain member and independent directors, which occurred in the fourth quarter of 2019 as described above. We conducted this election to fill two open member directorships and three open independent directorships for 2020 as designated by the FHFA. In 2019, the nomination of directors was conducted by mail and the election of directors was conducted electronically. No meeting of the members was held in regard to the election. Our Board does not solicit proxies, nor are eligible member institutions permitted to solicit or use proxies to cast their votes in an election for member or independent directors. Information about the results of the election, including the votes cast, was reported in an 8-K filed on November 8, 2019, as amended by an 8-K/A filed on December 17, 2019.

### Information Regarding Current Directors of the Bank

The following table provides information regarding each of our directors as of February 29, 2020.

Name	Age	Director Since	Expiration of Term as of December 31,
John K. Reinke, Chairperson <sup>b</sup>	68	2012	2023
James T. Ashworth, Vice Chairperson <sup>a</sup>	68	2013	2020
Edward P. Brady <sup>d</sup>	56	2009	2023
Mary J. Cahillane <sup>d</sup>	68	2011	2020
Mark J. Eppli <sup>d</sup>	58	2012	2021
Joseph Fazio III b	58	2017	2020
Michelle L. Gross <sup>a</sup>	49	2015	2020
James H. Hegenbarth <sup>b</sup>	56	2018	2021
Phyllis Lockett <sup>d</sup>	54	2015	2023
David J. Loundy <sup>a</sup>	51	2020	2023
David R. Pirsein <sup>a</sup>	67	2015	2022
Leo J. Ries <sup>c</sup>	66	2009	2022
Lois Alison Scott d	59	2017	2023
Michael G. Steelman a	69	2011	2022
Ty R. Taylor <sup>b</sup>	52	2019	2022
Daniel G. Watts <sup>a</sup>	60	2018	2021
Gregory A. White <sup>c</sup>	56	2009	2021
Charles D. Young d	51	2015	2020

a Illinois member director.

James T. Ashworth joined CNB Bank & Trust, N.A. in 1978 and has served in many capacities, including as Vice Chairman since 1989 and as President and CEO from 1989 to 1997, as well as serving as Vice Chairman and President and CEO of its holding company, CNB Bank Shares, Inc. since 1989. Mr. Ashworth served as Chairman of the Community Bankers Association of Illinois (CBAI) and as an elected director of the Independent Community Bankers of America, on the state association's Legislative Committee and the national association's Regulation Review Committee; he was named CBAI's "Outstanding Member" in 1995. He also has previously served on the Illinois State Treasurer's Community Bank Advisory Council and as an appointed delegate to the White House Conference on Small Business. Mr. Ashworth earned a Bachelor of Science degree from the University of Miami, and is a graduate of the Graduate School of Banking in Madison, Wisconsin, as well as its post-graduate program. Mr. Ashworth has also served on numerous local boards, including a community hospital, a chamber of commerce, an economic development corporation, and a community foundation.

b Wisconsin member director.

c Public interest independent director.

d Independent director.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

Mr. Ashworth serves as the Bank's Vice Chairperson of the Board and Vice Chairperson of the Executive & Governance Committee. Mr. Ashworth also serves on the following Board committees of the Bank: Audit (Vice Chairperson) and Risk Management.

**Edward P. Brady** is the President and CEO of Home Builders Institute (HBI), a position he's held since November 2018. HBI is a national nonprofit that trains underserved populations, including veterans, transitioning military, high school students and justice-involved youth and adults for careers in the building industry. He is also the president/owner of Brady Homes in Bloomington, Illinois since 1988. He serves on the Board of Directors for the National Association of Home Builders and the Home Builders Association of Illinois. Mr. Brady is a former director of Freestar Bank, served as Chairman of the Brady for Illinois 2010 campaign, and has previously served on the Board of Habitat for Humanity for Illinois, the Illinois Chamber of Commerce, the Board of Economic Development Council for McLean County, and other community organizations. In 2016, he was the chairman for the National Association of Homebuilders. He currently serves as a director of Enterprise Community Partners. He also served for three years on the Bipartisan Policy Center's Housing Commission as a commissioner. The Board nominated Mr. Brady to serve as an independent director based on his knowledge of and experience in organizational management and project development, as indicated by his background.

Mr. Brady serves on the following Board committees of the Bank: Affordable Housing (Chairperson), Executive & Governance (Alternate) and Public Policy .

Mary J. Cahillane was Chief Financial Officer and Chief Investment Officer of the Spencer Foundation since 2003. She retired in May 2015. She previously worked for Bank of America from 1994 to 2003, Continental Bank from 1981 to 1985 and again from 1989 to 1994 and Texas Commerce Bank from 1985 to 1989, holding a variety of senior roles in asset liability management, risk management, and finance. Ms. Cahillane also currently serves on the boards of IES Abroad, St. John Berchmans School, Children's First Fund, and PEAK (Partnership to Educate and Advance Kids). Until the sale of the company in October 2017, she served on the board of Forsythe Technology, Inc. and previously served on the boards of ShoreBank Corporation and ShoreBank.

. The Board nominated Ms. Cahillane to serve as an independent director based on her knowledge of and experience in financial management and risk management practices, as indicated by her background.

Ms. Cahillane serves on the following Board committees of the Bank: Audit, Executive & Governance, and Risk Management (Chairperson).

Mark J. Eppli is currently the Director of the Graaskamp Center for Real Estate, Wisconsin School of Business at the University of Wisconsin - Madison, a position he's held since August 2018. Dr. Eppli was previously Robert B. Bell, Sr. Chair in Real Estate at Marquette University in Milwaukee, Wisconsin from 2002 to 2018, and served as the Director of the Center for Real Estate from 2009 to 2018. He also served as Interim Keyes Dean of Business at Marquette University from 2012 to 2015. Dr. Eppli was also Assistant, Associate, and Full Professor of Finance and Real Estate in the School of Business and Public Management at The George Washington University from 1991 to 2002. He was an active instructor and author for the Urban Land Institute from 1992 to 2012. Dr. Eppli was also a Lecturer and Teaching Assistant at the University of Wisconsin-Madison from 1987 to 1991. Prior to obtaining his doctorate, Dr. Eppli pursued a career in commercial real estate, serving as Manager of Research and Investment Analysis with PM Realty Advisors from 1985 to 1986 and a Specialist in Real Estate Acquisitions at GE Capital Corporation from 1984 to 1985. Dr. Eppli is past recipient of the Greater Washington Urban League's "Volunteer of the Year," Urban Land Institute's "Star Performer, and LISC-Milwaukee's "Navigator" awards for his efforts to attract minorities to the commercial real estate industry. He is a Board member and past President of the Real Estate Research Institute and Distinguished Fellow at NAIOP, the Commercial Real Estate Development Association. The Board nominated Dr. Eppli to serve as an independent director based on his knowledge of and experience in financial management and risk management practices, as indicated by his background.

Dr. Eppli serves on the following Board committees of the Bank: Affordable Housing and Risk Management (Vice Chairperson).

Joseph Fazio III is Co-founder, Board Chairman and CEO of Commerce State Bank, which opened in 2005. Mr. Fazio is the only CEO Commerce State Bank has had, having held the positions of Chairman and CEO from 2005 to present. Mr. Fazio also serves as a director of the bank's holding company, Commerce Financial Holdings, Inc. Prior to founding Commerce State Bank, Mr. Fazio led a privately-held marketing company from 2002 to 2004, was Director of Corporate Marketing for Metavante (now FIS) from 1998 to 2002, led Personal Trust Administration for M&I Trust Company (now BMO) from 1995 to 1998, and held several management positions with IBM from 1983 to 1995. Mr. Fazio is a 1983 graduate of St. Norbert College, and in 1988 earned his master's degree from Edgewood College. He served as a member of the board of directors of the Wisconsin Bankers Association from 2013 to 2016. An active member of his community, he has served as an elected official for the City of Cedarburg and has held several city board appointments. He is the past President of the Greater Cedarburg Community Foundation, and has served on the board of non-profits such as St. Francis Borgia School, Walker's Point Youth and Family Center, and the Cedarburg Athletic Booster Club. Mr. Fazio is a member of the National Association of Corporate Directors and



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

an NACD Board Leadership Fellow. Mr. Fazio is the author of the book, "This Might be a Dumb Question, but How Does Money Work?"

Mr. Fazio serves on the following Board committees of the Bank: Audit and Operations & Technology.

Michelle L. Gross has served as Executive Vice President/Chief Operating Officer, Information Systems Officer, and Director of the State Bank of Bement in Bement, Illinois since 2012, in addition to being Community Bank President of the State Bank of Bement-Monticello facility. She has worked at the State Bank of Bement since 1996 in roles with increasing responsibilities, including as Vice President and Information Systems Officer from 2008 to 2012. Ms. Gross currently serves as a director at the State Bank of Cerro Gordo in Cerro Gordo, Illinois and Bement Bancshares, Inc. in Bement, Illinois. She is a former director at The First National Bank of Ivesdale in Ivesdale, Illinois. Ms. Gross is active in a variety of community service organizations and with the Illinois Bankers Association. Through the Illinois Bankers Association, Ms. Gross has served on a number of committees and is currently a member of its Executive Committee, Board of Directors and Chairman of the Illinois Bankpac Board of Directors. She also serves on the Board of Directors of the Kirby Medical Center and is Chairman of the Kirby Foundation, benefiting Kirby Medical Center, and is also Chairman of the Bement Foundation. Ms. Gross is a graduate of the Graduate School of Banking in Madison, Wisconsin, and earned a Bachelor of Science from Western Illinois University.

Ms. Gross serves on the following Board committees of the Bank: Executive & Governance, Human Resources & Compensation (Chairperson), and Risk Management.

James H. Hegenbarth has served as President/CEO of The Park Bank in Madison, Wisconsin since 1999 and a member of its Board of Directors since 1997. He has been in the banking industry since 1985 and served in roles with increasing responsibilities, including consumer loan officer, credit analyst, credit manager, Associate Vice President of Business Banking, Vice President of Business Banking, and Senior Vice President of Lending. Mr. Hegenbarth previously worked at First Bank, N.A. (now U.S. Bank). He is a former Wisconsin Bankers Association (WBA) Board member and a current member of the Government Relations Committee. Mr. Hegenbarth is a Board member of the American Family Children's Hospital at UW Madison, a trustee and Chairman of the Business and Finance Committee at Edgewood High School and the Chairman of the Ronald McDonald House Madison Capital Campaign. He is also a new member of the American Bankers Association (ABA) FHLB Committee. Mr. Hegenbarth is a graduate of the Graduate School of Banking, University of Wisconsin-Madison, and earned his undergraduate degree in Economics from the University of Wisconsin-Madison.

Mr. Hegenbarth serves on the following Board committees of the Bank: Audit and Human Resources & Compensation.

Phyllis Lockett has served since 2014 as the founding CEO of LEAP Innovations, a national non-profit organization that works with educators and technology companies from across the nation to research, pilot and scale new instructional designs and technology solutions that advance student learning. Prior to her role at LEAP, Ms. Lockett served as President and CEO of New Schools for Chicago, a venture philanthropy organization that invests in the start-up of new public schools, since 2005. Ms. Lockett served from 1999 to 2005 as Executive Director of the Civic Consulting Alliance, a pro-bono consulting firm sponsored by the Civic Committee of the Commercial Club of Chicago that leads strategic planning initiatives, process improvement and program development projects for government agencies. She has played an instrumental role in some of the largest initiatives for the City of Chicago, Chicago Public Schools, and Chicago Housing Authority, including the reorganization of the management structure, resident relocation, capital construction, asset management, and economic development strategies to support the Chicago Housing Authority's \$1.5 billion Plan for Transformation. Currently, Ms. Lockett serves as a director of the CME Group. Ms. Lockett earned a Master of Management from the Kellogg Graduate School of Management at Northwestern University and a Bachelor of Science in Industrial Engineering from Purdue University. The Board nominated Ms. Lockett to serve as an independent director based on her knowledge of and experience in organizational management, financial management and project development, as indicated by her background.

Ms. Lockett serves on the following Board committees of the Bank: Executive & Governance (Alternate), Human Resources & Compensation (Vice Chairperson), and Operations & Technology (Vice Chairperson).

David J. Loundy has served as Chairman and CEO of Devon Bank headquartered in Chicago, Illinois since 2012. Mr. Loundy also serves as Vice-Chairman of Devon Bank's holding company (Devon Bancorp, Inc.), Managing Member of Devon Bank's investment pool affiliates (Abraham's River, LLC; and The Acheron Cash Fund, LLC; and their manager, 650 Asset Management LLC) and Chairman of Devon Bank's "sponsored" public charity, The Loundy Charitable Foundation. Prior to joining Devon Bank in 2003, Mr. Loundy practiced intellectual property and computer law and served as an adjunct professor and law school administrator. Mr. Loundy is a past board member of the Community Banker's Association of Illinois (CBAI), and is a current board member of the CBAI's Community BancService Corporation. He has been a board member or endowment board member for a number of community and religious organizations. He is a frequent writer and speaker on religion-based financing issues. Mr. Loundy earned a Bachelor of Arts in Telecommunications from Purdue University and a Juris Doctorate from the University of lowa, both with distinction.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

Mr. Loundy serves on the following Board committees of the Bank: Public Policy and Operations & Technology.

**David R. Pirsein** has served as President & CEO of First National Bank in Pinckneyville, First Perry Bancorp Inc. and its subsidiary, First National Insurance Services, Inc. since 2005. He has been an active community banker for over 35 years. Mr. Pirsein is a board member and executive committee member of the Shazam Inc. board, an EFT network and payments processor. He is the Chairman and board member of the Community Banker's Association of Illinois and a board member of its subsidiary, the Community BancService Corporation. He also serves on the board of governors of the Southern Illinois Real Estate Title Company, LLC. He is an active participant on the Pinckneyville strategic planning committee and is a Chamber member. Mr. Pirsein previously served two terms on the St. Louis Federal Reserve Board where he held the position of Audit committee chairman for several years. He graduated from Southern Illinois University Carbondale with a degree in Finance and Banking and has attended many banking schools, including the Graduate School of Banking in Madison, Wisconsin.

Mr. Pirsein serves on the following Board committees of the Bank: Executive & Governance (Alternate), Operations & Technology (Chairperson) and Public Policy (Vice Chairperson).

John K. Reinke has been with The Stephenson National Bank & Trust since 1974, where he served as President from 2000 to 2013. Mr. Reinke currently serves as Chair of the board of directors of The Stephenson National Bank & Trust subsequent to his retirement as President & CEO in April 2013. Mr. Reinke previously served on the Government Relations Administrative Council for the American Bankers Association. In addition, he served on the Board of the Wisconsin Bankers Association from 2002 through 2008 and as Chairman from 2006 to 2007. Mr. Reinke also has previously served as a Bay Area Medical Center board member and Treasurer, President of the University of Wisconsin - Marinette Foundation, Inc., President of the Menominee Area Chamber of Commerce, Chairman of the M&M Area Community Foundation, M&M Area Great Lakes Sport Fishermen President, M&M YMCA President, and Marinette County Revolving Loan Committee President.

Mr. Reinke serves as the Bank's Chairperson of the Board and Chairperson of the Executive & Governance Committee. He also serves as an ex officio member of the following Board committees of the Bank: Affordable Housing, Audit, Human Resources & Compensation, Operations & Technology, Public Policy, and Risk Management.

Leo J. Ries was the Executive Director of Local Initiatives Support Corporation (LISC) in Milwaukee, Wisconsin from 2000 until he retired in 2015. He currently works as a private consultant providing management services for the Martin Luther King Economic Development Corporation (MLKEDC) and the Wisconsin Preservation Fund (WPF). MLKEDC and WPF are both nonprofit neighborhood development organizations working in the City of Milwaukee, Wisconsin. He also currently serves on the Board of Directors for Near West Side Partners, Inc. and Lead2Change, Inc., as well as the Wisconsin Board of Directors for CommonBond Communities, Inc., and the Advisory Council for First-Ring Industrial Redevelopment Enterprise, Inc. Prior to his tenure at LISC, he was Deputy Commissioner for the City of Milwaukee in the Department of Neighborhood Services in 1999 and Director of the Housing and Neighborhood Development Division of the Department of City Development from 1992 to 1998. He served as the Director of the Community Block Grant Administration in the Department of Administration from 1990 to 1992. He served on the Board of Directors of the Neighborhood Improvement Development Corporation from 1992 to 1999, Select Milwaukee, Inc., from 1996 to 2000, Walker's Point Development Corporation from 1999 to 2000, and Canticle Court/Juniper Court from 1999 to 2000. The Board nominated Mr. Ries to serve as an independent director based on his experience representing community interests in housing, as indicated by his background.

Mr. Ries serves on the following Board committees of the Bank: Affordable Housing (Vice Chairperson) and Operations & Technology.

Lois Alison Scott has served as President of Epoch Advisors since 2015. From 2011 to 2015, Ms. Scott served as the Chief Financial Officer for the City of Chicago, the first woman to ever serve in that capacity. In 2011, Ms. Scott co-founded and chaired the Municipal CFO Forum with the Harris School of the University of Chicago where she now chairs the Advisory Board for the Center for Municipal Finance. From 2002 to 2011, Ms. Scott was Chief Executive Officer of a financial advisory firm that served large corporate and governmental clients. Prior to that, she served as President and Vice Chair of a technology company that provided a family of services to schools. Ms. Scott started her career at First Chicago (now JPMorgan), where she was responsible for governmental, healthcare and higher education clients in an eight-state region. She also worked for Bank of America, Donaldson Lufkin & Jenrette and chaired the Management Committee of the Export-Import Bank of the U.S., after serving as a White House Fellow. From 2015 to August 2017, Ms. Scott served as a director on the board of MBIA, Inc. She served as Vice Chair and Audit Committee Chair of the Chicago Stock Exchange where she was a director from 2016 to 2018 prior to its sale to the New York Stock Exchange. She currently serves on the board of the Kroll Bond Rating Agency, ASC-ST Holdings (Sunrise Transportation), and the advisory boards of other privately held companies. The Board nominated Ms. Scott to serve as an independent director based on her knowledge of and experience in accounting and financial management practices, as indicated by her background.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

Ms. Scott serves on the following Board committees of the Bank: Audit (Chairperson), Executive & Governance, and Risk Management.

**Michael G. Steelman** has been with the Farmers and Merchants State Bank of Bushnell and its holding company, Prairieland Bancorp., Inc., since 1984. He has served as Chief Executive Officer of Farmers and Merchants State Bank of Bushnell since 1996, and was appointed Chairman in 2001. In addition, Mr. Steelman has served as President and Chairman of the holding company since 2001. Mr. Steelman served as Chairman of the Illinois Bankers Association from 2008 to 2009, and was actively involved in the legislative and regulatory process at federal and state levels. An attorney practicing in banking law, Mr. Steelman is a member of the Illinois State Bar Association, and a graduate of the University of Wisconsin Graduate School of Banking. Mr. Steelman also serves as Secretary and Director of the Bushnell Economic Development Corporation.

Mr. Steelman serves on the following Board committees of the Bank: Executive & Governance, Human Resources & Compensation and Public Policy.

**Ty R. Taylor** has been President and CEO of Waukesha State Bank since 2004, and has been with the bank since 1991. Prior to becoming President and CEO of Waukesha State Bank, he oversaw the bank's commercial and mortgage lending services, among other responsibilities. From 1990 to 1991, Mr. Taylor was employed at M&I Marshall & Ilsley Bank. Mr. Taylor has volunteered extensively with various local non-profit organizations. Mr. Taylor has a B.A. in Economics from Wheaton College, Wheaton, IL, and is a graduate of the Graduate School of Banking at the University of Wisconsin-Madison.

Mr. Taylor serves on the following Board committees of the Bank: Operations & Technology and Risk Management.

**Daniel G. Watts** is a Director and the President of Forest Park National Bank and Trust Company, which he joined in January 2010. Mr. Watts has been an executive banking professional for over 25 years. He began his career at The American National Bank and Trust Company of Chicago, after which he became an Executive Officer and Director at Cosmopolitan Bank and Trust, Pullman Bank and Trust and Park National Bank (all subsidiaries of the former FBOP Corporation). Mr. Watts currently serves as a board member of Community Investment Corporation (CIC) and Chicago Neighborhood Initiatives (CNI). Previously, he served as a director of Neighborhood Housing Services (NHS). Mr. Watts is the past Board Chairman of the Illinois Bankers Association and a member of the Fenwick High School Investment Committee. Mr. Watts earned an undergraduate degree in Economics from Northwestern University, a law degree from Loyola University of Chicago School of Law, and an MBA from the University of Chicago Booth School of Business.

Mr. Watts serves on the following Board committees of the Bank: Affordable Housing & Audit.

Gregory A. White has been the President and Chief Executive Officer for LEARN Charter Schools located in Chicago, Illinois, from 2008 to present. Mr. White is leading an entrepreneurial effort to grow this nationally recognized network of high performing elementary schools from ten serving 4,200 students to sixteen schools serving 8,000 students. Prior to LEARN, Mr. White worked for The Chicago Community Trust (Vice President of Strategy & Operations), Chicago Venture Partners, L.P. (Co-founder & Partner), Salomon Brothers (Bond Salesman), Continental Bank (Real Estate Lender), The Rouse Company (Research Analyst) and the Enterprise Foundation (Assistant Field Officer). Mr. White also served on the board of directors of Learn Charter School Network, Lakefront Supportive Housing Christ the King High School, and the Chicago Transit Authority Citizens Advisory Board. Mr. White earned a Masters of Business Administration from Harvard Business School and graduated with honors from Brown University. The Board nominated Mr. White to serve as an independent director based on his experience representing consumer and community interests in credit needs and housing, as indicated by his background.

Mr. White serves on the following Board committees of the Bank: Executive & Governance (Alternate), Human Resources & Compensation and Public Policy (Chairperson).

**Table of Contents** 



## Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago

(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

Charles D. Young has been with Invitation Homes (formerly Colony Starwood Homes and Starwood Waypoint Residential Trust), a public real estate investment trust, since 2012. He has served as Chief Operating Officer since March 2015 and as Senior Division Vice President and Regional Director prior to that. Invitation Homes acquires, renovates, leases, and manages residential assets in the United States, focused primarily on acquiring single-family rental homes and non-performing residential mortgage loans. Prior to his employment with Invitation Homes, Mr. Young served as Executive Vice President at Mesa Development, a private real estate development firm, from 2003 to 2012, and as a senior analyst at Goldman Sachs from 1999 to 2000. Mr. Young started his career in the National Football League before co-founding and serving from 1994 to 2000 as managing director of the Kaleidoscope Group, a firm that provides management consulting, human resource, and strategic diversity initiative services to Fortune 500 clients. Mr. Young earned a Masters of Business Administration from the Stanford Graduate School of Business, and a Bachelor of Arts in Economics from Stanford University. The Board nominated Mr. Young to serve as an independent director based on his knowledge of and experience in organizational management, financial management and project development, as indicated by his background.

Mr. Young serves on the following Board committees of the Bank: Affordable Housing and Human Resources & Compensation.

There are no family relationships among the above directors or our executive officers.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

### **Audit Committee**

Our Audit Committee is comprised of non-executive directors. The Audit Committee Charter is available in full on our website at <a href="http://fhlbc.com/docs/default-source/about-us-pdfs/ac-charter.pdf">http://fhlbc.com/docs/default-source/about-us-pdfs/ac-charter.pdf</a>.

#### **Audit Committee Report**

March 11, 2020

The Audit Committee is composed of seven non-executive directors, two of whom are non-member directors, and operates under a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors that was last amended on July 25, 2019. Our Board of Directors determined that all Audit Committee members (Directors Scott, Ashworth, Cahillane, Fazio, Hegenbarth, Watts, and Reinke) are an "Audit Committee financial expert" for purposes of SEC requirements. Our Board of Directors elected to use the New York Stock Exchange definition of "independence" and, in doing so, concluded that each of the Directors on the Audit Committee, during 2019 and currently, is not independent, with the exception of Directors Scott and Cahillane, who do not serve as officers or directors of a Bank member. Under Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA) regulations applicable to members of the Audit Committee, each of the Audit Committee members is independent. For further discussion about the Board's analysis of director independence under the New York Stock Exchange rules, see **Director Independence** on page 115.

In accordance with its written charter adopted by the Board of Directors, the Audit Committee, assists the Board of Directors in fulfilling its responsibility for oversight of the Bank's accounting, reporting and financial practices, including the integrity of its financial statements, among other areas.

The Audit Committee is directly responsible for the appointment and oversight of our independent auditors, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (PwC), including review of their qualifications, independence and performance. Among other duties, the Audit Committee also oversees:

- the integrity of the Bank's financial statements, the Bank's accounting and financial reporting processes and systems;
- internal control over the Bank's financial reporting and safeguarding the Bank's assets;
- the programs, policies and compliance systems of the Bank designed to ensure compliance with applicable laws, regulations, other legal and regulatory requirements and policies;
- · practices with respect to risk assessment and risk management;
- · independent auditor's qualifications and independence;
- performance of the internal audit function; and
- performance of the independent auditor.

The Bank is one of 11 district Federal Home Loan Banks (FHLBs), that together with the Office of Finance (OF), comprise the Federal Home Loan Bank System (System). Each FHLB operates as a separate entity with its own management, employees, and board of directors and each is regulated by the FHFA. The OF has responsibility for the issuance of consolidated obligations on behalf of the FHLBs, and for publishing combined financial reports (CFRs) of the FHLBs. Accordingly, the System has determined that it is optimal to have the same independent audit firm to coordinate and perform the separate audits of the OF and each FHLB. The FHLBs and OF cooperate in selecting and evaluating the performance of the independent auditor, but the responsibility for the appointment and oversight of the independent auditor remains solely with the audit committees of each FHLB and the OF.

PwC has been the independent auditor for the System and the Bank since 1990. The Audit Committee engages in rigorous evaluations each year when appointing an independent auditor. In connection with the appointment of the Bank's independent auditor, the Audit Committee's evaluation included consultation with the Audit Committees of the other FHLBs and the OF. Specific considerations included:

- an analysis of the risks and benefits of retaining the same firm as independent auditor versus engaging a different firm, including consideration of:
  - PwC engagement audit partner, engagement quality review partner and audit team rotation;
  - PwC's tenure as the Bank's and the Systems' independent auditor;
  - · benefits associated with engaging a different firm as independent auditor; and
  - · potential disruption and risks associated with changing the independent auditor.



#### (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

- PwC's depth and breadth of understanding of our business, operations, and accounting policies and practices;
- PwC's historical and recent performance on the Bank's audit, including the results of an internal survey of PwC service and quality;
- an analysis of PwC's known legal risks and significant proceedings;
- external data relating to audit quality and performance, including recent Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) audit quality inspection reports on PwC and its peer firms;
- · the appropriateness of PwC's fees, on both an absolute basis and as compared to its peer firms; and
- the diversity of PwC's ownership and staff assigned to the engagement.

Audit Fees represent fees for professional services provided in connection with the audit of the Bank's annual financial statements and internal control over financial reporting and reviews of the Bank's quarterly financial statements, regulatory filings, consents and other SEC matters.

The Audit Committee has reviewed and approved the fees paid to the independent auditor for audit, audit-related and non-audit services, and the Audit Committee has determined that PwC does not provide any non-audit services that would impair its independence. To the Audit Committee's knowledge, there are no other matters which cause the Audit Committee to believe PwC is not independent.

In accordance with SEC rules, audit partners are subject to rotation requirements to limit the number of consecutive years an individual partner may provide service to the Bank. For engagement audit and quality review partners, the maximum number of consecutive years of service in that capacity is five years. The process for selection of the Bank's engagement audit partner pursuant to this rotation policy involves a meeting between the Chairperson of the Audit Committee and the candidate for the role, as well as discussion by the full Audit Committee and management.

Based on its reviews discussed above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors the appointment of PwC as the Bank's independent registered public accounting firm for 2020.

The Audit Committee annually reviews its written charter and practices, and has determined that its charter and practices are consistent with the applicable FHFA regulations and the provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Among other matters, the Audit Committee also:

- reviewed the scope of and overall plans for the external and internal audit program;
- discussed with management and PwC the Bank's processes for risk assessment and risk management;
- discussed with management and PwC significant matters, if any, arising during the audit and other areas of significant judgment or estimation in preparing the financial statements.
- reviewed and approved the Bank's policy with regard to the hiring of former employees of the independent auditor;
- reviewed and approved the Bank's policy for the pre-approval of audit and permitted non-audit services by the independent auditor;
- received reports pursuant to the Bank's policy for the submission and confidential treatment of communications from employees and others about accounting, internal controls and auditing matters;
- reviewed with management the scope and effectiveness of the Bank's disclosure controls and procedures, including for purposes of evaluating the accuracy and fair presentation of the Bank's financial statements in connection with certifications made by the Bank's President and Chief Financial Officer; and
- reviewed significant legal developments and the Bank's processes for monitoring compliance with law and Bank policies.

The Audit Committee has established procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment, on a confidential basis, of any complaints we receive. The Bank encourages employees and third party individuals and organizations to report concerns about the Bank's accounting controls, auditing matters or anything else that appears to involve financial or other wrongdoing.

Management has the primary responsibility for the preparation and integrity of the Bank's financial statements, accounting and financial reporting principles, and internal controls and procedures designed to assure compliance with accounting standards and applicable laws and regulations. The Bank's independent auditor, PwC, is responsible for performing an independent audit of the Bank's financial statements and of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB (United States) and, with respect to the financial statements, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. The internal auditors are responsible for preparing an annual audit plan and conducting internal audits under the control of the General



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

Auditor, who reports to the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee's responsibility is to monitor and oversee these processes. The Audit Committee met 10 times during 2019, and has regular executive sessions with both internal and independent auditors.

In this context, prior to their issuance, the Audit Committee reviewed and discussed the quarterly and annual earnings releases, financial statements (including the presentation of non-GAAP financial information) and disclosures under "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" (including significant accounting policies and judgments) with management, the Bank's internal auditors and PwC. The Audit Committee also reviewed the Bank's policies and practices with respect to financial risk assessment, as well as its processes and practices with respect to enterprise risk assessment and management. The Audit Committee discussed with PwC matters required to be discussed by the applicable requirements of the PCAOB. The Audit Committee has also received the written disclosures and the letter from PwC required by the applicable requirements of the PCAOB regarding PwC's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and has discussed with PwC its independence [Item 407(d)(3) of Reg. S-X]. The Audit Committee met with PwC and with the Bank's internal auditors, in each case, with and without other members of management present, to discuss the results of their respective examinations, the evaluations of the Bank's internal controls and the overall quality and integrity of the Bank's financial reporting. Management represented to the Audit Committee that the Bank's financial statements were prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Based on the reviews and discussions with management, the internal auditors, and PwC, as well as the review of the representations of management and PwC's report, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors, and the Board of Directors has approved, to include the audited financial statements in the Bank's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2019, for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

As of the date of filing for this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the members of the Audit Committee are:

Lois Alison Scott, Chairperson
James T. Ashworth, Vice Chairperson
Mary J. Cahillane
Joseph Fazio III
James H. Hegenbarth
Daniel G. Watts

John K. Reinke (ex officio)



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

### **Executive Officers of the Registrant**

The following table provides certain information regarding our executive officers as of February 28, 2019:

Executive Officer	Age	Capacity in Which Served	Employee of the Bank Since
Matthew R. Feldman	66	President and Chief Executive Officer	2003
Michael A. Ericson	48	Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer	2005
Thomas H.W. Harper*	54	Executive Vice President, General Auditor	2005
Michelle Jonson	46	Executive Vice President and Chief Risk Officer	2000
Roger D. Lundstrom	59	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	1984
Samuel J. Nicita	59	Executive Vice President and Chief Information Officer	2008
John Stocchetti	63	Executive Vice President, Group Head, Mortgage Partnership Finance Program	2006
Laura M. Turnquest	55	Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary	2004
Kim Cullotta	50	Senior Vice President, Group Head, People, Culture, and Communications	2011
Virxhini Gjonzeneli	38	Senior Vice President, Group Head, Member Product Support and Strategic Initiatives	2003
Carolyn Jaw	37	Senior Vice President, Group Head, Sales, Strategy, and Solutions	2004
Cedric D. Thurman	55	Senior Vice President, Group Head, Community Investments and Diversity and Inclusion	2018

<sup>\*</sup> Although Mr. Harper is a non-voting member of the Bank's Executive Team, he is not considered an "executive officer" as defined in Rule 3b-7 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 because he is not in charge of a principal business unit, division or function, nor does he perform a similar policy making function.

**Matthew R. Feldman** became President and Chief Executive Officer in May 2008, after serving as Acting President from April 2008 until then. Mr. Feldman was Executive Vice President, Operations and Administration of the Bank from 2006 to 2008, Senior Vice President, Risk Management of the Bank from 2004 to 2006 and Senior Vice President, Manager of Operations Analysis of the Bank from 2003 to 2004. Prior to his employment with the Bank, Mr. Feldman was Co-founder and Chief Executive Officer of Learning Insights, Inc. from 1995 to 2003. Mr. Feldman conceived, established, financed, and directed the operations of this privately held e-learning company. Mr. Feldman was President of Continental Trust Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Continental Bank from 1992 to 1995 and Managing Director-Global Trading and Distribution of Continental Bank from 1988 to 1992. Mr. Feldman currently serves on the Board of Directors of the FHLBs' Office of Finance, and as Chairman of the Board of the Pentegra Defined Benefit Plan for Financial Institutions.

**Michael A. Ericson** became Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer in January 2020. Prior to that, he was Executive Vice President and Group Head, Members and Markets of the Bank from 2014 to 2020, Executive Vice President and Chief Risk Officer of the Bank from December 2008 to 2014, Senior Vice President and Chief Risk Officer of the Bank from July 2008 to December 2008, and Senior Vice President of Accounting Policy and SEC Reporting of the Bank from January 2005 to July 2008. Prior to joining the Bank, Mr. Ericson was Vice President, Accounting Policy at Bank One before the merger with JPMorgan Chase and became Global Treasury Controller at JPMorgan Chase subsequent to the merger from 2003 to 2004. Mr. Ericson was Senior Manager with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP in the Financial Services Group from 1994 to 2003.

**Thomas H. W. Harper** became General Auditor of the Bank in 2006 and Executive Vice President in January 2011. Prior to that, Mr. Harper was Senior Vice President, Audit Director from 2005 to 2006. Prior to joining the Bank, Mr. Harper was First Vice President, Senior Audit Manager with JPMorgan Chase and Co., from 2004 to 2005. From May 1997 until the merger of Bank One, NA with JPMorgan Chase in June 2004, Mr. Harper was responsible for the internal audit of the Commercial and Investment Bank, Treasury Services and Corporate areas of Bank One, NA. Mr. Harper was Vice President, Audit Manager with the First National Bank of Chicago, NA (which became Bank One, NA) in London, U.K. from 1993 to 1997 and an auditor in Banking and Financial Services with KPMG Peat Marwick in London, U.K., from 1987 to 1992. Mr. Harper is a Chartered Accountant (England and Wales), a Certified Financial Services Auditor, and a Certified Internal Auditor.

**Table of Contents** 



### Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago

(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

**Michelle Jonson** became Executive Vice President, Chief Risk Officer of the Bank in July 2014. Prior to that, she was Senior Vice President and Co-Head of the Members and Markets Group since May 2014. Previously, Ms. Jonson served as Managing Director of the sales, member support, and member marketing relations functions since 2011. In 2000, Ms. Jonson joined the Bank and has managed responsibilities around pricing, funding, and hedging of advances and MPF, and developing operational risk strategies for the Members and Markets Group. Prior to joining the Bank, Ms. Jonson worked as an Investment Analyst for Aon Advisors. She received her CFA charter designation in 2008.

**Roger D. Lundstrom** has been Chief Financial Officer of the Bank since October 2008 and Executive Vice President and Group Head, Financial Information (now Financial Accounting and Markets) since 2003. Mr. Lundstrom was Senior Vice President, Financial Information of the Bank from 1997 to 2003 and Senior Vice President, Financial Reporting and Analysis of the Bank from 1992 to 1997. Mr. Lundstrom held various positions with the Bank in analysis and reporting functions with increasing levels of responsibility from 1984 to 1992.

**Samuel J. Nicita** became Executive Vice President and Chief Information Officer of the Bank in January 2016. Prior to that, he was Executive Vice President and Group Head, Community Investment and Member Products Support of the Bank from 2014 to 2015, Senior Vice President and Group Head, Community Investment of the Bank from 2012 to 2014, Community Investment Officer of the Bank from 2011 to 2012, Senior Vice President, Manager Premier Group/Middle Office of the Bank from 2010 to 2011 and Vice President, Manager Premier Group/Middle Office of the Bank from 2008 to 2010. Prior to joining the Bank, Mr. Nicita was Chief Operating Officer of Highview Capital Management from 2006 to 2008, Director of Operations of Ritchie Capital Management from 2001 to 2006 and held various positions with Chicago Research and Trade (which was acquired by Nations Bank, and later merged with Bank of America) from 1990 to 2001.

John Stocchetti has been with the Bank since 2006 and is currently Executive Vice President and Group Head, Mortgage Partnership Finance Program (MPF), a responsibility he assumed in January 2014. From May 2008 to January 2014, Mr. Stocchetti was Executive Vice President and Group Head, Products and Operations (formerly Products, Operations, and Technology), where he led a number of initiatives to upgrade, re-organize, and re-engineer the Bank's operations and technology. Mr. Stocchetti served as Senior Vice President, Project Premier Director of the Bank from 2006 to 2008, where he led the effort to implement an enterprise-wide systems platform that is now the Bank's main operating platform. Prior to joining the Bank, Mr. Stocchetti served in several positions from 2004 to 2006, including Chief Financial Officer, at Ritchie Capital Management, LLC, a multi-strategy hedge fund. Previously, Mr. Stocchetti served in various capacities from 1997 to 2004, including CEO, with Learning Insights, Inc., an e-learning internet company. From 1995 to 1997, Mr. Stocchetti was a Senior Vice President with NationsBank where he was the head of interest rate derivatives operations on a global basis and the Chief Operating Officer of NationsBank Financial Products, a AAA-rated derivatives company. From 1978 to 1995, Mr. Stocchetti was with Continental Bank in Chicago, IL where he held various positions, the latest of which was as a Managing Director of Derivative Products. Mr. Stocchetti holds a Masters of Business Administration from the University of Chicago and earned a Bachelors of Science in economics from the University of Illinois at Chicago. Mr. Stocchetti served as an adjunct professor at the Illinois Institute of Technology where he taught classes for six years in the graduate level Financial Markets and Trading program.

**Laura M. Turnquest** became Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of the Bank in August 2016. Prior to that Ms. Turnquest was: Senior Vice President, Deputy General Counsel from 2007 to August 2016; Vice President, Deputy General Counsel from 2006 to 2007; and Assistant Vice President, Assistant General Counsel from 2004 to 2006. Prior to joining the Bank, Ms. Turnquest was an associate in the Banking and Finance practice at Mayer Brown LLP from 1997 to 2004.

Kim Cullotta joined the Bank's Executive Team in November 2017, and became Senior Vice President and Group Head, Human Resources (now People, Culture, and Communications) in January 2018. Ms. Cullotta started with the Bank in 2011, and has served as Senior Vice President, Member Product Support and Senior Vice President, Information Technology. Ms. Cullotta has over 20 years of management experience in diverse financial services institutions, including: Director, Finance at Infinium Capital Management, LLC from 2010 to 2011, Financial Controller/Compliance Officer at Fox River Securities, LLC from 2007 to 2010 (which was acquired by Infinium), Financial Management & Reporting Director at Ritchie Capital Management, LLC from 2002 to 2007, and Vice President, Finance-Global Markets Group Planning & Reporting at Bank of America from 1996 to 2002.

**Table of Contents** 



### Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago

(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

Virxhini Gjonzeneli became Senior Vice President and Group Head, Strategic Initiatives (now Member Product Support and Strategic Initiatives) of the Bank in November 2017. Prior to that, she was Senior Vice President, Director of Enterprise Risk Management since 2015. Ms. Gjonzeneli joined the Bank in 2003, and from 2007 to 2015 held positions of increasing levels of responsibilities within the Bank's Credit group, including Assistant Vice President, Senior Markets Credit Analyst and Vice President, Manager of Markets Credit Analysis. Ms. Gjonzeneli received her MBA from the University of Chicago Booth School of Business and her undergraduate degree from Northwestern University. She received her CFA charter designation in 2008.

Carolyn Jaw joined the Bank's Executive Team in January 2020, and became Senior Vice President and Group Head, Sales, Strategy, and Solutions. From 2015 to 2019, Ms. Jaw was Senior Vice President and Managing Director, Institutional Sales, and most recently had responsibility over institutional sales, marketing, and events. Ms. Jaw has worked in various groups at the Bank since 2004, including the sales, capital markets, and mortgage groups. Previously, Ms. Jaw worked at Hillenbrand Capital Partners, a structured products investment fund, where she focused on pricing, funding, and hedging the residential and commercial mortgage loan and securities portfolios. Ms. Jaw holds a Bachelor of Arts degree in Economics and International Studies from Northwestern University and a Master of Business Administration degree with concentrations in Finance, Economics, Accounting, and Entrepreneurship from the University of Chicago, Booth School of Business.

Cedric D. Thurman joined the Bank in the newly created position of Senior Vice President & Chief Diversity Officer in September 2018. Since January 2020, Mr. Thurman serves as Senior Vice President and Group Head, Community Investments and Diversity and Inclusion. In his role as Chief Diversity Officer, Mr. Thurman is responsible for shaping the Bank's culture to provide opportunities for all employees and help the Bank be a catalyst for change for its member institutions and the communities they serve. In his role leading Community Investments, Mr. Thurman is responsible for oversight of the Bank's products, programs and awards to support its member institutions' affordable housing and community lending initiatives. Since 2017, Mr. Thurman is the Founder and CEO of Talks Inc., a not for profit organization being developed to provide life skills programming for youth via video-based conversations. Prior to developing Talks Inc., from 2014 to 2017, Mr. Thurman served as U.S. Head of Inclusion at BMO Harris Bank. In this role, Mr. Thurman was responsible for the diversity and inclusion strategy for the U.S. while overseeing BMO's Enterprise Resource Groups in the U.S. and Canada, as well as compliance related activities. Mr. Thurman began his career at BMO Harris, spending nearly 10 years in a variety of roles from International Trade Finance, Community Development Lending, and Branch Management and Development from 1987 to 1996. Prior to re-joining BMO Harris, Mr. Thurman spent 14 years at JLL in office and retail brokerage capacities, as well as leading HR strategy for the Americas region. He also served as JLL's first Chief Diversity Officer. Mr. Thurman is very active in Chicago's civic community. He currently serves on the Governing Board of UCAN; Central Division Board member for Junior Achievement of Chicago; University of Illinois College of Business Dean's Business Council; University of Illinois College of Business Alumni Association Board: Board of Advisors, University of Illinois Alumni Association: member of the President's Council for the Museum of Science and Industry; and Commissioner, Illinois Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission of the Supreme Court of Illinois. Mr. Thurman holds an MBA in Management Strategy and Marketing from the Kellogg School of Management of Northwestern University and a BS in Finance from the University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign.

There are no family relationships among the above executive officers or our directors.

We have adopted a code of ethics for all of our employees and directors, including our President and CEO, principal financial officer, and those individuals who perform similar functions. A copy of the code of ethics is published on our internet website and may be accessed at: <a href="https://fhlbc.com/docs/default-source/about-us-pdfs/code-of-ethics.pdf">https://fhlbc.com/docs/default-source/about-us-pdfs/code-of-ethics.pdf</a>.

We intend to disclose on our website any amendments to, or waivers of, the Code of Ethics covering our President, CEO, principal financial officer, and those individuals who perform similar functions. The information contained in or connected to our website is not incorporated by reference into this annual report on Form 10-K and should not be considered part of this or any report filed with the SEC.



(All dollar amounts within this Item 11 Executive Compensation are in whole dollars unless otherwise specified)

#### Item 11. Executive Compensation.

This section provides information regarding our compensation program for our 2019 named executive officers (NEOs): Matthew R. Feldman, President and CEO; Roger D. Lundstrom, Executive Vice President & Chief Financial Officer; Michael A. Ericson, Executive Vice President & Chief Operating Officer; Michael Jonson, Executive Vice President & Chief Risk Officer, and John Stocchetti, Executive Vice President & Group Head, Mortgage Partnership Finance Program.

#### **Compensation Discussion & Analysis**

#### Compensation Program Objectives and Philosophy

Our Human Resources & Compensation Committee (the HR&C Committee) is responsible for, among other things, reviewing and making recommendations to the full Board of Directors regarding compensation and incentive plan awards for the Bank's President and CEO and assisting the Board in matters pertaining to the employment and compensation of other executive officers, our employment and benefits programs in general, and overseeing a risk assessment of our compensation policies and practices for all employees. The HR&C Committee may rely on the assistance, advice, and recommendations of the Bank's management and other advisors and may refer specific matters to other committees of the Board.

The goal of our compensation program is to set compensation at a level which allows us to attract, motivate, and retain talented executives who can enhance our business performance and help us fulfill our mission. Our compensation program is designed to reward:

- Individual performance and attainment of Bank-wide requirements and goals and business strategies on both a shortterm and long-term basis;
- · Fulfillment of our mission;
- Effective and appropriate management of risks, including financial, operational, market, credit, legal, regulatory, and other risks; and
- · The growth and enhancement of executive leadership.

Our current compensation program is comprised of a combination of base salary, short-term incentive compensation, long-term incentive compensation, retirement, severance, and other benefits which reflect total compensation that is consistent with individual performance, business results, job responsibility levels, and the competitive market. Because we are a cooperative and our capital stock generally may be held only by members, we are unable to provide compensation to executives in the form of stock or stock options which is typical in the financial services industry.

#### **Regulatory Oversight of Executive Compensation**

The FHFA provides certain oversight of FHLB executive officer compensation. Under the Federal Housing Enterprises Financial Safety and Soundness Act of 1992, as amended, the FHFA Director must prohibit an FHLB from paying compensation to its executive officers that is not reasonable and comparable to that paid for employment in similar businesses involving similar duties and responsibilities. In connection with this responsibility, the FHFA has directed the FHLBs to submit all compensation actions involving named executive officers to the FHFA for prior review.

The FHFA has issued a rule setting forth requirements and processes with respect to compensation provided to executive officers by FHLBs. The rule addresses the authority of the FHFA Director to: 1) approve named executive officer agreements that provide for compensation in connection with termination of employment and 2) review the compensation arrangements of named executive officers of the FHLBs and to prohibit an FHLB from providing compensation to any named executive officer that the Director determines is not reasonable and comparable with compensation for employment in other similar businesses involving similar duties and responsibilities.

The FHFA has also issued an advisory bulletin establishing certain principles for executive compensation at the FHLBs and the Office of Finance. These principles include that: (1) such compensation must be reasonable and comparable to that offered to executives in similar positions at comparable financial institutions; (2) such compensation should be consistent with sound risk management and preservation of the par value of FHLB stock; (3) a significant percentage of an executive's incentive-based compensation should be tied to longer-term performance and outcome-indicators and be deferred and made contingent upon performance over several years; and (4) the Board of Directors should promote accountability and transparency in the process of setting compensation. Under the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008, the FHFA Director has the right to prohibit or limit golden parachute payments under certain conditions as described in **Severance Arrangements** on page 102.



(All dollar amounts within this Item 11 Executive Compensation are in whole dollars unless otherwise specified)

The HR&C Committee has established a risk review framework in connection with its review and approval of incentive compensation plan requirements and goals, risks, and payouts. Under the framework, our Chief Risk Officer delivered a risk analysis report to our Operations and Technology Committee and the Risk Management Committee of the Board of Directors evaluating certain risk principles against the requirements and goals, risks, and payouts associated with our short-term, deferred and long-term incentive compensation plans, and evaluating whether our compensation policies and practices create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Bank. The HR&C Committee reviewed the report, along with base salary information and consultant studies (as further described below), and determined that the compensation payable to our executive officers for 2019 and 2020 was and is reasonable and comparable to that paid within the FHLB System and complies with the FHFA guidance.

#### **Use of Compensation Consultants and Surveys**

It is the intent of the HR&C Committee to set overall compensation packages at competitive market levels. In order to evaluate and maintain our desired market compensation position, the HR&C Committee reviews comparable market compensation information. We participated in the 2018 Federal Home Loan Bank System Key Position Compensation Survey. This survey, conducted by Kathy Riemer Compensation Consulting, outlines executive and non-executive compensation information for various positions across all 11 FHLBs.

We also engaged McLagan Partners, a compensation consulting firm, to conduct a broad-based compensation survey for 2018 that includes market statistics on salary, annual incentives, total cash, long-term/deferred awards, and total compensation. The survey compared executive officer compensation against three peer groups: (1) commercial banks (excluding certain large investment banks), (2) other FHLBs, and (3) named executive officers from publicly traded financial institutions with \$10 billion to \$20 billion in assets. McLagan reviewed the data collection and results with our Human Resources senior management so that we may understand the appropriateness of the survey comparisons adjusting for scale and scope of the survey position versus the other survey participants. Our Human Resources senior management reviews the surveys with our HR&C Committee.

The information obtained from the 2018 Federal Home Loan Bank System Key Position Survey and the 2018 McLagan Executive Compensation Benchmarking Survey (together, Compensation Surveys) was considered by the Board of Directors, the HR&C Committee, and our President and CEO, as appropriate, when making compensation decisions for 2019.

### **Elements of Our Compensation Program**

On an annual basis, the HR&C Committee reviews the components of our NEO compensation: salary, short- and long-term incentive compensation, matching bank contributions, severance benefits, and projected payments under our retirement plans.

Base salary is included in our NEO compensation package because the HR&C Committee believes it is appropriate that a portion of the compensation be in a form that is fixed and liquid. We use the base salary element to provide the foundation of a fair and competitive compensation opportunity for each of our executive officers. We generally do not provide perquisites to our executives as part of our compensation program, and during 2019 none of our executives received perquisites in excess of \$10,000 in annual value.

Performance-based compensation is split between our short-term, long-term, and deferred cash incentive award opportunities, providing incentive for our NEOs to pursue particular business objectives consistent with the overall business strategies and risk management criteria set by our Board of Directors. The plans for our NEOs, although designed to reward both overall Bank performance and individual performance, are heavily weighted toward overall Bank performance.

In determining executive compensation, we have not had to consider federal income tax effects on the Bank because, by statute, we are exempt from federal income taxation.



(All dollar amounts within this Item 11 Executive Compensation are in whole dollars unless otherwise specified)

### **Employment Agreements**

All of our NEOs (other than the President and CEO) are at-will employees of the Bank.

The Bank entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Feldman effective January 1, 2018 (the "Employment Agreement"), which replaces his prior agreement that was effective January 1, 2015. The Employment Agreement provides for a three-year employment term ending December 31, 2020, unless terminated earlier as provided for in the agreement.

The Employment Agreement provides for an initial base salary of \$940,420 effective January 1, 2018. The HR&C Committee reviews Mr. Feldman's performance annually and following its review for the 2018 calendar year, the HR&C Committee recommended that the Board increase Mr. Feldman's base salary to \$987,440, to be effective January 1, 2019, after considering his performance and accomplishments during 2018 and the overall competitive market data from the Compensation Surveys, which maintains Mr. Feldman's base salary above the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile of the base salaries paid to other FHLB presidents. This is the final base salary increase for Mr. Feldman for the term of the Employment Agreement, which includes the year starting January 1, 2020, the discretion of the Board notwithstanding.

The Employment Agreement allows Mr. Feldman to participate in the Bank's President and Executive Team Incentive Compensation Plan (as amended to date, the Incentive Plan). In addition, Mr. Feldman is also entitled to participate in our health insurance, life insurance, retirement, and other benefit plans that are generally applicable to our other senior executives. Under the Employment Agreement, Mr. Feldman is responsible for the payment of all federal, state and local income and other taxes that may be due with respect to any payments made to him pursuant to the Employment Agreement, although if any provision of the Employment Agreement would cause Mr. Feldman to incur any additional tax or interest under Section 409A of the Code, then the Bank may reform such provision provided that it maintains (to the maximum extent practicable), the original intent of the applicable provision.

For a description of Mr. Feldman's post-termination compensation payable under the Employment Agreement, see **Severance Arrangements** on page 102.

### Base Salary

Base salary is a key component of our compensation program. In making base salary determinations, the HR&C Committee and, with respect to making compensation recommendations for the other executive officers, the President and CEO, review competitive market data from the Compensation Surveys and consider factors such as prior related work experience, individual job performance, and the position's scope of duties and responsibilities within our organizational structure and hierarchy.

The Board of Directors determines base salary for the President and CEO after it has received a recommendation from the HR&C Committee; it set Mr. Feldman's base salary at \$987,440 for 2019 as described above.

On an annual basis, the President and CEO reviews the performance of the other NEOs and makes salary recommendations to the HR&C Committee. In setting base salaries, Mr. Feldman and the HR&C Committee will generally consider competitive market data from the Compensation Surveys and individual performance, including the attainment of personal goals. The HR&C Committee and Mr. Feldman have determined that the compensation guideline for base salaries for NEOs (other than the President and CEO) should generally target the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile of the base salaries paid to senior executives serving in similar positions at the other FHLBs. Due to the complex nature and operations of the Bank relative to the other FHLBs and the importance of retaining key members of the executive management team, salaries for certain NEOs may be targeted above the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile.

Mr. Stocchetti received a 3.15% increase in base salary for 2019 from \$604,000 to \$623,000, which maintains his base salary above the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile of base salaries paid to senior executives serving in similar positions at the other FHLBs and reflects the increased complexities of his job compared to those serving in similar positions at the other FHLBs and his individual performance. Mr. Ericson received a 3.25% increase in base salary for 2019 from \$492,000 to \$508,000, which maintains his base salary above the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile of base salaries paid to senior executives serving in similar positions at the other FHLBs and reflects the increased complexities of his position as compared to those serving in similar positions at the other FHLBs. Mr. Lundstrom received a 3.25% increase in base salary for 2019 from \$462,000 to \$477,000 which brings his base salary to the 60<sup>th</sup> percentile of base salaries paid to senior executives serving in similar positions at the other FHLBs. Ms. Jonson received a 3.21% increase in base salary for 2019 from \$420,000 to \$433,500, which brings her base salary to the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile of base salaries paid to senior executives serving in similar positions at the other FHLBs and reflects her individual performance, tenure, and experience. The new base salaries for these NEOs became effective February 1, 2019.



(All dollar amounts within this Item 11 Executive Compensation are in whole dollars unless otherwise specified)

President and Executive Team Incentive Compensation Plan

Since 2013 our NEOs have participated in the Incentive Plan, which is a cash-based annual incentive plan with a deferral component that establishes individual incentive award opportunities related to achievement of performance objectives by the Bank and by participants during performance periods. The Incentive Plan provides the Bank's Executive Team, including our NEOs, the opportunity to earn incentive compensation awards based on the Bank's achievement of certain financial and performance requirements established by the Board (the Performance Requirements).

The Incentive Plan establishes two performance periods. Incentive Plan participants may earn an annual award following a oneyear performance period (an Annual Award) and may receive a deferred award following a three-year deferral period (a Deferred Award). For each performance period the Board will present an opportunity to Incentive Plan participants to earn a total award (an Incentive Award), which is composed of the Annual Award and the Deferred Award, equal to a percentage of each Incentive Plan participant's annual base salary at the end of the performance period for the Annual Award. After the end of a performance period the Board will determine the total Incentive Award of the President and CEO, and the HR&C Committee will determine the total Incentive Award of each other Incentive Plan participant, based on the achievement of the Performance Requirements at a minimum, target, or maximum level. As approved by the Board (for the President and CEO) and HR&C Committee (for the other NEOs) for the 2019 - 2022 performance period, the Incentive Award may range for NEOs other than the President and CEO from 40% to 80% of base salary and from 60% to 100% of base salary for the President and CEO. The HR&C Committee has the discretion to award amounts that fall between these ranges based on an interpolation of the performance results. The Annual Award will be equal to 50% of the Incentive Award and the Deferred Award will be equal to 50% of the Incentive Award (subject to adjustment based upon achievement of certain Performance Requirements) and will be deferred during the three-year deferral period. The HR&C Committee (or the Board in the case of the President and CEO) may in its discretion increase the Incentive Award of an individual Incentive Plan participant to account for such participant's performance that is not captured in the Performance Requirements applicable to such individual.

In determining the Performance Requirements under the Incentive Plan, the HR&C Committee strives to:

- (1) balance risk and financial results in a manner that does not encourage participants to expose the Bank to imprudent risks;
- (2) make such determination in a manner designed to ensure that participants' overall compensation is balanced and not excessive in amount and that the awards are consistent with the Bank's policies and procedures regarding such compensation arrangements; and
- (3) monitor the success of the Performance Requirements and weighting established in prior years, alone and in combination with other incentive compensation awarded to the same participants, and make appropriate adjustments in future calendar years as needed so that payments appropriately incentivize participants and reflect risk.

### Performance Requirements for Annual Awards

The Incentive Award opportunity for each performance period will be based on Performance Requirements established annually by the Board (for the President and CEO) and HR&C Committee (for the other Incentive Plan participants). The Incentive Plan provides that the HR&C Committee and the Board will establish separate Performance Requirements for Annual Awards and Deferred Awards. Performance Requirements for Deferred Awards will apply during the deferral period and assessment of the achievement of Performance Requirements will be determined at the end of each deferral period.



(All dollar amounts within this Item 11 Executive Compensation are in whole dollars unless otherwise specified)

The Performance Requirements for the 2019 Annual Awards and applicable weighting for each requirement are as follows:

	Performance Requirements	Weighting for NEOs other than Chief Risk Officer	Weighting for Chief Risk Officer
A	Adjusted annual GAAP net income as compared to the Bank's operating plan at 12/31/19. Adjusted net income means annual GAAP net income adjusted by: 1) subtracting total 2019 advance prepayments fees net of related hedge costs adjusted only to exclude net amounts that would have occurred after 2019 had there been no prepayment; 2) subtracting total 2019 prepayment fees on DUS bonds net of related hedge costs and net of interest planned in 2019; 3) subtracting product of (a) monthly change in average 3-month LIBOR from Bank's operating plan average and (b) average plan total capital; 4) adding amortization in 2019 of any expense for cap and floor purchases by Bank to flatten income profile and reducing it by any payments received on the cap and floors; 5) subtracting the gain on Bank OTTI settlements net of associated legal costs; and 6) removal of hedge ineffectiveness.	20.00%	15.00%
В	Annual economic performance of the MPF Provider using the economic method for amortizing fee income vs. the 2019 Bank MPF Provider forecasted economic performance.	10.00%	7.50%
С	Increase in collateral lendable loan value for members from 12/31/18 to 12/31/19.	10.00%	10.00%
D	The 9/30/19 total advances as a percentage of total wholesale funding of depository institution members plus advances to non-depository institutions on 9/30/19.	10.00%	10.00%
Е	Unpaid principal value of Traditional MPF Program products on Bank's balance sheet as of 12/31/19.	10.00%	10.00%
F	Implementation of Operational Excellence, which includes developing the implementation plan for the initiatives identified in the assessment of the advances process, and implementing the 2019 portion of the initiatives.	5.00%	7.50%
G	Build a cost/benefit decision framework for the Bank and begin using it to enable the Bank to have a consistent basis for decision making.	5.00%	7.50%
Н	Continue delivering current state assessments of Bank processes relative to operational excellence.	5.00%	5.00%
Ι	Test workload region move for business continuity purposes.	7.50%	10.00%
J	Establish strategic roadmaps and reporting for information technology investment governance groups.	7.50%	7.50%
K	Employee participation in Diversity and Inclusion activities.	10.00%	10.00%



(All dollar amounts within this Item 11 Executive Compensation are in whole dollars unless otherwise specified)

The minimum, target and maximum achievement levels for each Performance Requirement for the 2019 Annual Awards (which awards are reflected in the Summary Compensation Table) along with 2019 results and the actual weighted achievement (as a percentage of base salary) are set forth in the following table:

					Actual Weigh	nted Incentive Av Salary for	ward as % of
Requirement	Minimum	Target	Maximum	2019 Results	President <sup>a</sup>	Chief Risk Officer b	All Other NEOs <sup>b</sup>
Α	\$(20) million	\$0	\$10 million	\$64 million	20.00%	12.00%	16.00%
В	\$(1) million	\$0	\$1 million	\$2 million	10.00%	6.00%	8.00%
С	2%	3%	5%	8.2%	10.00%	8.00%	8.00%
D	59.50%	60.75%	61.75%	67.04%	10.00%	8.00%	8.00%
E	\$8.55 billion	\$8.66 billion	\$9.10 billion	\$9.80 billion	10.00%	8.00%	8.00%
F	Implement 2019 portion of 2 initiatives	Implement 2019 portion of 3 initiatives	Implement 2019 portion of 4 initiatives	Implement 2019 portion of 3 initiatives	4.00%	4.50%	3.00%
G	Complete by 12/31/2019	Complete by 10/31/2019	Complete by 7/31/2019	Completed by 7/31/2019	5.00%	6.00%	4.00%
Н	1 process	2 processes	3 processes	3 processes	5.00%	4.00%	4.00%
I	100% test cases executed by June 30th	50% test cases executed by May 31st; 100% executed by June 30th	70% test cases executed by May 31st; 100% executed by June 30th	90% test cases executed by May 31st; 100% executed by June 30th	7.50%	8.00%	6.00%
J	11/29/2019	10/31/2019	9/30/2019	9/30/2019	7.50%	6.00%	6.00%
K	60%	75%	90%	96.3%	10.00%	8.00%	8.00%
		Total Actual Ind	centive Award as	a % of Salary <sup>c</sup>	99.00%	78.50%	79.00% <sup>d</sup>

The percentages shown above represent the actual achievement which equates to an opportunity percentage ranging from 60% to 100% of base salary for the President (which includes interpolated amounts where performance fell between the achievement levels), multiplied by the applicable weighting for each requirement.

50% of the Incentive Award achieved is the Annual Award, which is payable at the end of 2019, and 50% of the Incentive Award is the Deferred Award, which is payable at the end of the 2020-2022deferral period.

The percentages shown above represent the actual achievement which equates to an opportunity percentage ranging from 40% to 80% of base salary for NEOs other than the President (which includes interpolated amounts where performance fell between the achievement levels), multiplied by the applicable weighting for each requirement.

d The HR&C Committee began with an award opportunity of 79.00% for Mr. Ericson and Mr. Stocchetti . After considering the Bank's overall performance and each of Mr. Ericson's and Mr. Stocchetti's individual performances, the HR&C Committee increased the Incentive Award for Mr. Ericson to 86.90% of his base salary, and for Mr. Stocchetti to 86.90% of his base salary.



(All dollar amounts within this Item 11 Executive Compensation are in whole dollars unless otherwise specified)

Performance Requirements for Deferred Awards

#### 2017-2019 Deferred Award (Earned for 2019)

The performance results and weighted achievement for the 2017-2019 Deferred Award (as a percentage of the initial deferred portion of the Incentive Award achieved for the 2016-2019 performance period) are set forth in the following table. This Deferred Award was earned in 2019 and is reflected in the Summary Compensation Table.

2017 - 2019 Performance Requirement Results	Actual Weighted Deferred Award as % of Deferred Award <sup>a</sup>
Ratio of the market value to par value of the Bank's capital stock as of 12/31/19 is 297%.	41.67%
Maintained the three minimum regulatory capital ratios at each month end through 12/31/19.	41.67%
Maintained positive annual net income for 2017, 2018, and 2019.	41.66%
Deferred Award as a % of the Initial Deferred Portion of the Incentive Award Achieved for the 2016-2019 Performance Period b	125.00%

- The percentages shown above represent the actual achievement which equates to an opportunity percentage ranging from 75% to125% of the initial deferred portion of the Incentive Award achieved for the 2016-2019 performance period (which includes interpolated amounts where performance fell between the achievement levels), multiplied by the applicable weighting for each requirement. All conditions for payment of the 2017-2019 Deferred Award were satisfied and the maximum levels were achieved for each Performance Requirement.
- The 2017-2019 Deferred Award is part of the Incentive Award achieved for the 2016-2019 performance period. 50% of the Incentive Award achieved is the Annual Award, which was earned in 2016, 50% of the Incentive Award achieved is the Deferred Award, which is payable following the end of 2019, and reflected in the Summary Compensation Table.

### 2020-2022 Deferred Award (Part of 2019 Incentive Award)

The minimum, target, and maximum achievement levels for each Performance Requirement for the Deferred Award for the 2020 - 2022 deferral period along with the applicable weightings for each requirement are set forth in the following table.

	Performance Requirements	Weighting for all NEOs <sup>a</sup>	Minimum	Target	Maximum
Α	Ratio of the market value to par value of the Bank's capital stock as of 12/31/22	33.34%	>100%	>105%	>150%
В	Maintain the three minimum regulatory capital ratios at each month end through 12/31/22	33.33%	At least 104 capital requirements	At least 106 capital requirements	All 108 capital requirements
С	Maintain positive quarterly net income during 2020, 2021 and 2022	33.33%	In 10 of 12 quarters	In 11 of 12 quarters	In all 12 quarters

If the composite exam rating remains the same as the level at 12/31/18 or improves during 2020, 2021, or 2022, the Deferred Award paid will be at 100% of applicable weighting for each Performance Requirement above. If the composite exam rating declines during any of 2020, 2021, or 2022 from the level at 12/31/18 then the calculation based on actual achievement of the Performance Requirements for the Deferred Awards will reflect a reduction of 33% in the weightings of each Performance Requirement above.

The HR&C Committee may, in its discretion, reduce or eliminate an Annual Award or a Deferred Award for any applicable performance period under any of the following circumstances: (1) the Bank receives the lowest or second-lowest cumulative rating in its FHFA examination in any calendar year in a particular performance period; (2) the Board determines that a material safety and soundness problem has occurred, or a material risk management deficiency exists at the Bank, or if (a) operational errors or omissions result in material revisions to the Bank's financial results, information submitted to the FHFA, or to data used to determine Incentive Awards, (b) submission of material information to the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Office of Finance, or the FHFA is materially beyond any deadline or applicable grace period, or (c) the Bank fails to make sufficient progress, as determined by the Board, in the timely remediation of significant examination, monitoring, or other supervisory findings; (3) a Deferred Award may be reduced for each year during the deferral period in which the Bank has negative net income; or (4) with respect to an individual Incentive Plan participant only, (a) such Incentive Plan participant receives an unsatisfactory job performance appraisal, either during a performance period or at the scheduled time of an Incentive Award payout, (b) such Incentive Plan participant becomes subject to any disciplinary action at the scheduled time of an Incentive Award payout, or (c) such Incentive Plan participant fails to comply with regulatory requirements or standards, internal control



(All dollar amounts within this Item 11 Executive Compensation are in whole dollars unless otherwise specified)

standards, the standards of his or her profession, any internal Bank standard, or fails to perform responsibilities assigned to such Incentive Plan participant under the Bank's strategic business plan.

The amount of the Deferred Award may increase or decrease based on the level of achievement of the Performance Requirements during the deferral period. For the 2019 - 2022 performance period, the amount of the Deferred Award as approved by the Board for each participant can range from 75% to 125% of the initial deferred portion of the Incentive Award as determined at the end of the initial performance period. The HR&C Committee has the discretion to award amounts that fall between these ranges based on an interpolation of the performance results.

Incentive Plan participants are paid their respective Incentive Awards, if any, in cash following the initial and deferred performance periods, provided that they are actively employed by the Bank at the end of the performance period. If a participant dies, becomes disabled, retires, terminates employment for good reason or a change of control occurs, the participant shall be eligible to receive, unless he participates in any activity constituting cause, (a) a pro-rated incentive award for the current performance period based on how long he was employed with the Bank during the year (excluding any period of disability in excess of three months), and (b) all Deferred Awards previously granted. Incentive Plan participants may elect to defer some or all of an Incentive Award under our Benefit Equalization Plan. For a description of the terms of the Benefit Equalization Plan see Benefit Equalization Plan on page 104. For Mr. Feldman's payments under the Incentive Plan upon termination of his employment, see Severance Arrangements below.

See **President and Executive Team Incentive Compensation Plan** on page 107 for the awards made to the NEOs under this plan.

Post-Termination Compensation

### Severance Arrangements

Our NEOs (other than the President and CEO) are eligible to receive severance benefits under our Employee Severance Plan. Under the plan, if an NEO covered by the plan were to be terminated other than for cause or through voluntarily termination of their employment because of a constructive discharge, that NEO would be entitled to receive the greater of: (1) four weeks' base salary for each full year of calendar service, but not to exceed 104 weeks; or (2) one year's base salary, subject to certain limits. In addition, we will make the Bank's portion of the COBRA payments required to continue health insurance benefits for a time period generally equal to the number of weeks of pay such employee is entitled to receive (not to exceed the statutory COBRA continuation period). Payments under the Employee Severance Plan shall be made during the payment period (as defined in such plan) with the regular payroll schedule of the Bank. An NEO's receipt of benefits under the Employee Severance Plan is conditioned on an executed general release waiving all claims against the Bank.

Under Mr. Feldman's Employment Agreement, in the event his employment with the Bank was terminated by him through retirement (as defined in the Employment Agreement), Mr. Feldman is entitled to receive the following payments and benefits:

- (1) all accrued and unpaid salary for time worked as of the date of termination;
- (2) all accrued but unutilized vacation time as of the date of termination;
- (3) payment of the incentive compensation he would have otherwise been entitled to for:
  - i. the total Incentive Award (both Annual Award and Deferred Award) under the Incentive Plan for the year in which termination occurs, calculated as if all performance targets for the current annual and deferral award period had been met at the target award level and prorated based on the number of full months Mr. Feldman was employed during the year of termination, divided by 12, and
  - ii. any previously deferred award (50% of the total Incentive Award) under the Incentive Plan not subject to proration or further adjustments based on performance target achievement during the deferral period;

provided, however that the HR&C Committee may, in its discretion, reduce or eliminate any incentive compensation amounts paid under this item (3) for any of the circumstances set forth in Section 5.3(b)(1)-(3) or (5) the Incentive Plan, as applicable,

(4) an additional amount under the Bank's Benefit Equalization Plan equal to the additional annual benefit under Section 3.01 of the Benefit Equalization Plan, with such benefit calculated as if: (i) Mr. Feldman were 3 years older than his actual age and (ii) Mr. Feldman had 3 additional years of service at the same rate of annual compensation in effect for the 12-month period ending on the December 31 immediately preceding the termination of Mr. Feldman's employment, and (iii) the BEP continued in effect without change in accordance with its terms as in effect on the date immediately preceding Mr. Feldman's date of termination (the "Feldman BEP Amount", as further described in the Retirement and Other Post-Employment Compensation Table and Narrative on page 108), and



(All dollar amounts within this Item 11 Executive Compensation are in whole dollars unless otherwise specified)

(5) participation in the Bank's retiree health care benefit plans for Mr. Feldman and his spouse, in accordance with the terms of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago Description of Retiree Medical Coverage.

If Mr. Feldman's employment with the Bank is terminated by resignation (as described in the Employment Agreement), Mr. Feldman will be entitled to the payments in items (1) through (4) above. If Mr. Feldman's employment with the Bank is terminated by the Board for Cause (as defined in the Employment Agreement), Mr. Feldman will be entitled only to the amounts in items (1) and (2) above. If Mr. Feldman's employment with the Bank is terminated by reason of death or disability, or by the Bank other than for Cause, Mr. Feldman will be entitled to the payments in items (1) through (4) above, as well as salary continuation (at the base salary in effect at the time of termination) for a period of one year, and continued participation in the Bank's employee health care benefit plans for Mr. Feldman and his spouse, in accordance with the terms of the Bank's severance plan that would be applicable if Mr. Feldman's employment had been terminated pursuant to such plan (provided, however, the Bank shall continue paying the employer's portion of Mr. Feldman's medical and/or dental insurance premiums, if Mr. Feldman participates in either or both programs for one year.)

The Employment Agreement specifies that the HR&C Committee may in its discretion reduce or eliminate any incentive compensation amounts in item (3) above for certain circumstances as more fully set forth in the Incentive Plan, and as summarized in **Performance Requirements for Deferred Awards** on page 101.

The Employment Agreement provides that Mr. Feldman would not be entitled to any other compensation, bonus, incentive, or severance pay from the Bank other than as specified above and any vested rights which he has under any pension, thrift, or other benefit plan, excluding the severance plan, and, in the instance of termination by any means other than for Cause, the Benefits Equalization Plan.

The right to receive certain termination payments as outlined above is contingent upon, among other things, Mr. Feldman signing a general release of all claims against the Bank in such form as the Bank requires.

Under the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008, the FHFA Director has the authority to prohibit or limit any golden parachute or indemnification payment by an FHLB. FHFA rules on golden parachute payments sets forth the standards the FHFA would take into consideration when limiting or prohibiting golden parachute and indemnification payments by an FHLB when the FHLB is in a troubled condition, in conservatorship or receivership, or insolvent. FHFA rules also generally prohibit an FHLB and the OF from making indemnification payments to any officer, director, employee or other affiliated party to pay or reimburse such person for any liability of legal expense in connection with an administrative proceeding or civil action initiated by the FHFA.

For a further description of potential payments to our NEOs upon termination of employment, see **Potential Payments Upon Termination Table** on page 110.

#### Pension Plan Benefits

The HR&C Committee believes that retirement plan benefits and retiree health and life insurance are an important part of our NEO compensation program which provides a competitive benefits package. The Pentegra Defined Benefit Plan for Financial Institutions (Pension Plan) and related Benefit Equalization Plan benefits serve a critically important role in the retention of our senior executives (including our NEOs), as benefits under these plans increase for each year that these executives remain employed by us and thus encourage our most senior executives to remain employed by us. We provide additional retirement and savings benefits under the Benefit Equalization Plan because we believe that it is inequitable to limit retirement benefits and the matching portion of the retirement savings plan on the basis of a limit that is established by the IRS for purposes of federal tax policy.

We participate in the Pentegra Financial Institutions Retirement Fund, a multiemployer, funded, tax-qualified, noncontributory defined-benefit pension plan that covers most employees, including the NEOs. Benefits under this Pension Plan are based upon the employee's years of service and the employee's consecutive five-year average highest earnings, and are payable after retirement in the form of an annuity or a lump sum. Earnings, for purposes of the calculation of benefits under the Pension Plan, are defined to include salary and bonuses under the applicable short-term incentive plan. The amount of annual earnings that may be considered in calculating benefits under the Pension Plan is limited by law. For 2019, the limitation on annual earnings was \$280,000. In addition, benefits provided under tax-qualified plans may not exceed an annual benefit limit of \$225,000 in 2019.

The formula for determining the normal retirement annual benefit for employees hired prior to January 1, 2010 is 2.25%, multiplied by the number of years of the employee's credited service, multiplied by the employee's consecutive five-year average highest earnings. An employee's retirement benefit vests 20% per year beginning after an employee has completed two years of employment, but is completely vested at age 65 regardless of completed years of employment. Normal retirement age is 65, but a reduced benefit may be elected in connection with early retirement beginning at age 45. All of the NEOs are currently eligible



(All dollar amounts within this Item 11 Executive Compensation are in whole dollars unless otherwise specified)

for the early retirement benefit. We also provide health care and life insurance benefits for retired employees of which they pay 50% of the total Bank premium for each benefit.

### Savings Plan Benefits

During 2019, we participated in the Pentegra Defined Contribution Plan for Financial Institutions (Savings Plan), a tax-qualified, defined-contribution savings plan. Effective January 15, 2020, we changed administrators for the Savings Plan to Empower. Under the Savings Plan, employees, including our NEOs, may contribute up to 50% of regular earnings on a before-tax basis to a 401(k) account or on an after-tax basis to a Roth Elective Deferral Account or a regular account. In addition, for employees who have completed one year of service, the Bank matches a portion of the employee's contribution to the Savings Plan (50% for employees with three years of service or less, 75% for employees with more than three years of service but less than five years of service, and 100% for employees with five or more years of service). For 2019, our matching contribution was limited to \$16,800 for each employee.

The Bank's matching contributions to the Savings Plan vests incrementally based on years of employment, with 100% vesting after six years of employment. Pursuant to IRS rules, and effective for 2019, the Savings Plan limits the annual additions that can be made to a participating employee's account to \$56,000 per year. Annual additions include our matching contributions and employee contributions. Of those annual additions, the current maximum before-tax contribution by an employee to a 401(k) account is \$19,000 per year. In addition, no more than \$280,000 of annual compensation may be taken into account in computing benefits under the Savings Plan. Participants age 50 and over are eligible to make catch-up contributions of up to \$6,000 per year. Generally, Savings Plan distributions can only be made at termination of employment. However, an employee may take a withdrawal of employee and employer plan contributions while employed, but an excise tax of 10% is generally imposed on the taxable portion of withdrawals occurring prior to an employee reaching age 59 1/2. Employees may also take one loan each year from the vested portion of the Regular, Roth Elective Deferral and 401(k) Savings Plan accounts. Loan amounts may be between \$1,000 and \$50,000. No more than 50% of the available balance can be borrowed at any time.

### Benefit Equalization Plan

We also provide supplemental retirement and savings plan benefits under our Benefit Equalization Plan, a nonqualified unfunded plan that preserves the level of benefits which were intended to be provided under our Pension Plan and Savings Plan in light of legislation limiting benefits under these tax qualified plans. The Benefit Equalization Plan was established in 1994. On December 19, 2008, our Board of Directors approved a new plan, the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago Post December 31, 2004 Benefit Equalization Plan, that replaces the former plan. The new plan includes updated provisions related to compliance with Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, but the basic benefits under the plan remain unchanged.

Our Benefit Equalization Plan provides that if an executive officer dies, retires, or terminates employment due to disability when any short-term incentive compensation that was previously earned but deferred in accordance with the deferral provisions of any of the Bank's incentive compensation plans, we calculate the officer's pension benefits in order to adjust for the fact that such short-term incentive compensation would not otherwise be included in the officer's base compensation for purposes of calculating pension benefits at the time the executive officer dies, retires, or terminates employment due to disability. We calculate the officer's final pension benefit as if such deferred amounts had been included in the executive officer's base compensation and the difference between that calculation and the amount to which the retired, deceased or disabled officer is entitled to under the Benefit Equalization Plan as a result of such calculation will be paid in a lump sum.

The Pension Plan benefit under the Benefit Equalization Plan is an amount equal to the difference between the Pension Plan formula without considering legislative limitations, and the benefits which may be provided under the Pension Plan considering such limitations. Generally, participants may elect when Pension Plan benefits under the Benefit Equalization Plan are paid, but not earlier than termination of employment or later than age 70 1/2. Generally, participants may elect to receive a benefit in the form of a single lump sum, a 50% joint and survivor annuity, a 100% joint and survivor annuity with a ten-year certain benefit or a life annuity with a ten-year certain benefit.

The Benefit Equalization Plan also allows employees to make additional salary reduction contributions up to the maximum percentages allowed under the Savings Plan and to receive matching contributions up to the maximum percentages under the Savings Plan, in each case without giving effect to laws limiting annual additions. Salary reduction contributions and earnings under the Benefit Equalization Plan are treated as deferred income. Effective January 1, 2014, Savings Plan related contributions and earnings in the Benefit Equalization Plan earn interest at the 20 quarter (five year) moving average of the five year Federal Home Loan Bank consolidated obligation bond rate. Generally, a participant's Savings Plan benefit under the Benefit Equalization Plan are payable in lump sum as soon as reasonably practicable after his termination of employment with the Bank. While employed at the Bank, a participant may, in the event of an unforeseeable emergency, request withdrawal from his or her Savings Plan account, and such request shall be made in a time and manner determined by the HR&C Committee.



(All dollar amounts within this Item 11 Executive Compensation are in whole dollars unless otherwise specified)

### **Compensation Committee Report**

Our Board of Directors has established the HR&C Committee to assist it in matters pertaining to the employment and compensation of the President and CEO, executive officers and our employment and benefits programs in general.

The HR&C Committee is responsible for making recommendations to the Board of Directors regarding the compensation of the President and CEO and approving the compensation of the other executive officers, including base salary, merit increases, incentive compensation and other compensation and benefits. Its responsibilities include reviewing our compensation strategy and its relationship to our goals and objectives, as well as compensation at the other FHLBs and other similar financial institutions that involve similar duties and responsibilities.

The HR&C Committee has reviewed and discussed with our management the Compensation Discussion & Analysis included in this Item 11 - Executive Compensation. In reliance on such review and discussions, the HR&C Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that such Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2019.

### The HR&C Committee:

Michelle L. Gross, Chairperson Phyllis Lockett, Vice Chairperson James H. Hegenbarth Michael G. Steelman Gregory A. White Charles D. Young John K. Reinke, ex officio



(All dollar amounts within this Item 11 Executive Compensation are in whole dollars unless otherwise specified)

### **Compensation Tables**

### **Summary Compensation Table**

The following table sets forth summary compensation information for our NEOs for 2019.

### **Summary Compensation Table**

Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation

Matthew R. Feldman President and Chief Executive Officer	Year 2019 2018 2017	Salary <b>\$ 987,440</b> 940,420 940,420	Annual Award <b>\$488,783</b> 436,026 447,640	Deferred Award <sup>a</sup> <b>\$ 498,657</b> 508,194 429,008	Change in Pension Value 5 1,678,000 575,000 3,318,000	All Other Compensation °  \$ 16,800 16,500 16,200	Total \$ 3,669,680 2,476,140 5,151,268
Roger D. Lundstrom	2019	477,000	188,415	197,758	2,031,000	16,800	2,910,973
Executive Vice President and	2018	462,000	168,007	179,205	172,000	16,500	997,712
Chief Financial Officer	2017	436,000	164,312	146,160	1,332,000	16,200	2,094,672
Michael A. Ericson	2019	508,000	220,726	227,421	917,000	16,800	1,889,947
Executive Vice President and	2018	492,000	205,000	181,963	89,000	16,500	984,463
Chief Operating Officer	2017	457,083	198,904	144,729	464,000	16,200	1,280,916
Michelle Jonson d	2019	433,500	170,149	172,631	850,000	16,800	1,643,080
Executive Vice President and							
Chief Risk Officer							
John Stocchetti	2019	623,000	270,694	257,341	1,229,000	16,800	2,396,835
Executive Vice President and	2018	604,000	250,000	283,291	339,000	16,500	1,492,791
Group Head, Mortgage Partnership Finance Program	2017	577,754	218,080	226,745	761,000	16,200	1,799,779
		•		•		•	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> All amounts earned for all NEOs reflect the Deferred Award under the Incentive Plan.

The amount reported in this column for 2019 represents the aggregate change in the actuarial present value of the NEO's accumulated benefit under the Pension Plan and BEP from December 31, 2018 to December 31, 2019. For 2019, the change in value resulted primarily from the large decrease in interest rates during the year, with larger impacts on the younger NEOs. The "Change in Pension Value" and "Total" amounts shown for Mr. Feldman for 2018 and 2017 do not match the amounts previously reported in our SEC filings because they have been updated to include the change in pension value for a portion of the applicable Feldman BEP amount that was previously disclosed in a footnote to the respective 2018 and 2017 Retirement and Other Post-Employment Compensation table, but was not included in the calculation for the amounts disclosed in the "Change in Pension Value" column in prior years. For 2019, the "Change in Pension" value amount for Mr. Feldman includes the Feldman BEP Amount disclosed in the applicable Retirement and Other Post-Employment Compensation Tables.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Amounts reported for all other compensation consists of Bank contributions to employee 401(k) and BEP plans.

d Ms. Jonson was not an NEO for 2017 and 2018.



(All dollar amounts within this Item 11 Executive Compensation are in whole dollars unless otherwise specified)

### Narrative to Summary Compensation Table

Compensation under the heading Annual Award in the Summary Compensation Table is comprised of the Annual Awards under the Incentive Plan. Compensation under the heading Long Term Award in the Summary Compensation table is comprised of Deferred Awards under the Incentive Plan.

#### President and Executive Team Incentive Compensation Plan

Annual Awards for 2019 to the NEOs under the Incentive Plan are set forth below. For a description of how these awards were calculated see **President and Executive Team Incentive Compensation Plan** on page 98.

Name	Base Salary		Actual Annual Award as a % of Salary a		Actual Annual Award	
Matthew R. Feldman	\$	987,440	49.50%	\$	488,783	
Roger D. Lundstrom		477,000	39.50%		188,415	
Michael A. Ericson		508,000	43.45%		220,726	
Michelle Jonson		433,500	39.25%		170,149	
John Stocchetti		623,000	43.45%		270,694	

<sup>50%</sup> of the Incentive Award achieved as a percentage of base salary is the Annual Award for 2019.

Deferred Awards for the 2017-2019 performance period to the NEOs under the Incentive Plan are set forth below. For a description of how these awards were calculated see **President and Executive Team Incentive Compensation Plan** on page 98.

Name	Defer	red Award <sup>a</sup>	Actual Award Percentage <sup>b</sup>	Actu	Actual Deferred Award	
Matthew R. Feldman	\$	413,468	125%	\$	498,657 <sup>c</sup>	
Roger D. Lundstrom		158,206	125%		197,758	
Michael A. Ericson		181,937	125%		227,421	
Michelle Jonson		138,105	125%		172,631	
John Stocchetti		205,873	125%		257,341	

Represents the initial deferred portion of the Incentive Award achieved for the 2016-2019 performance period.

b Represents the earned percentage of the initial deferred portion of the Incentive Award achieved for the 2016-2019 performance period.

The Board of Directors adjusted Mr. Feldman's Deferred Award such that his total incentive compensation for 2019 did not exceed 100% of his 2019 base salary.



(All dollar amounts within this Item 11 Executive Compensation are in whole dollars unless otherwise specified)

#### **Grants of Plan-Based Awards**

The following table describes the potential NEO awards under the Incentive Plan for the plan period covering January 1, 2019, through December 31, 2022. See **President and Executive Team Incentive Compensation Plan** starting on page 98 for a description of the performance criteria under this plan.

		Estimated Future Payouts under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Award					ntive Plan Awards	
Name	Incentive Plan <sup>a</sup>		Minimum		Target		Maximum	
Matthew R. Feldman	Annual	\$	296,232	\$	394,976	\$	493,720	
	Deferred		366,587		488,783		610,979	
Roger D. Lundstrom	Annual		95,400		143,100		190,800	
	Deferred		141,311		188,415		235,519	
Michael A. Ericson	Annual		101,600		152,400		203,200	
	Deferred		165,545		220,726		275,908	
Michelle Jonson	Annual		86,700		130,050		173,400	
	Deferred		127,612		170,149		212,686	
John Stocchetti	Annual		124,600		186,900		249,200	
	Deferred		203,020		270,694		338,367	

Annual: Annual Award under the Incentive Plan. The amounts shown are based on the potential awards for each NEO for 2019. Annual awards granted in 2019 were earned in the same year; for such amounts actually earned, please see the Summary Compensation Table. Deferred: Deferred Award under the Incentive Plan. The amounts shown reflect the actual Deferred Awards granted for 2020-2022 based on actual performance for 2019. The Deferred Awards remain subject to adjustment based upon achievement of certain Performance Requirements during the 2020-2022 deferral period and may be reduced to zero if actual achievement is below the minimum achievement level for those Performance Requirements.

### Retirement and Other Post-Employment Compensation Table and Narrative

Name	Plan Name	Years Credited Service	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit	Payments During Last Fiscal Year	
Matthew R. Feldman <sup>a</sup>	Pension	15.75	\$ 1,495,000	\$ —	
	BEP	15.75	10,813,000	_	
Roger D. Lundstrom	Pension	35.33	2,623,000	_	
	BEP	35.33	4,954,000	_	
Michael A. Ericson	Pension	14.42	831,000	_	
	BEP	14.42	1,466,000	_	
Michelle Jonson	Pension	16.75	945,000	_	
	BEP	16.75	1,205,000	_	
John Stocchetti	Pension	12.75	1,155,000	_	
	BEP	12.75	2,967,000	_	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> At December 31, 2019 the additional present value of accrued benefits due Mr. Feldman under section (7)(b)(iv) of his Employment Agreement is \$3,553,000 (the Feldman BEP Amount). The Feldman BEP Amount is included in the BEP present value of accumulated benefit total shown in this table. Part of the increase in the Feldman BEP Amount from the previous year reflects a correction in the calculation.

Our NEOs are entitled to receive retirement benefits through the Pension Plan and the Benefit Equalization Plan. See **Post-Termination Compensation** on page 102. The present value of the current accumulated benefit, with respect to each NEO under both the Pension Plan and the Benefit Equalization Plan, described in the table above is based on certain assumptions described below.

The participant's accumulated benefit is calculated as of December 31, 2019 and 2018. Under the Pension Plan, which is a qualified pension plan, the participant's accumulated benefit amount as of these calculation dates is based on the plan formula, ignoring future service periods and future salary increases during the pre-retirement period. The present value is calculated using the accumulated benefit at each date multiplied by a present value factor based on an assumed age 65 retirement



(All dollar amounts within this Item 11 Executive Compensation are in whole dollars unless otherwise specified)

date. As of December 31, 2018, 55% the Pension Plan benefit is valued using the RP-2014 mortality table for white collar worker annuitants (with mortality improvement scale MP-2018) and 45% of the Pension Plan benefit is valued using the IRS applicable mortality table for lump sums projected to 2018. As of December 31, 2019, 55% of the Pension Plan benefit is valued using the PRI-2012 mortality table for white collar worker annuitants (with mortality improvement scale MP-2019) and 45% of the Pension Plan benefit is valued using the IRS Applicable mortality table for lump sums projected to 2019. The interest rates used are 4.22% as of December 31, 2018 and 3.22% as of December 31, 2019.

The present value amount discounted back to the reporting period does not factor in the mortality table. The difference between the present value of the December 31, 2019 accumulated benefit and the present value of the December 31, 2018 accumulated benefit is the change in pension value for the qualified plan presented in the Summary Compensation Table.

Benefits provided under the qualified plan are limited under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA). As a result, the Benefit Equalization Plan, which is a nonqualified plan, is designed to provide benefits above the amount allowed under ERISA. The benefits provided under the Benefit Equalization Plan are initially calculated on a gross basis to include benefits provided by the qualified plan. The benefits under the qualified plan are then deducted from the initially calculated gross amount to arrive at the amount of benefits provided by the Benefit Equalization Plan. The participant's accumulated benefit amounts as of these calculation dates are based on plan formula, ignoring future service periods and future salary increases. The present value is calculated by multiplying the benefits accumulated at each date by a present value factor based on an assumed age 65 retirement date. As of December 31, 2018, the Benefit Equalization Plan benefit is valued using the RP-2014 mortality table for white collar worker annuitants (with mortality improvement scale MP-2018). As of December 31, 2019, the Benefit Equalization Plan benefit is valued using the PRI-2012 mortality table for white collar worker annuitants (with mortality improvement scale MP-2019). The interest rates used are 3.93% as of December 31, 2018 (participants who elected a lump sum as their form of benefit from the Benefit Equalization Plan have their lump sum amounts at age 65 valued at 4.22%, then discounted back to current age at 3.93%) and 2.79% as of December 31, 2019 (participants who elected a lump sum as their form of benefit from the Benefit Equalization Plan have their lump sum amounts at age 65 valued at 3.22%, then discounted back to current age at 2.79%).

The difference between the present value of the December 31, 2019 accumulated benefit and the present value of the December 31, 2018 accumulated benefit is the change in pension value for the nonqualified plan presented in the Summary Compensation Table.

The difference in the interest rates used for the assumptions under the Pension Plan and the Benefit Equalization Plan is due to the Pension Plan being a multi-employer plan and the experience/assumptions under that plan versus our Benefit Equalization Plan being a single employer plan.

#### **Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Table**

Name	Plan Name <sup>a</sup>	Con	ecutive tributions ast FY	Registrant Contributions in Last FY <sup>c</sup>		Contributions		Contributions		Contributions		Contributions		Contributions		Contributions		Contributions		Contributions		Earni	Earnings in Witho		gregate drawals/ ributions		ggregate ance of All ns at Last FYE <sup>e</sup>
Matthew R. Feldman	BEP	\$	63,870	\$	_	\$	9,196	\$	_	\$	661,651																
Roger D. Lundstrom	BEP		64,395		9,720		13,968		_		983,943																
Michael A. Ericson	BEP		16,467		370		1,424		_		110,112																
Michelle Jonson	BEP		24,238		4,980		3,485				256,447																
John Stocchetti	BEP		96,685		2,878		19,815		_		1,363,656																

- <sup>a</sup> The table above includes salary reduction contributions by our NEOs, and matching contributions by the Bank under the Benefit Equalization Plan (BEP). For a description of the BEP, see **Benefit Equalization Plan** on page 104.
- b Represents the amounts of the contributions made by each NEO. These amounts are reflected in the "Salary" column of the Summary Compensation Table.
- <sup>c</sup> Represents the amounts of the contributions made by the Bank for each NEO under the BEP. These amounts are reflected in the "All Other Compensation" column of the Summary Compensation Table.
- Not included in 2019 compensation as rate paid was not above a market rate.
- The aggregate balance at December 31, 2019, as reported above, includes amounts that are either currently reported or were previously reported as compensation in the Summary Compensation Table for 2019 and prior years, except for the aggregate earnings on deferred compensation to the extent such compensation was not above market rate.



(All dollar amounts within this Item 11 Executive Compensation are in whole dollars unless otherwise specified)

#### **Potential Payments Upon Termination Table**

Name	Se	verance	Exe	esident and ecutive Team centive Plan	He	alth Care	Total
Matthew R. Feldman	\$	987,440	\$	2,359,889	\$	10,309	\$ 3,357,638
Roger D. Lundstrom		954,000		906,907		25,550	1,886,457
Michael A. Ericson		586,154		1,072,777		19,872	1,678,803
Michelle Jonson		433,500		812,320		_	1,245,820
John Stocchetti		623,000		1,266,808		17,033	1,906,841

The table above outlines payments that our NEOs would be entitled to receive in connection with their termination of employment as of December 31, 2019. Due to the number of factors that affect the nature and amounts of compensation and benefits provided upon the potential termination events discussed, the actual amounts paid or distributed may be different.

For Mr. Feldman, the table above outlines termination under the following conditions in accordance with his Employment Agreement: by reason of death or disability or by the Bank other than for Cause (each as defined in the Employment Agreement). For purposes of calculating the benefits outlined in the table above, we have also assumed that Mr. Feldman would continue to receive Bank-subsidized health care coverage. For Mr. Feldman, the amounts reflected in the "President and Executive Team Incentive Plan" column in the table above include the following awards under the Incentive Plan: the earned 2019 Annual Award and the Deferred Awards for the following performance periods: 2017-2019 (as earned); 2018-2020(assuming target performance); 2019-2021(assuming target performance); and 2020-2022 (assuming target performance). In addition to the amounts outlined above, pursuant to his Employment Agreement, Mr. Feldman would be entitled to the Feldman BEP Amount, as detailed in the footnote to the table on page 108.

Pursuant to the Employment Agreement, if Mr. Feldman's employment is terminated by him through retirement, he would be entitled to the payments set forth in the "President and Executive Team Incentive Plan" column above, the Feldman BEP Amount, and participation in the Bank's retiree health care benefit plans for him and his spouse, in accordance with the terms of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago Description of Retiree Medical Coverage. Pursuant to the Employment Agreement, if Mr. Feldman's employment with the Bank is terminated by resignation, he would be entitled to the payments set forth in the "President and Executive Team Incentive Plan" column above, as well as the Feldman BEP Amount.

For the other NEOs, the table above outlines termination under the following conditions in accordance with the Employee Severance Plan: without cause or as a result of constructive discharge (each as defined in the Employee Severance Plan). In addition, we assumed termination was not for cause as defined in the Incentive Plan, that their severance payments do not exceed the limits set forth in the Employee Severance Plan, and that they continue to receive Bank-subsidized health care coverage if the NEO was enrolled in the Bank's health care benefit plan during 2019. For the other NEOs, the amounts reflected in the "President and Executive Team Incentive Plan" column in the table above include the following awards under the Incentive Plan: the 2019 Annual Award (as earned) and the Deferred Awards for the following performance periods: 2017-2019 (as earned); 2018-2020 (assuming target performance); 2019-2021 (assuming target performance):

Additionally, under the Incentive Plan, assuming termination at December 31, 2019, the same awards as set forth under the "President and Executive Team Incentive Plan" column in the table above would be available to the NEOs (excluding Mr. Feldman, whose Employment Agreement outlines all possible termination scenarios) in the event they terminate employment for any reason, including as set forth in the immediately following sentence. Under the Incentive Plan, the 2019 Annual Award and the 2017-2019, 2018-2020, 2019-2021, and 2020-2022 Deferred Awards as reflected in the table above will also be available to these NEOs in the event they die, become disabled, retire, terminate employment for good reason, or a change of control occurs (as such terms are defined in the Incentive Plan) at December 31, 2019, assuming they did not participate in any activity constituting cause (as defined in the Incentive Plan).

For further details on payments due upon these circumstances to the NEOs, see Severance Arrangements on page 102.

In other termination scenarios, including the death or disability or retirement of the NEOs (excluding Mr. Feldman, whose Employment Agreement outlines all possible termination scenarios), our NEOs would be entitled to receive benefits generally available to other employees, except as described above. The narrative disclosure and tables above describe and quantify the compensation and benefits that are paid in addition to compensation and benefits generally available to other employees. Examples of compensation and benefits generally available to other employees, and thus not included above, are distributions



(All dollar amounts within this Item 11 Executive Compensation are in whole dollars unless otherwise specified)

under the Savings Plan, disability and life insurance benefits to the extent such employee has paid for such benefits, health and life insurance benefits, and amounts for accrued and unpaid salary and vacation.

For more information on the Pension Plan and the BEP, see **Retirement and Other Post-Employment Compensation Table** and **Narrative** on page 108 and the **Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Table** on page 109, as well as **Pension Plan Benefits** on page 103 and **Benefit Equalization Plan** on page 104.

#### **Pay Ratio**

As required by Section 953(b) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, and Item 402(u) of Regulation S-K, we are providing the following information about the relationship of the median of the annual total compensation of our employees and the annual total compensation of Mr. Feldman, our President and CEO:

For 2019, the median of the annual total compensation of all employees of the Bank (other than Mr. Feldman) (the Median Employee) was \$169,446 and the annual total compensation of Mr. Feldman was \$3,669,680. Annual total compensation for the Median Employee and Mr. Feldman is calculated in the same manner as the "Total" column in the **Summary Compensation Table** on page 106. Based on this information, for 2019, the ratio of the annual total compensation of Mr. Feldman to the Median Employee was 21.66 to 1.

The median employee who was used for purposes of calculating the ratio of the annual total compensation of our President and CEO to the median of the annual total compensation of all employees is the same employee who was identified for purposes of our 2017 disclosure. There has been no change in our employee population or employee compensation arrangements since that median employee was identified that we believe would significantly impact our pay ratio disclosure.

Because the SEC rules for identifying the median of the annual total compensation of our employees and calculating the pay ratio based on that employee's annual total compensation allow companies to adopt a variety of methodologies, to apply certain exclusions, and to make reasonable estimates and assumptions that reflect their employee populations and compensation practices, the pay ratio reported by other companies may not be comparable to the pay ratio for our Bank, as other companies have headquarters or offices in different states and countries, have different employee populations and compensation practices and may utilize different methodologies, exclusions, estimates and assumptions in calculating their pay ratios.

#### **Director Compensation**

The goal of our policy governing compensation and travel reimbursement for our Board of Directors is to compensate members of the Board of Directors for work performed on our behalf and to make them whole for out-of-pocket travel expenses incurred while working for the Bank. The fees compensate Directors for their time preparing for and attending in-person and telephonic meetings, attending Bank-sponsored member meetings and events, attending Community Investment Advisory Council meetings, attending FHLB System meetings, Board of Directors training, activities that provide information pertinent to the Bank or service on the Board of Directors that are learning opportunities and other activities related to service on the Board of Directors. Director compensation levels are established at the discretion of each FHLB's Board of Directors, provided that the fees are reasonable. In connection with setting director compensation, we participated in an FHLB System review of director compensation in May 2017 which includes a director compensation study prepared by McLagan Partners. The McLagan study includes separate analysis of director compensation at publicly traded banks with between \$10 billion and \$20 billion in assets and the FHLBs.

Our Board of Directors set compensation levels for 2019 as follows:

Position	Maximum Total Annual Compensation
Chairperson of the Board	\$ 137,000
Vice Chairperson of the Board	122,000
Chairperson of the Audit Committee	122,000
Other Committee Chairperson	112,000
All other Directors	102.000

Each director will be paid one quarter of their maximum annual compensation following the end of each quarter. In the event a director serves on the Board for only a portion of a calendar year, or only serves as Chairperson, Vice Chairperson, or Committee Chairperson for a portion of a calendar year, such director's maximum annual compensation shall be adjusted accordingly on a pro-rata basis. If a director does not fulfill his or her responsibility by failing to meet certain performance and



(All dollar amounts within this Item 11 Executive Compensation are in whole dollars unless otherwise specified)

attendance criteria set forth in the policy, the director's compensation may be reduced below the maximum amounts shown above. All directors are also entitled to participate in a non-qualified, unfunded, deferred compensation plan, under which each Bank director has the opportunity to defer all or a portion of the compensation paid under this policy. In addition to the maximum annual compensation, the Bank reimburses directors for necessary and reasonable travel and related expenses incurred in connection with the performance of their official duties in accordance with the Bank's employee reimbursement policy.

The Chairperson of the Board, the Vice Chairperson of the Board, and the Chairperson of the Human Resources & Compensation Committee reviewed director performance, as required by the 2019 Board of Directors Compensation Policy, and determined that directors serving during 2019 earned the compensation disclosed in the table below. The HR&C Committee was notified of the determination.

Name	2019 Total Fees Earned	2019 Fees Paid in Cash	2019 Fees Deferred <sup>a</sup>
Michael G. Steelman - Chairperson b	137,000	137,000	
John K. Reinke - Vice Chairperson <sup>b</sup>	122,000	122,000	<del>-</del>
James T. Ashworth	112,000	112,000	<del>_</del>
Owen E. Beacom	102,000	102,000	<del>-</del>
Edward P. Brady	112,000	112,000	_
Mary J. Cahillane	112,000	91,000	21,000
Mark J. Eppli	102,000	25,500	76,500
Joseph Fazio	102,000	102,000	<del>-</del>
Michelle L. Gross	102,000	102,000	_
James H. Hegenbarth	102,000	102,000	_
Phyllis Lockett	102,000	63,750	38,250
David R. Pirsein	112,000	86,800	25,200
Leo J. Ries	102,000	102,000	_
Lois A. Scott	122,000	122,000	_
Ty R.Taylor	102,000	102,000	_
Daniel G. Watts	102,000	102,000	_
Gregory A. White	102,000	102,000	_
Charles Young	95,625	95,625	
Total	\$ 1,944,625	\$ 1,783,675	\$ 160,950

Directors could elect to defer fees to a director's non-qualified, unfunded, deferred compensation plan. Earnings on this deferred compensation are not included above as the rate paid was not above a market rate.

The Board compensation policy for 2020 was reported in a Form 8-K filed on November 8, 2019 and is attached as Exhibit 10.16 to this Form 10-K.

We are a cooperative and our capital stock may only be held by current and former member institutions, so we do not provide compensation to our directors in the form of stock or stock options. In addition, our directors do not participate in any of our incentive or pension plans.

FHLB Director compensation is subject to FHFA regulations that permit an FHLB to pay its directors reasonable compensation and expenses, subject to the authority of the FHFA Director to object to, and to prohibit prospectively, compensation and other expenses that the FHFA Director determines are not reasonable.

#### **Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation**

During 2019, the following directors served on our HR&C Committee: John K. Reinke (Chairperson), Michelle L. Gross (Vice Chairperson), Owen E. Beacom, Phyllis Lockett, Gregory A. White, Charles D. Young, and Michael G. Steelman (ex-officio). No member of our HR&C Committee has at any time been an officer or employee of the Bank. None of our executive officers have served or are serving on the Board of Directors or the compensation committee of any entity whose executive officers served on our HR&C Committee or Board of Directors.

b During 2018 and 2019, Mr. Steelman served as Chairperson of the Board and Mr. Reinke served as Vice Chairperson of the Board. On December 12, 2019, the Board elected Mr. Reinke to serve as Chairperson of the Board and Mr. Ashworth to serve as Vice Chairperson of the Board for 2020-2021.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

#### Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

We are cooperatively owned. Our members (and, in limited circumstances, former members) own our outstanding capital stock, and a majority of our directors are elected from our membership. No individuals, including our directors, officers and employees, may own our capital stock. The exclusive voting rights of members in 2019 are for the election of our directors, as more fully discussed in **2019 Director Election** on page 83.

We do not offer any compensation plan under which our capital stock is authorized for issuance.

The following table sets forth information about beneficial owners of more than 5% of our outstanding regulatory capital stock:

As of January 31, 2020	ulatory al Stock	% of Total
The Northern Trust Company 50 South LaSalle Street Chicago, IL 60603	\$ 247	11.57%
One Mortgage Partners Corp. a 10 South Dearborn St., Suite 413 Chicago, IL 60603	245	11.49%
Associated Bank, National Association 433 Main Street Green Bay, WI 54301	180	8.45%
BMO Harris Bank N.A. 111 West Monroe Street Chicago, IL 60690	174	8.18%
State Farm Bank, FSB One State Farm Plaza Bloomington, IL 61791	110	5.16%

One Mortgage Partners Corp. is a subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase Bank NA.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

The following table sets forth information about those members with an officer or director serving as a director of the Bank. Independent directors do not control any capital stock of the Bank.

As of January 31, 2020	Director Name	Regulatory Capital Stock <sup>a</sup>	% of Total
The Park Bank 1815 Greenway Cross Madison, WI 53713	James H. Hegenbarth	\$ 5.06	0.24%
Waukesha State Bank 151 E. St. Paul Avenue Waukesha, WI 53187	Ty R. Taylor	1.23	0.06%
Commerce State Bank 1700 S. Silverbrook Drive West Bend, WI 53095	Joseph Fazio III	1.15	0.05%
CNB Bank & Trust, N.A. 450 West Side Square Carlinville, IL 62626	James T. Ashworth	1.11	0.05%
The Stephenson National Bank & Trust 1820 Hall Avenue Marinette, WI 54143	John K. Reinke	0.77	0.04%
Forest Park National Bank and Trust Company 7348 W. Madison St. Forest Park, IL 60130	Daniel G. Watts	0.32	0.02%
Devon Bank 6445 Western Avenue Chicago, IL 60645	David J. Loundy	0.28	0.01%
First National Bank in Pinckneyville 210 S. Main Street Pinckneyville, IL 62274	David R. Pirsein	0.27	0.01%
State Bank of Bement 180 E. Bodman Street Bement, IL 61813	Michelle L. Gross	0.23	0.01%
Farmers & Merchants State Bank of Bushnell 484 East Main Street Bushnell, IL 61422	Michael G. Steelman	0.04	0.002%
Total members with a Director as a group		\$ 10.46	0.49%

The regulatory capital stock that a member institution owns is pledged to us as additional collateral on advances and all other outstanding obligations for that member.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

#### Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.

#### **Related Persons and Related Transactions**

We are a cooperative and capital stock ownership is a prerequisite to transacting any member business with us. Our members (and, in limited circumstances, former members) own all of our capital stock.

Our Board of Directors consists of two types of directors: "member directors" and "independent directors". Member directors are required to be directors or officers of our members, whereas independent directors cannot be directors or officers of a Bank member. For further discussion of the eligibility criteria for our directors, see **Nomination of Member Directors** and **Nomination of Independent Directors** on page 82. We have eight independent directors and ten member directors currently serving on our Board.

We conduct our advances business and the MPF Program almost exclusively with members. Therefore, in the normal course of business, we extend credit to members whose officers and directors may serve as our directors. We extend credit to them on market terms that are no more favorable than the terms of comparable transactions with other members who are not considered related parties (as defined below). In addition, we may purchase short-term investments, sell Federal Funds to, and purchase MBS from members (or affiliates of members) whose officers or directors serve as our directors. All such investments are market term transactions and all such MBS are purchased through securities brokers or dealers. As an additional service to our members, including those whose officers or directors serve as our directors, we may enter into interest rate derivatives with members and offset these derivatives with non-member counterparties. These transactions are executed on market terms.

We define a "related person" as any director or executive officer of the Bank, any member of their immediate families, or any holder of 5% or more of our capital stock.

During 2019, we did not have a separate written policy to have the Board of Directors review, approve, or ratify transactions with related persons that are outside the ordinary course of business because such transactions rarely occur. However, it has been our practice to report to the Board all transactions between us and our members that are outside the ordinary course of business, and on a case-by-case basis, seek approval or ratification from the Board. In addition, each director is required to disclose to the Board any personal financial interests he or she has and any financial interests of immediate family members or of a director's business associates where such person or entity does or proposes to do business with us. Under our Code of Ethics, executive officers are prohibited from engaging in conduct that would cause an actual or apparent conflict of interest. An executive officer other than the CEO and President may seek a waiver of this provision from the CEO and President, and the CEO and President may seek a waiver from the Board.

#### **Director Independence**

#### General

Our Board of Directors is required to evaluate and report on the independence of our directors under two distinct director independence standards. First, FHFA regulations establish independence criteria for directors who serve as members of our Audit Committee. Second, SEC rules require that our Board of Directors apply the independence criteria of a national securities exchange or automated quotation system in assessing the independence of its directors and members of its board committees, to the extent the exchange or quotation system selected by the Bank has adopted separate independence rules for such committee members.

See **Information Regarding Current Directors of the Bank** on page 83 for more information on our current directors. None of our directors is a Bank employee or officer. Further, our directors are prohibited from personally owning stock in the Bank. Each of the member directors, however, is a senior officer or director of an institution that is one of our members, and our members are able, and are encouraged, to engage in transactions with us on a regular basis.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

#### FHFA Regulations Regarding Independence

The FHFA director independence standards prohibit an individual from serving as a member of our Audit Committee if he or she has one or more disqualifying relationships with us or our management that would interfere with the exercise of that individual's independent judgment. Relationships considered disqualifying by the FHFA include: employment with the Bank at any time during the last five years; acceptance of compensation from the Bank other than for service as a director; being a consultant, advisor, promoter, underwriter or legal counsel for the Bank at any time within the last five years; and being an immediate family member of an individual who is or who has been within the past five years, a Bank executive officer. Our Board of Directors assesses the independence of each director under the FHFA's independence standards, regardless of whether he or she serves on the Audit Committee. Our Board of Directors determined that all of our directors are independent under these criteria.

#### **SEC Rules Regarding Independence**

SEC rules require our Board to adopt a standard of independence to evaluate our directors. Pursuant thereto, the Board adopted the independence standards of the New York Stock Exchange (the NYSE) to determine which of our directors are independent, which members of our Audit Committee and HR&C Committee are not independent, and whether our Audit Committee's financial experts are independent.

Under the NYSE rules, no director qualifies as independent unless the full Board affirmatively determines that he or she has no material relationship with the company (either directly or as a partner, shareholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with the company). In addition, the NYSE rules set out a number of specific disqualifications from independence, including certain employment relationships between the director or his or her family members and the company, the company's internal or external auditor, another company where any of the company's executive officers is a compensation committee member or another company that conducted business with the company above a specified threshold; and receipt by the director or his or her family members of compensation from the company above a specified threshold (with certain exceptions).

Applying the NYSE independence standards for boards of directors to our current member directors and those who served during 2019, our Board determined that only member directors Loundy, Steelman, Taylor, and Watts did not trigger any of the objective NYSE independence disqualifications. However, based upon the fact that each member director is a senior officer or director of an institution that is a member of the Bank (and thus is an equity holder in the Bank), that each such institution routinely engages in transactions with us, and that such transactions occur frequently and are encouraged, the Board determined that at the present time it would conclude that none of these member directors, consisting of Ashworth, Beacom (whose term ended in 2019) Fazio, Gross, Hegenbarth, Loundy, Pirsein, Reinke, , Steelman, Taylor, and Watts meets the independence criteria under the NYSE independence standards. None of the independent directors are employees or officers of institutions that are members of the Bank, and therefore do not have, ongoing business transactions with us. The Board determined that each of these independent directors, consisting of Brady, Cahillane, Eppli, Lockett, Ries, Scott, White and Young, is independent under the NYSE independence standards. Similarly, the Board determined that the following current member directors serving on the Audit Committee, and member directors who served on the Audit Committee during 2019, are not independent under the NYSE independence standards for audit committees: Ashworth, Fazio, Hegenbarth, Reinke, Steelman, and Watts. The Board determined that the following current member directors serving on the HR&C Committee, and member directors who served on the HR&C Committee in 2019, are not independent under the NYSE independence standards for compensation committees: Beacom, Gross, Hegenbarth, Reinke, and Steelman.



(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

#### Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

The following table sets forth the aggregate fees we have been charged (or accrued) by our external accounting firm:

	(in thousands)				
For the Years Ended December 31,		2019	2018		
Audit fees	\$	995	\$	882	
Audit related fees		262		341	
All other fees		3		3	
Total fees	\$	1,260	\$	1,226	

Audit fees were for professional services rendered for the audits of our financial statements. Audit related fees were for other assurance and related services. Other fees related to software license fees. No tax related fees were paid. No fees were paid for financial information system design or implementation.

Our Audit Committee has adopted the Pre-Approval of Audit-Related and Non-Audit Services Policy (the Policy). In accordance with the Policy and applicable law, the Audit Committee pre-approves audit services, audit-related services, and permissible non-audit services to be provided by its independent auditor. The term of any pre-approval of audit services is the Bank's financial year, and of audit-related and non-audit services in the calendar year, unless the Audit Committee specifically provides otherwise. On an annual basis, the Audit Committee reviews the list of specific services and projected fees for services to be provided for the next 12 months.



#### **PART IV**

#### Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statements Schedules.

- (a) See "2019 Annual Financial Statements and Notes"
- (b) The below exhibits were filed with the Form 10-K Annual Report to the SEC on March 11, 2020, or as noted below, were filed with the Bank's previously filed Annual, Quarterly, or Current Reports or registration statements, copies of which may be obtained by going to the SEC's website at <a href="www.sec.gov">www.sec.gov</a>. Each exhibit that is considered a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed is identified with a "\*".

Exhibit No.	Description
<u>3.1</u>	Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago Charter <sup>a</sup>
<u>3.2</u>	Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago Bylaws <sup>b</sup>
<u>4.1</u>	Capital Plan of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago, as amended and restated effective October 1, 2015 °
<u>4.2</u>	<u>Description of Securities</u> w
<u>10.1.1</u>	Sublease Agreement between the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago and the Aon Corporation dated December 31, 2008 d
<u>10.1.2</u>	First Amendment to Sublease Agreement, dated January 26, 2010 e
<u>10.2</u>	Office Lease between the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago and Wells REIT-Chicago Center Owner, LLC, dated January 9, 2009
10.2.1	Third Amendment to Lease, dated August 28, 2019 v
<u>10.3</u>	Advances, Collateral Pledge, and Security Agreement <sup>g</sup>
<u>10.4</u>	Mortgage Partnership Finance Participating Financial Institution Agreement [Origination or Purchase] h
<u>10.5</u>	Mortgage Partnership Finance Participating Financial Institution Agreement [Purchase Only] i
<u>10.6</u>	Mortgage Partnership Finance Program Consolidated Interbank Agreement, dated July 22, 2016 j
<u>10.7</u>	Federal Home Loan Banks P&I Funding and Contingency Plan Agreement, as amended and restated effective as of January 1, 2017, by and among the Office of Finance and each of the Federal Home Loan Banks k
10.8	Employment Agreement between the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago and Matthew R. Feldman, effective January 1, 2018
<u>10.9</u>	Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago Key Employee Long Term Incentive Compensation Plan, dated December 19, 2008 ***
<u>10.10</u>	Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago President and Executive Team Incentive Compensation Plan, as amended and restated effective January 1, 2017 in
10.11	Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago Benefit Equalization Plan, dated December 16, 2003 *o
10.12	Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago Post December 31, 2004 Benefit Equalization Plan, as amended and restated effective January 1, 2013 P
10.13	Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago Employee Severance Plan, dated April 24, 2007 *q
10.14	Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago 2018 Board of Directors Compensation Policy
<u>10.15</u>	Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago 2019 Board of Directors Compensation Policy *S
10.16	Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago 2020 Board of Directors Compensation Policy **
10.17	Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago Board of Directors Deferred Compensation Plan, effective September 1, 2013
10.18	Joint Capital Enhancement Agreement, as amended August 5, 2011 u
<u>24</u>	Power of Attorney w
<u>31.1</u>	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by the Principal Executive Officer w
<u>31.2</u>	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by the Principal Financial Officer w
<u>32.1</u>	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by the Principal Executive Officer w
32.2	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by the Principal Financial Officer w
101.INS	Inline XBRL Instance Document - The instance document does not appear in the interactive data file because its XBRL tags are embedded within the inline XBRL document.
101.SCH	Inline XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document w
101.CAL	Inline XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document w
101.DEF	Inline XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document w
101.LAB	Inline XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document w
101.PRE	Inline XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document w
104	Cover Page Interactive Data File (formatted as Inline XBRL and contained in Exhibit 101)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·



- Filed as Exhibit 3.1 with our Form 10 on December 14, 2005, SEC File No.: 000-51401
- Filed as Exhibit 3.1 with our 8-K Current Report on June 6, 2019, SEC File No.: 000-51401
- Filed as Exhibit 4.1 with our 8-K Current Report on August 31, 2015, SEC File No.: 000-51401
- Filed as Exhibit 10.1 with our 8-K Current Report on January 15, 2009, SEC File No.: 000-51401
- Filed as Exhibit 10.1 with our 8-K Current Report on February 1, 2010, SEC File No.: 000-51401
- Filed as Exhibit 10.2 with our 8-K Current Report on January 15, 2009, SEC File No.: 000-51401
- Filed as Exhibit 10.3 with our Form 10-K on March 17, 2011, SEC File No.: 000-51401
- Filed as Exhibit 10.4 with our Form 10 on December 14, 2005, SEC File No.: 000-51401
- Filed as Exhibit 10.4.1 with our Form 10 on December 14, 2005, SEC File No.: 000-51401
- Filed as Exhibit 10.1 with our Form 10-Q on November 3, 2016, SEC File No.: 000-51401
- Filed as Exhibit 10.7 with our Form 10-K on March 9, 2017, SEC File No.: 000-51401
- Filed as Exhibit 10.1 with our 8-K Current Report on May 25, 2018, SEC File No.: 000-51401
- Filed as Exhibit 10.22 with our Form 10-K on March 20, 2009, SEC File No.: 000-51401
- Filed as Exhibit 10.2 on our Form 10-Q on May 8, 2017, SEC File No.: 000-51401
- Filed as Exhibit 10.8.4 with our Form 10 on December 14, 2005, SEC File No.: 000-51401
- <sup>p</sup> Filed as Exhibit 10.2 with our Form 10-Q on November 6, 2013, SEC File No.: 000-51401
- Filed as Exhibit 10.1 with our Form 10-Q on May 11, 2007, SEC File No.: 000-51401
- Filed as Exhibit 10.16 with our Form 10-K on March 9, 2018, SEC File No.: 000-51401
- Filed as Exhibit 10.16 with our Form 10-K on March 8, 2019, SEC File No.: 000-51401
- Filed as Exhibit 10.4 with our Form 10-Q on November 6, 2013, SEC File No.: 000-51401
- Filed as Exhibit 99.1 with our 8-K Current Report on August 5, 2011, SEC File No.: 000-51401
- Filed as Exhibit 10.1 with our Form 10-Q on November 7, 2019, SEC File No.: 000-51401
- w Filed herewith.

#### Item 16. Form 10-K Summary.

Not applicable.



#### **Glossary of Terms**

Advances: Secured loans to members.

ABS: Asset-backed-securities.

AFS: Available-for-sale debt securities.

**Agency MBS:** Mortgage-backed securities issued by, or comprised of mortgage loans guaranteed by, Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac.

AHP: Affordable Housing Program.

ALM Policy: Our Asset/Liability Management Policy.

**AMA:** Acquired Member Assets. Assets that an FHLB may acquire from or through FHLB System members or housing associates by means of either a purchase or a funding transaction.

**AMA investment grade:** A determination made by the Bank with respect to an asset or pool, based on documented analysis, including consideration of applicable insurance, credit enhancements, and other sources for repayment on the asset or pool, that the Bank has a high degree of confidence that it will be paid principal and interest in all material respects, even under reasonably likely adverse changes to expected economic conditions.

**AVM:** Automated Valuation Methodology. A service that provides real estate property valuations using mathematical modeling combined with a database.

AOCI: Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss).

BEP: Benefit Equalization Plan.

**Capital Plan:** The Second Amended and Restated Capital Plan of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago, effective as of October 1, 2015.

**CBSA:** Core Based Statistical Areas (CBSAs), which are based upon an assessment of the individual housing markets. CBSA refers collectively to metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas as defined by the United States Office of Management and Budget; as currently defined, a CBSA must contain at least one urban area with a population of 10,000 or more people.

CDFI: Community Development Financial Institution.

**CE Amount:** A PFI's assumption of credit risk on conventional MPF Loan products held in an MPF Bank's portfolio that are funded by, or sold to, an MPF Bank by providing credit enhancement either through a direct liability to pay credit losses up to a specified amount or through a contractual obligation to provide SMI. Does not apply to the MPF Government, MPF Xtra, MPF Direct or MPF Government MBS product.

**CE Fee:** Credit enhancement fee. PFIs are paid a credit enhancement fee for managing credit risk and in some instances, all or a portion of the CE Fee may be performance based.

**CFI:** Community Financial Institution - FDIC-insured institutions with an average of total assets over the prior three years which is less than the level prescribed by the FHFA and adjusted annually for inflation. Effective in January 2020, the limit is \$1.224 billion (for 2019, the limit was \$1.199 billion).

**CO Curve:** Consolidated Obligation curve. The Office of Finance constructs a market-observable curve referred to as the CO Curve. This curve is constructed using the U.S. Treasury Curve as a base curve which is then adjusted by adding indicative spreads obtained largely from market observable sources. These market indications are generally derived from pricing indications from dealers, historical pricing relationships, market activity such as recent GSE trades, and other secondary market activity.

**Consolidated Obligations (CO):** FHLB debt instruments (bonds and discount notes) which are the joint and several liability of all FHLBs; issued by the Office of Finance.

**Consolidated obligation bonds:** Consolidated obligations that make periodic interest payments with a term generally over one year, although we have issued for terms of less than one year.



**COSO:** The Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. A joint initiative of the private sector dedicated to providing frameworks and guidance on enterprise risk management, internal control and fraud deterrence.

**DC:** Delivery commitment. Commitments to purchase MPF Loans carried at fair value as a derivative asset or derivative liability, with changes in fair value immediately recognized as noninterest income on derivatives and hedging activities in our statements of income.

**DCO:** Derivatives Clearing Organization. A clearinghouse, clearing association, clearing corporation, or similar entity that enables each party to an agreement, contract, or transaction to substitute, through novation or otherwise, the credit of the DCO for the credit of the parties; arranges or provides, on a multilateral basis, for the settlement or netting of obligations; or otherwise provides clearing services or arrangements that mutualize or transfer credit risk among participants.

**Discount notes:** Consolidated obligations with a term of one year or less, which sell at less than their face amount and are redeemed at par value when they mature.

Dodd-Frank Act: Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, enacted July 21, 2010.

**DPP:** Downpayment Plus Program.

ERISA: Employee Retirement Income Security Act.

Excess capital stock: Capital stock held by members in excess of their minimum investment requirement.

Fannie Mae: Federal National Mortgage Association.

FASB: Financial Accounting Standards Board.

FCM: Futures Commission Merchant.

**FDIC:** Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Federal Reserve: Federal Reserve Bank of New York.

FFELP: Federal Family Education Loan Program.

**FHA:** Federal Housing Administration.

**FHFA:** Federal Housing Finance Agency - The Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008 enacted on July 30, 2008 created the Federal Housing Finance Agency which became the regulator of the FHLBs.

**FHFA Purchase Only House Price Index (HPI):** The HPI is a broad measure of the movement of single-family house prices. The HPI is a weighted, repeat-sales index, meaning that it measures average price changes in repeat sales or refinancings on the same properties. This information is obtained by reviewing repeat mortgage transactions on single-family properties whose mortgages have been purchased or securitized by Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac since January 1975.

FHLB Act: The Federal Home Loan Bank Act of 1932, as amended.

FHLBs: The 11 Federal Home Loan Banks or subset thereof.

FHLBC: The Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago.

**Finance Board:** The Federal Housing Finance Board. We were supervised and regulated by the Finance Board, prior to creation of the Federal Housing Finance Agency as regulator of the FHLBs by the Housing Act, effective July 30, 2008.

Fitch: Fitch Ratings, Inc.

**FLA**: First loss account is a memo account used to track the MPF Bank's exposure to losses until the CE Amount is available to cover losses.

Freddie Mac: Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation.



GAAP: Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in the United States of America.

Ginnie Mae: Government National Mortgage Association.

Ginnie Mae MBS: Mortgage-backed securities guaranteed by Ginnie Mae.

GLB Act: Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999.

**Government Loans:** Mortgage loans insured or guaranteed by the Federal Housing Administration (FHA), the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), the Department of Veteran Affairs (VA) or Department of Agriculture Rural Housing Service (RHS).

GSE: Government sponsored enterprise.

HFS: Held for sale.

Housing Act: Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008, enacted July 30, 2008.

HR&C Committee: Human Resources and Compensation Committee.

**HUD:** Department of Housing and Urban Development.

HTM: Held-to-maturity debt securities.

**JCE Agreement:** Joint Capital Enhancement Agreement entered into by all FHLBs, effective February 28, 2011 and amended August 5, 2011, which is intended to enhance the capital position of each FHLB. The intent of the agreement is to allocate that portion of each FHLB's earnings to a separate retained earnings account at that FHLB.

Lead Bank: MPF Bank selling interests in MPF Loans.

LIBOR: London Interbank Offered Rate.

LTV: Loan-to-value ratio.

Master Commitment (MC): Pool of MPF Loans purchased or funded by an MPF Bank.

MBS: Mortgage-backed securities.

Moody's: Moody's Investors Service.

MPF®: Mortgage Partnership Finance.

MPF Banks: FHLBs that participate in the MPF program.

**MPF Direct product:** The MPF Program product under which we acquire non-conforming MPF Loans from PFIs and concurrently resell them to a third party investor.

**MPF Government MBS product**: The MPF Program product under which we aggregate Government Loans acquired from PFIs in order to issue securities guaranteed by the Ginnie Mae that are backed by such Government Loans.

**MPF Guides:** MPF Program Guide, MPF Selling Guide, and MPF Servicing Guide including the Selling and Servicing Guides and manuals for specific MPF Loan products.

**MPF Loans:** Conventional and government mortgage loans secured by one-to-four family residential properties with maturities from five to 30 years or participations in such mortgage loans that are acquired under the MPF Program.

**MPF Program:** A secondary mortgage market structure that provides liquidity to FHLB members that are PFIs through the purchase or funding by an FHLB of MPF Loans.

MPF Provider: The Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago, in its role of providing programmatic and operational support to the



MPF Banks and their PFIs.

**MPF Xtra® product:** The MPF Program product under which we acquire MPF Loans from PFIs without any CE Amount and concurrently resell them to Fannie Mae.

MRCS: Mandatorily Redeemable Capital Stock.

**NEO:** Named executive officer.

**Nonaccrual MPF Loans:** Nonperforming mortgage loans in which the collection of principal and interest is determined to be doubtful or when interest or principal is past due for 90 days or more, except when the MPF Loan is well secured and in the process of collection.

NRSRO: Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization.

NYSE: New York Stock Exchange.

OCI: Other Comprehensive Income.

Office of Finance: A joint office of the FHLBs established by the Finance Board to facilitate issuing and servicing of consolidated obligations.

OIS: Fed Funds Effective Swap Rate (or Overnight Index Swap Rate).

OTTI: Other-than-temporary impairment.

**OTTI Committee:** An FHLB System OTTI Committee formed by the FHLBs to achieve consistency among the FHLBs in their analyses of the OTTI of private label MBS.

PCAOB: Public Company Accounting Oversight Board.

Pension Plan: Pentegra Defined Benefit Plan for Financial Institutions.

**PFI:** Participating Financial Institution. A PFI is a member (or eligible housing associate) of an MPF Bank that has applied to and been accepted to do business with its MPF Bank under the MPF Program.

PFI Agreement: MPF Program Participating Financial Institution Agreement.

PMI: Primary Mortgage Insurance.

PwC: PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.

RCAP: Reduced Capitalization Advance Program.

**Recorded Investment:** Recorded investment in a loan is its amortized cost basis plus related accrued interest receivable, if any. Recorded investment is not net of an allowance for credit losses but is net of any direct charge-off on a loan. Amortized cost basis is defined as either the amount funded or the cost to purchase MPF Loans. Specifically, the amortized cost basis includes the initial fair value amount of the delivery commitment as of the purchase or settlement date, agent fees (i.e., market risk premiums or discounts paid to or received from PFIs), if any, subsequently adjusted, if applicable, for accretion, amortization, collection of cash, charge-offs, and cumulative basis adjustments related to fair value hedges.

**Recoverable CE Fee:** Under the MPF Program, the PFI may receive a contingent performance based credit enhancement fee whereby such fees are reduced up to the amount of the FLA by losses arising under the Master Commitment.

Regulatory capital: Regulatory capital stock plus retained earnings.

Regulatory capital stock: The sum of the paid-in value of capital stock and mandatorily redeemable capital stock.

REO: Real estate owned.

RHS: Department of Agriculture Rural Housing Service.



**S&P:** Standard and Poor's Rating Service.

Savings Plan: Pentegra Defined Contribution Plan for Financial Institutions.

SBA: Small Business Administration.

**SEC:** Securities and Exchange Commission.

Secretary: Secretary of the U.S. Treasury.

**SMI:** Supplemental mortgage insurance.

SOFR: Secured Overnight Financing Rate

System or FHLB System: The Federal Home Loan Bank System consisting of the 11 Federal Home Loan Banks and the Office of Finance.

**TBA:** A forward contract on a mortgage-backed security (MBS), typically issued by a U.S. government sponsored entity, whereby a seller agrees to deliver an MBS for an agreed upon price on an agreed upon date.

TDR: Troubled Debt Restructuring

**UPB:** Unpaid Principal Balance.

U.S.: United States

VA: Department of Veteran's Affairs.



# **2019 Annual Financial Statements and Notes**

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	<u>F-2</u>
Statements of Condition	<u>F-4</u>
Statements of Income	<u>F-5</u>
Statements of Comprehensive Income	<u>F-6</u>
Statements of Capital	<u>F-7</u>
Statements of Cash Flows	<u>F-8</u>
Note 1 - Background and Basis of Presentation	<u>F-10</u>
Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies	<u>F-12</u>
Note 3 - Recently Issued but Not Yet Adopted Accounting Standards	<u>F-17</u>
Note 4 - Interest Income and Interest Expense	<u>F-18</u>
Note 5 - Investment Debt Securities	<u>F-19</u>
Note 6 - Advances	<u>F-26</u>
Note 7 - MPF Loans Held in Portfolio	<u>F-27</u>
Note 8 - Allowance for Credit Losses	<u>F-28</u>
Note 9 - Derivatives and Hedging Activities	<u>F-31</u>
Note 10 - Consolidated Obligations	<u>F-36</u>
Note 11 - Affordable Housing Program	<u>F-38</u>
Note 12 - Capital and Mandatorily Redeemable Capital Stock (MRCS)	<u>F-39</u>
Note 13 - Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	<u>F-42</u>
Note 14 - Employee Retirement Plans	<u>F-43</u>
Note 15 - Fair Value	<u>F-44</u>
Note 16 - Commitments and Contingencies	<u>F-51</u>
Note 17 - Transactions with Related Parties and Other FHLBs	F-52



#### Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago

#### Opinions on the Financial Statements and Internal Control over Financial Reporting

We have audited the accompanying statements of condition of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago (the "Bank") as of December 31, 2019 and 2018, and the related statements of income, comprehensive income, capital and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2019, including the related notes (collectively referred to as the "financial statements"). We also have audited the Bank's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2019, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Bank as of December 31, 2019 and 2018, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2019 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the Bank maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2019, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* (2013) issued by the COSO.

#### **Basis for Opinions**

The Bank's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express opinions on the Bank's financial statements and on the Bank's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB) and are required to be independent with respect to the Bank in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud, and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects.

Our audits of the financial statements included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, One North Wacker Drive, Chicago, IL 60606 T: (312) 298 2000, F: (312) 298 2001, www.pwc.com/us



#### Definition and Limitations of Internal Control over Financial Reporting

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Chicago, Illinois March 11, 2020

We have served as the Bank's auditor since 1990.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP



Statements of Condition (Dollars in millions, except capital stock par value)

	ember 31, 2019	December 31, 2018
Assets		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 29	\$ 28
Interest bearing deposits	1,680	775
Federal Funds sold	7,356	7,704
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	6,750	2,900
Investment debt securities -		
Trading, <b>432</b> and 269 pledged	4,648	3,478
Available-for-sale	16,067	14,614
Held-to-maturity, <b>2,615</b> and 3,492 fair value	 2,381	3,213
Investment debt securities	 23,096	21,305
Advances, 2,808 and 1,025 carried at fair value	50,508	52,628
MPF Loans held in portfolio, net of (1) and (1) allowance for credit losses	10,000	7,103
Derivative assets	6	6
Other assets, 83 and 108 carried at fair value	 402	408
Assets	\$ 99,827	\$ 92,857
Liabilities		
Deposits -		
Noninterest bearing	\$ 184	\$ 54
Interest bearing, 15 and 29 from other FHLBs	 663	497
Deposits	847	551
Consolidated obligations -		
Discount notes, 17,966 and 992 carried at fair value	41,675	43,166
Bonds, 7,984 and 2,352 carried at fair value	50,474	42,250
Consolidated obligations	 92,149	85,416
Derivative liabilities	 14	16
Affordable Housing Program assessment payable	84	84
Mandatorily redeemable capital stock	324	313
Other liabilities	955	1,188
Liabilities	94,373	87,568
Commitments and contingencies - see notes to the financial statements		
Capital		
Class B1 activity stock, 13 and 15 million shares issued and outstanding	1,337	1,476
Class B2 membership stock, 4 and 2 million shares issued and outstanding	376	222
Capital stock - putable, \$100 and \$100 par value	 1,713	1,698
Retained earnings - unrestricted	3,197	3,023
Retained earnings - restricted	573	513
Retained earnings	3,770	3,536
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (AOCI)	 (29)	55
Capital	5,454	5,289
Liabilities and capital	99,827	



### Statements of Income (Dollars in millions)

For the years ended December 31,	2019	2018	2017
Interest income	\$ 2,635	\$ 2,315	\$ 1,558
Interest expense	2,177	1,802	1,075
Net interest income	458	513	483
Noninterest income -			
Trading securities	24	15	(1)
Derivatives and hedging activities	(9)	) (24	) 8
Instruments held under fair value option	35	(19	) (2)
MPF fees, 28 , 24 and 20 from other FHLBs	38	32	28
Other, net	12	12	11
Noninterest income	100	16	44
Noninterest expense -			
Compensation and benefits	115	110	100
Nonpayroll operating expenses	96	74	62
Other	12	7	12
Noninterest expense	223	191	174
Income before assessments	335	338	353
Affordable Housing Program assessment	35	35	36
Net income	\$ 300	\$ 303	\$ 317



### Statements of Comprehensive Income (Dollars in millions)

For the years ended December 31,	2019	2018	2	.017
Net income	\$ 300	\$ 303	\$	317
Other comprehensive income (loss) -				
Net unrealized gain (loss) available-for-sale debt securities	(107)	(196)		(52)
Noncredit OTTI held-to-maturity debt securities	29	29		34
Net unrealized gain (loss) cash flow hedges	(7)	116		165
Postretirement plans	1	(6)		1
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(84)	(57)		148
Comprehensive income	\$ 216	\$ 246	\$	465



### Statements of Capital (Dollars and shares in millions)

	Capital Putab Acti	le - B1	Capital Putab Memb	le - B2	Retained I	Earnings		
	Shares	Value	Shares	Value	Unrestricted	Restricted	AOCI	Total
December 31, 2018	15	\$ 1,476	2	\$ 222	\$ 3,023	\$ 513	\$ 55	\$ 5,289
Cumulative effect adjustment - see Note 2					16	_		16
Comprehensive income					240	60	(84)	216
Issuance of capital stock	23	2,398	_	25				2,423
Repurchase of capital stock	_	_	(23)	(2,398)				(2,398)
Capital stock reclassified to mandatorily redeemable capital stock liability	_	(9)	_	(1)				(10)
Transfers between classes of capital stock	(25)	(2,528)	25	2,528				
Cash dividends - class B1					(78)			(78)
Class B1 annualized rate		5.00%						
Cash dividends - class B2					(4)			(4)
Class B2 annualized rate				2.19%				
Total change in period	(2)	(139)	2	154	174	60	(84)	165
December 31, 2019	13	1,337	4	376	3,197	573	(29)	5,454
December 31, 2017	12	1,241	2	202	2,845	452	112	4,852
Comprehensive income					242	61	(57)	246
Issuance of capital stock	29	2,844	_	12				2,856
Repurchase of capital stock	_	_	(26)	(2,598)				(2,598)
Capital stock reclassified to mandatorily redeemable capital stock liability	_	(2)	_	(1)				(3)
Transfers between classes of capital stock	(26)	(2,607)	26	2,607				
Cash dividends - class B1					(61)			(61)
Class B1 annualized rate		4.06%						
Cash dividends - class B2					(3)			(3)
Class B2 annualized rate				1.65%				
Total change in period	3	235		20	178	61	(57)	437
December 31, 2018	15	1,476	2	222	3,023	513	55	5,289
December 31, 2016	12	1,160	6	551	2,631	389	(36)	4,695
Comprehensive income					254	63	148	465
Issuance of capital stock	27	2,813	_	17				2,830
Repurchase of capital stock	_	(35)	(31)	(3,058)				(3,093)
Capital stock reclassified to mandatorily redeemable capital stock liability	_	(2)	_	(3)				(5)
Transfers between classes of capital stock	(27)	(2,695)	27	2,695				
Cash dividends - class B1					(37)			(37)
Class B1 annualized rate		3.19%						
Cash dividends - class B2					(3)			(3)
Class B2 annualized rate				1.10%				
Total change in period		81	(4)	(349)	214	63	148	157
December 31, 2017	12	\$ 1,241	2	\$ 202	\$ 2,845	\$ 452	\$ 112	\$ 4,852



### Statements of Cash Flows (Dollars in millions)

	For the years ended December 31,	2019	2018	2017	
Operating	Net income	\$ 300	\$ 303	\$ 317	
	Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income (Loss) to Cash Provided by (Used in) Operating Activities -				
	Amortization and accretion	79	94	41	
	Change in net fair value on derivatives and hedging activities	(55)	<b>7</b> ) 71	311	
	Other	(5	7) 6	(2)	
	Changes in operating assets or liabilities -				
	Purchases of mortgage loans to be securitized	(31	<b>7)</b> (542)	(687)	
	Proceeds from sales of securitized mortgage loans	342	<b>2</b> 550	613	
	Other operating assets and liabilities	6	7 (74)	(53)	
	Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(14:	408	540	
Investing	Net change interest bearing deposits	(90	5) —	(125)	
	Net change Federal Funds sold	348	<b>3</b> (143)	(3,486)	
	Net change securities purchased under agreements to resell	(3,850	2,100	(2,700)	
	Trading debt securities -				
	Sales	2,45	<b>5</b> 375	801	
	Proceeds from maturities and paydowns	1,500	914	208	
	Purchases	(5,129	<b>9)</b> (4,516)	(200)	
	Available-for-sale debt securities -				
	Proceeds from maturities and paydowns	4,52	<b>I</b> 3,686	1,798	
	Purchases	(5,89	<b>7)</b> (4,610)	(5)	
	Held-to-maturity debt securities - a				
	Proceeds from maturities and paydowns	2,840	2,990	2,969	
	Purchases	(1,96	(2,000)	(1,967)	
	Advances -				
	Principal collected	1,570,47	1,417,789	747,813	
	Issued	(1,567,994	<b>1)</b> (1,422,375)	(750,868)	
	MPF Loans held in portfolio -				
	Principal collected	1,690	778	1,003	
	Purchases	(4,61	<b>1)</b> (2,697)	(1,236)	
	Other investing activities	· ·	5 19	21	
	Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	\$ (6,504	(7,690)	\$ (5,974)	



	For the years ended December 31,	2019		<b>2019</b> 2018	
Financing	Net change deposits, incl. (13) , (3) , and 15 from other FHLBs	\$	296	\$ 27	\$ 28
	Discount notes -				
	Net proceeds from issuance	1,	368,607	1,978,593	1,408,075
	Payments for maturing and retiring	(1,	370,102)	(1,976,663)	(1,402,859)
	Consolidated obligation bonds -				
	Net proceeds from issuance		44,693	35,074	19,567
	Payments for maturing and retiring		(36,790)	(29,936)	(19,358)
	Capital stock -				
	Proceeds from issuance		2,423	2,856	2,830
	Repurchases		(2,398)	(2,598)	(3,093)
	Cash dividends paid		(82)	(64)	(40)
	Other financing activities		1	(21)	(25)
	Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities		6,648	7,268	5,125
	Net increase (decrease) in cash and due from banks		1	(14)	(309)
	Cash and due from banks at beginning of period		28	42	351
	Cash and due from banks at end of period	\$	29	\$ 28	\$ 42
Supplemental	Interest paid	\$	2,051	\$ 1,535	\$ 897
	Affordable Housing Program assessments paid		35	39	34
	Transfer of MPF Loans held for sale in other assets to securitized mortgage loans in trading debt securities		204	513	526
	Investment securities purchased and settled in subsequent periods		587	892	_

Presentation of cash flow amounts in prior periods have been reclassified to reflect short-term held-to-maturity purchases and proceeds on a gross, rather than net, basis. Certain reclassifications made to 2017 to properly present gross cash flows were not material.



Notes to Financial Statements
(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

#### Note 1 - Background and Basis of Presentation

The Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago is a federally chartered corporation and one of 11 Federal Home Loan Banks (the FHLBs) that, with the Office of Finance, comprise the Federal Home Loan Bank System (the System). The FHLBs are government-sponsored enterprises (GSE) of the United States of America and were organized under the Federal Home Loan Bank Act of 1932, as amended (FHLB Act), in order to improve the availability of funds to support home ownership. We are supervised and regulated by the Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA), an independent federal agency in the executive branch of the United States (U.S.) government.

Each FHLB is a member-owned cooperative with members from a specifically defined geographic district. Our defined geographic district is Illinois and Wisconsin. All federally-insured depository institutions, insurance companies engaged in residential housing finance, credit unions and community development financial institutions located in our district are eligible to apply for membership with us. All our members are required to purchase our capital stock as a condition of membership. Our capital stock is not publicly traded and subject to certain statutory and regulatory limits, and is issued, repurchased or redeemed at a par value of \$100 per share. As a cooperative, we do business with our members, and former members (under limited circumstances). Specifically, we provide credit principally in the form of secured loans called advances. We also provide liquidity for home mortgage loans to members approved as Participating Financial Institutions (PFIs) through the Mortgage Partnership Finance® (MPF®) Program.

Our accounting and financial reporting policies conform to generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (GAAP). Amounts in prior periods may be reclassified to conform to the current presentation and, if material, are disclosed in the following notes.

Unless otherwise specified, references to we, us, our, and the Bank are to the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago.

"Mortgage Partnership Finance", "MPF", "MPF Xtra", and "Community First" are registered trademarks of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago.

Refer to the Glossary of Terms starting on page 120 for the definitions of certain terms used herein.

#### **Use of Estimates and Assumptions**

We are required to make estimates and assumptions when preparing our financial statements in accordance with GAAP. The most significant of these estimates and assumptions applies to fair value measurements, which includes derivative instruments. Our actual results may differ from the results reported in our financial statements due to such estimates and assumptions. This includes the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the reported amounts of income and expense, and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities.



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

#### **Basis of Presentation**

Gross versus Net Presentation - We present derivative assets and liabilities on a net basis in our statements of condition when our right to net amounts due between us and our counterparty, or us and our clearing agent, is enforceable at law. We include accrued net interest settlements and cash collateral, including initial and variation margin, in the carrying amount of a derivative. Over-the-counter derivatives are netted by contract (e.g., master netting agreement), to discharge all or a portion of the amounts that would be owed to our counterparty by applying them against the amounts that our counterparty owes to us. Additionally, we clear certain derivatives transactions with clearinghouses classified as a Derivatives Clearing Organization (DCO), through Futures Commission Merchants (FCM), a clearing member of the DCO. If these netted amounts are positive, they are classified as a derivative asset and if negative, they are classified as a derivative liability. We characterize the treatment of variation margin payments as settlements rather than as collateral for our cleared derivatives. As a result, we account for variation margin payments as settlements to our derivative assets and derivative liabilities. See Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies for further details.

The net exposure for these financial instruments can change on a daily basis; therefore, there may be a delay between the time this exposure change is identified and additional collateral is requested, and the time when this collateral is received or pledged. Likewise, there may be a delay for excess collateral to be returned. For derivative instruments that meet the netting requirements, any excess cash collateral received or pledged is recognized as a derivative liability or asset. Derivatives that do not have the legal right of offset are presented on a gross basis in our statements of condition.

#### Refer to Note 9 - Derivatives and Hedging Activities for further details.

Our policy is to report securities purchased under agreements to resell and securities sold under agreements to repurchase, if any, and securities borrowing transactions, if any, on a gross basis.

Segment Reporting - We manage our business activities as a single operating segment. Specifically, management defines our business, assesses our financial performance, and allocates our resources on an entity-wide basis. As a result, we disclose information on an entity-wide basis.

Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities - We are not the primary beneficiary of any variable interest entity. Specifically, we do not have the power to direct the activities of any variable interest entity that would most significantly impact its economic performance and we do not have the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits from any variable interest entity that could potentially be significant to a variable interest entity. As a result, we do not consolidate any of our investments in variable interest entities. Instead, we classify variable interest entities as investment debt securities in our statements of condition. Such investment debt securities include, but are not limited to, senior interests in private label mortgage backed securities (MBS) and Federal Family Education Loan Program asset backed securities (FFELP ABS). Our maximum loss exposure for these investment debt securities is limited to their carrying amounts. We have no liabilities related to these investments in variable interest entities. We have not provided financial or other support (explicitly or implicitly) to these investment debt securities that we were not previously contractually required to provide, nor do we intend to provide such support in the future.



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

#### Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

We adopted two new significant accounting standards in 2019:

- Targeted Improvements to Accounting for Hedging Activities (ASU 2017-12)
- Leases (ASU 2016-02)

The impacts of these adoptions are included in the appropriate following sections.

#### **Fair Value**

Fair value represents the exit price that we would receive to sell an asset or pay to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction with a market participant at the measurement date.

Valuation Techniques and Significant Inputs

We utilize the fair value hierarchy when selecting valuation techniques and significant inputs to measure the fair value of our assets and liabilities. Our valuation techniques may utilize market, cost, and/or income models to estimate fair values. Under the fair value hierarchy, valuation techniques and significant inputs are prioritized from the most objective, such as quoted market prices in external active markets, to the least objective, such as valuation approaches that utilize unobservable inputs. The fair value hierarchy requires us to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. Outlined below is an overview of Level 1, Level 2, and Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. Refer to **Note 15 - Fair Value** for further details on our valuation techniques and significant inputs.

Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that we can access at the measurement date.

Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. If the asset or liability has a specified (contractual) term, a Level 2 input must be observable for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

**Level 3** inputs are unobservable inputs used to measure fair value of an asset or liability to the extent that relevant observable inputs are not available; for example, situations in which there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability at the measurement date.

#### Fair Value Option

Financial instruments for which we elect the fair value option are carried at fair value with any changes in fair value immediately recognized as Noninterest Income - Instruments Held Under Fair Value Option, net in our statements of income. Interest income or expense recognized in our statements of income on these financial instruments is based solely on the contractual amount of interest due or unpaid, except for our zero coupon rate discount notes for which we accrete the initial discount into interest expense over the life of the discount note. Any transaction fees or costs, are immediately recognized into noninterest expense - other in our statements of income. See **Note 15 - Fair Value** to the financial statements for further details.

#### **Cash and Cash Equivalents**

We consider only cash and due from banks as cash and cash equivalents. We do not have any restricted cash.

#### Interest Bearing Deposits, Federal Funds Sold and Securities Purchased Under Agreements to Resell

We carry these investments at their amortized cost basis in our statements of condition.

#### **Investment Debt Securities**

We record purchases and sales of investment debt securities (securities) on a trade date basis. We classify securities as either trading, held-to-maturity (HTM), or available-for-sale (AFS) based on the criteria outlined below. Classification is made at the time a security is acquired and then reassessed each subsequent reporting period.

• Securities held solely for liquidity purposes are classified as trading. We are prohibited from holding trading debt securities for speculative purposes pursuant to FHFA regulations.



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

- Securities held to provide additional earnings are classified as HTM. Classification as HTM requires that we have both the
  intent and ability to hold the security to maturity.
- Securities not classified as either trading or HTM are classified as AFS; for example, securities held for asset-liability management purposes.

Our accounting policies for trading, HTM and AFS debt securities are outlined below.

- Trading debt securities are carried at fair value with any changes in fair value immediately recognized as noninterest income on trading debt securities in our statements of income. Interest income on trading debt securities is based solely on the contractual amount of interest due, except for securities, if any, that have a zero coupon rate. For trading debt securities with a zero coupon rate, we accrete the initial discount into interest income over their life into our statements of income. Cash flows from trading debt securities, excluding cash flows from our securitized MPF Government MBS product, are presented on a gross basis and classified as investing activities in our statements of cash flows. Cash flows from our securitized MPF Government MBS product are presented on a gross basis and classified as operating activities in our statements of cash flows.
- HTM securities are carried on an amortized cost basis adjusted for any noncredit other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI)
  recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (AOCI). Amortized cost basis represents the original cost of a
  security adjusted for accretion, amortization, collection of cash, and previous other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI)
  recognized into earnings (less any cumulative effect adjustments).
- AFS securities are carried at fair value with any changes in fair value immediately recognized into AOCI, except for AFS securities that are in a fair value hedge relationship. Changes in fair value related to the benchmark interest rate on AFS securities in a fair value hedging relationship are immediately recognized into interest income in our statements of income.
- We use the interest method to amortize/accrete premiums/discounts on HTM and AFS securities into interest income in our statements of income. HTM and AFS securities having a prepayment feature amortize/accrete premiums/discounts over their estimated lives based on anticipated prepayments. We recalculate their effective yield on an ongoing basis to reflect actual payments to date and anticipated future payments. HTM and AFS securities that do not have a prepayment feature amortize/accrete premiums/discounts over their contractual life.
- We evaluate the yield of each impaired HTM or AFS security on a quarterly basis. We adjust the impaired security's yield for subsequent increases or decreases in its estimated cash flows, if any. The adjusted yield is then used to calculate the amount to be recognized into interest income over the remaining life of the impaired security.
- · Gains and losses on sales of securities are included in noninterest income in our statements of income.

#### **Advances**

An advance is carried at its amortized cost basis, except when we elect the fair value option. Amortized cost basis represents the original amount funded to our member adjusted for any accretion, amortization, collection of cash, and fair value hedge accounting adjustments, if any. Fair value hedge adjustments include ongoing (open) and/or discontinued (closed) fair value hedges. We utilize the interest method to amortize/accrete over contractual life any premiums/discounts and closed fair value and/or cash flow hedging adjustments. Refer to **Note 6 - Advances** for further details.

### **MPF Loans**

#### MPF Loans Held in Portfolio

MPF Loans for which we have the intent and ability to hold until maturity are classified as MPF Loans held in portfolio. Such loans are carried on an amortized cost basis on our statements of condition. Amortized cost basis represents the initial fair value amount of the MPF delivery commitment as of the purchase or settlement date, agent fees (i.e., market risk premiums or discounts paid to or received from PFIs), if any, subsequently adjusted, if applicable, for accretion, amortization, collection of cash, charge-offs, and cumulative basis adjustments related to fair value hedges. We use the interest method to amortize yield adjustments into interest income in our statements of income over the contractual life of an MPF Loan held in portfolio.



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

#### MPF Loans Held for Sale

MPF Loans acquired by the Bank under the MPF Xtra, MPF Direct, and MPF Government MBS products are classified as MPF Loans held for sale (HFS). MPF Loans under the MPF Government MBS product are reclassified from Mortgage Loans HFS to trading debt securities upon their securitization qualifying for sales accounting treatment. We classify MPF Loans HFS in Other Assets rather than as a separate line item in our statements of condition on the basis of materiality. Cash flows from MPF Loans HFS are classified as operating activities in our statements of cash flows. We have elected the fair value option for MPF Loans HFS. The initial fair value of the MPF Loans HFS includes the fair value amount of the MPF Delivery Commitment as of the purchase or settlement date. The difference between the price paid to a PFI for a MPF Loan HFS and the price we receive from a third party for such loan is intended to include compensation for future operating expenses incurred to administer the loans. These amounts and other related transaction fees are recognized into noninterest income - MPF fees in our statements of income as follows:

- Amounts used to pay for third party transaction costs attributable to the sale and securitization of MPF Loans HFS are recognized immediately.
- For MPF Loans HFS sold where servicing is retained by the PFI, the amounts are recognized on a straight-line basis over the life of the loan.
- For MPF Loans HFS sold where servicing is released, the amounts are recognized immediately.

See Note 7 - MPF Loans Held in Portfolio for further details pertaining to the MPF Program and MPF Loans.

#### **Credit Losses**

We determine an allowance for credit losses, if any, for each of our portfolio segments. A portfolio segment represents the level of disaggregation we utilize to develop and document a systematic method for determining an allowance for credit losses attributable to our financing receivables. An allowance for credit losses is a contra valuation account attributable to an on balance sheet portfolio segment. We recognize a credit loss when it is probable a credit loss has been incurred and when the credit loss amount is reasonably estimable. Our assessment is based on all available information, which includes, but is not limited to, past events and current economic conditions existing at the reporting date. We recognize the change in our allowance for credit losses during the reporting period as a provision for (reversal of) credit losses in our statements of income. We establish a separate liability for credit losses, if any, attributable to off balance sheet financial instruments, such as standby letters of credit (also referred to herein as letters of credit), using the same approach described above for on balance sheet financial instruments. We recognize the change in credit losses attributable to off balance sheet financial instruments during the reporting period, if any, in other noninterest expense in our statements of income.

#### Charge-off Provisions

We recognize a charge-off on a loan upon the occurrence of a confirming event, which include, but are not limited to, the events shown below. The charge-off amount equals the difference between the loan's amortized cost basis and its fair value, less costs to sell. We use an Automated Valuation Methodology (AVM) to determine the fair value of our impaired conventional MPF Loans held in portfolio, including troubled debt restructurings, and REO.

- When a loan is 180 days or more past due and its fair value, less cost to sell, is less than the loan's amortized cost basis.
- When a borrower is in bankruptcy, loans are written down to the fair value of the collateral, less costs to sell, within 60 days
  of receipt of the notification of filing from the bankruptcy court or within the delinquency time frames specified in the
  guidance, whichever is shorter. A loan is not written down if the loan is performing, the borrower continues making
  payments on the loan, and repayment in full is expected.
- Fraudulent loans, not covered by any existing representations and warranties in the loan purchase agreement, are charged
  off within 90 days of discovery of the fraud, or within the delinquency time frames specified in the adverse classification
  quidance, whichever is shorter.

#### Nonaccrual

Conventional MPF Loans held in portfolio are placed on nonaccrual when they become "adversely classified" - that is, when a loan is classified as "Substandard", "Doubtful", or "Loss". An adverse classification means that such a loan is not considered well secured and is in the process of collection. All previously accrued but not collected interest is reversed from interest income. Subsequent accruals of interest income are discontinued. Ongoing recognition of any discounts, premiums, deferred loan



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

origination fees or costs, and hedge basis adjustments also are discontinued.

Refer to Note 8 - Allowance for Credit Losses for further details.

#### **Derivatives**

In August of 2017, the FASB issued *Targeted Improvements to Accounting for Hedging Activities (ASU 2017-12)*. Upon adoption as of January 1, 2019, we modified the risk being hedged of certain fair value hedges from contractual coupon interest rate to benchmark rate component, improving hedge effectiveness. The opening cumulative adjustment related to modifying the risk being hedged was an increase to retained earnings of \$16 million and a corresponding increase to the cumulative basis adjustment (carrying value) of the hedged items. The opening adjustment to retained earnings related to ineffectiveness recognized in prior periods was not material. Prior reporting periods were not restated. Beginning in 2019, we presented hedge ineffectiveness and net interest settlements as either interest income or interest expense in our statements of income. Previously, we presented such amounts in derivatives and hedging activities in our statements of income. For cash flow hedges, we recognize changes in fair value on the hedged item in AOCI until they are required to be reclassified into our statements of income - that is, amounts recorded in AOCI are reclassified either to interest income or interest expense depending on the hedged item during the period in which the hedged transaction affects earnings.

We carry all derivatives at fair value in our statements of condition. We designate derivatives either as fair value hedges, cash flow hedges, or economic hedges. We use fair value hedges to offset changes in the fair value or a benchmark interest rate related to (1) a recognized asset or liability or (2) an unrecognized firm commitment. Our hedge strategy for cash flow hedges is to hedge the total proceeds received from rolling forecasted zero-coupon discount note issuances attributable to changes in the benchmark interest rate or the London Interbank Offering Rate (LIBOR) by entering into interest rate swaps to mitigate such risk. We use economic hedges in cases where hedge accounting treatment is not permitted or achievable.

Accounting for Variation Margin Payments - We account for variation margin payments made to or received by the DCOs (Derivatives Clearing Organization) through our FCMs (Futures Commission Merchant) as settlements to our cleared derivative assets and derivative liabilities. This change in accounting did not have any effect on the accounting of our existing hedge relationships.

Derivative Hedge Accounting - We apply hedge accounting to qualifying hedge relationships. A qualifying hedge relationship exists when a derivative hedging instrument is expected to effectively offset changes in fair values, cash flows, or underlying risk of the hedged item during the term of the hedge relationship. We prepare formal contemporaneous documentation at inception of the hedge relationship to support that the hedge relationship qualifies for hedge accounting treatment and assess hedge effectiveness on an ongoing basis.

We immediately recognize changes in fair values for both the derivative hedging instrument and the related hedged item beginning on the derivative hedging instrument's trade date. For fair value hedges, changes in fair value on the hedged item are recognized as a cumulative basis adjustment and are included in the amortized cost basis of the asset or liability being hedged.

*Economic Hedges* - Changes in fair value on economic hedges are immediately recognized as noninterest income on derivatives and hedging activities in our statements of income. Accrual of net interest settlements on economic hedges are recognized as noninterest income on derivatives and hedging activities in our statements of income.

MPF Delivery Commitments - Commitments to purchase MPF Loans are carried at fair value as a derivative asset or derivative liability, with changes in fair value immediately recognized as noninterest income on derivatives and hedging activities in our statements of income.

Advance Commitments - An unhedged advance commitment on an advance we intend to hold for investment purposes upon funding is accounted for as a firm commitment rather than a derivative. Firm commitments are accounted for off balance sheet rather than carried at fair value. A hedged advance commitment (i.e., in a fair value hedge relationship) is carried at fair value with any changes in fair value immediately recognized in noninterest income on derivatives and hedging activities.

Refer to Note 9 - Derivatives and Hedging Activities for additional details.

#### **Consolidated Obligations**

Consolidated obligations consist of discount notes and consolidated obligation bonds. Consolidated obligations are the joint and several liability of the FHLBs.

We carry consolidated obligations on an amortized cost basis, except when we elect the fair value option. Amortized cost basis



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

represents the amount funded to us adjusted for any premiums and discounts, concession fees, and cumulative basis adjustments, if any, related to ongoing (open) and/or discontinued (closed) fair value hedges (fair value hedging adjustments). Cumulative basis adjustments related to ongoing (open) and/or discontinued (closed) cash flow hedges (cash flow hedging adjustments) are classified in AOCI. We use the interest method to amortize/accrete premiums/discounts, concession fees, and hedging adjustments on consolidated obligations into interest expense in our statements of income. The amortization/accretion period for a callable consolidated obligation is over its estimated life. The amortization/accretion period for a consolidated obligation that is noncallable or that has a zero-coupon rate is over its contractual life. We immediately recognize any remaining premiums/discounts, concession fees, and any fair value and/or hedging adjustments attributable to a consolidated obligation that is called into interest expense in our statements of income.

We consider our joint and several liability for consolidated obligations as a related party guarantee. GAAP guidance pertaining to the initial recognition and measurement of guarantees does not apply to related party guarantees. As a result, we did not recognize an initial liability for our joint and several liability at fair value. We would accrue a liability if subsequently we expect to pay any amounts on behalf of other FHLBs under the joint and several liability.

See Note 10 - Consolidated Obligations to the financial statements for further details.

#### Capital and Mandatorily Redeemable Capital Stock (MRCS)

Capital stock is issued and recorded at par. We also record the repurchase of our capital stock from our members at par because our capital stock can only be acquired and redeemed or repurchased at par value. It is not publicly traded and no market mechanism exists for the exchange of our capital stock outside our cooperative structure. The capital stock repurchased is retired. We recognize dividends on our capital stock on the date they are declared by our Board of Directors.

We reclassify capital stock from equity to mandatorily redeemable capital stock (MRCS), a liability on our statements of condition, once we become unconditionally obligated to redeem capital stock by transferring cash at a specified or determinable date (or dates) or upon an event certain to occur. Capital stock is reclassified to MRCS at fair value. The fair value of capital stock subject to mandatory redemption is its par value (as indicated by contemporaneous member purchases and sales at par value) plus any dividends related to the capital stock which are also reclassified as a liability, accrued at the expected dividend rate, and reported as a component of interest expense.

Refer to Note 12 - Capital and Mandatorily Redeemable Capital Stock (MRCS) for further details.

#### **Operating Lease**

In February of 2016, the FASB issued *Leases (ASU 2016-02)* that became effective January 1, 2019. Upon adoption, we applied the guidance to the beginning of January 1, 2019, using the modified retrospective approach. Prior financial statements were not restated. There was no cumulative effect adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings as of January 1, 2019. The guidance required us to recognize and measure our operating leases with terms that exceed 12 months as right-of-use assets, in our statements of condition. That amount, which was \$11 million, was recognized in other assets and other liabilities as of January 1, 2019. Expenses attributable to our leases are included in noninterest expense - operating expenses in our statements of income. Payments related to our operating leases are classified within operating activities in our statements of cash flows.

In the fourth quarter of 2019, we recorded an operating lease asset and lease liability of \$56 million related to new office space at 433 West Van Buren Street, Chicago, Illinois, to replace our existing office space in 2020. The new lease agreement terminates in December 2035, subject to options to extend the lease or terminate early.

### Pentegra Defined Benefit (DB) Plan for Financial Institutions (the Pension Plan)

We participate in the Pentegra Defined Benefit (DB) Plan for Financial Institutions (the Pension Plan), a tax-qualified defined-benefit pension plan. We account for the Pension Plan as a multiemployer plan since contributions made by us may be used to provide benefits to participants of other participating employers. Net pension cost recognized into our statements of income includes our minimum required contribution plus administrative fees. A prepaid pension asset is recognized when our contributions are in excess of 100% of our minimum required contribution while a liability is recognized for contributions due and unpaid at the end of the reporting period. Refer to **Note 14 - Employee Retirement Plans** for further details.



Notes to Financial Statements
(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

#### Note 3 - Recently Issued but Not Yet Adopted Accounting Standards

The following table provides a summary of recently issued but not yet adopted as of year end 2019 accounting standards which may have an effect on our financial statements.

Accounting Standards Update (ASU): Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments (ASU 2016-13) Effective Date: We adopted ASU 2016-13, as amended, for interim and annual periods effective January 1, 2020.

#### Description

In June of 2016, the FASB amended existing GAAP guidance applicable to measuring credit losses on financial instruments. Specifically, the amendment replaces the "incurred loss" impairment methodology applied under current GAAP with a "currently expected credit losses" or CECL methodology. The measurement of CECL is based on relevant information about past events, including historical experience, current conditions, and reasonable and supportable forecasts that affect the collectability of the financial instrument's reported amount.

In April of 2019, the FASB clarified that expected recoveries of amounts previously written off and expected to be written off should be included in the valuation account and should not exceed the aggregate of amounts previously written off and expected to be written off by the entity. In addition, for collateral dependent financial assets, the amendments clarify that an allowance for credit losses that is added to the amortized cost basis of the financial asset(s) should not exceed amounts previously written off.

# **Effect on the Financial Statements or Other Significant Matters**

Upon adoption, any difference between our existing and CECL allowance for credit losses was recognized as a cumulative-effect adjustment to the opening balance of our retained earnings as of January 1, 2020. We recorded a cumulative-effect adjustment to our opening balance of retained earnings of \$7 million, which primarily related to Community First Fund loans. The effect on MPF Loans held in portfolio was not material. Our elections at the time of adoption, includes but are not limited to, presenting accrued interest receivable separately for loans that are carried at amortized cost and HTM debt securities, which are also carried at amortized cost. An allowance for credit losses determination is not required because we recognize the reversal of interest on a timely basis.

The accounting for HTM and AFS debt securities changed on a prospective basis. Reversals of prior losses is permitted for HTM and AFS securities purchased after January 1, 2020. Such reversals are not permitted for HTM and AFS OTTI debt securities existing prior to January 1, 2020. Additionally, HTM and AFS debt securities will have their own allowance for credit losses.

Accounting Standards Update (ASU): Customer's Accounting for Implementation Costs Incurred in a Cloud Computing Arrangement (ASU 2018-15)

Effective Date: We adopted the new guidance for interim and annual periods on January 1, 2020.

#### Description

In August of 2018, the FASB issued amendments to align the requirements for capitalizing implementation costs incurred in a hosting arrangement that is a service contract with the requirements for capitalizing implementation costs incurred to develop or obtain internal use software (and hosting arrangements that include an internal use software license). The accounting for the service element of a hosting arrangement that is a service contract is not affected by these amendments. The amendments may be applied either retrospectively or prospectively to all implementation costs incurred after the date of adoption.

# **Effect on the Financial Statements or Other Significant Matters**

The guidance did not have an effect on our financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows at the time of adoption. We applied the new guidance on a prospective basis.



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

### Note 4 - Interest Income and Interest Expense

The following table presents interest income and interest expense for the periods indicated:

For the years ended December 31,	2019		2018		2017
Interest income -					
Trading	\$	85	\$	35	\$ 4
Available-for-sale interest income		481		436	428
Available-for-sale prepayment fees		3		32	27
Available-for-sale		484		468	455
Hold to motority		135		175	197
Held-to-maturity		135		175	197
Investment debt securities		704		678	656
Advances		1,333		1,157	560
		-,		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
MPF Loans held in portfolio		313		247	213
Federal Funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell		253		208	115
Interest bearing deposits		28		20	11
Other interest bearing assets		4		5	3
Interest income		2,635		2,315	1,558
Interest expense -					
Consolidated obligations -					
Discount notes		1,038		857	527
Bonds		1,109		922	533
Other interest bearing liabilities		30		23	15
Interest expense		2,177		1,802	1,075
Net interest income	\$	458	\$	513	\$ 483



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

#### Note 5 - Investment Debt Securities

We classify debt securities as either trading, held-to-maturity (HTM), or available-for-sale (AFS). Our security disclosures within these classifications are disaggregated by major security types as shown below. Our major security types are based on the nature and risks of the security.

- U.S. Government & other government related may consist of the sovereign debt of the United States; debt issued by government sponsored enterprises (GSE); debt issued by the Tennessee Valley Authority; and securities guaranteed by the Small Business Administration.
- Federal Family Education Loan Program asset-backed securities (FFELP ABS).
- GSE residential-mortgage backed securities (MBS) issued by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac.
- Government guaranteed residential MBS.
- · Private label residential MBS.
- State or local housing agency obligations.

#### **Pledged Collateral**

We disclose the amount of investment debt securities pledged as collateral pertaining to our derivatives activity on our statements of condition. See **Note 9 - Derivatives and Hedging Activities** for further details.

#### **Trading Debt Securities**

The following table presents the fair value of our trading debt securities.

As of	December 3	1, 2019	December 3	1, 2018
U.S. Government & other government related	\$	4,636	\$	3,460
Residential MBS				
GSE		11		17
Government guaranteed		1		1
Trading debt securities	\$	4,648	\$	3,478

The following table presents our gains and losses on trading debt securities recorded in Noninterest Income Other.

For the years ended December 31,	2019	9	2018	2017
Net unrealized gains (losses) on securities held at period end	\$	5	\$ 10	\$ 
Net realized gains (losses) on securities sold/matured during the period		19	5	(1)
Net gains (losses) on trading debt securities	\$	24	\$ 15	\$ (1)



#### **Notes to Financial Statements** (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

Amortized Cost Basis and Fair Value – Available-for-Sale Debt Securities (AFS)

	Amortized Cost Basis		Gross Unrealized Gains in AOCI		Gross Unrealized (Losses) in AOCI		Carrying Amount and Fair Value	
As of December 31, 2019	_							
U.S. Government & other government related	\$	749	\$	32	\$	_	\$	781
State or local housing agency		14		1		_		15
FFELP ABS		3,219		140		(7)		3,352
Residential MBS								
GSE		11,600		19		(97)		11,522
Government guaranteed		352		10		_		362
Private label		29		6		_		35
Available-for-sale debt securities	\$	15,963	\$	208	\$	(104)	\$	16,067
As of December 31, 2018	_							
U.S. Government & other government related	\$	528	\$	15	\$	_	\$	543
State or local housing agency		17		_		_		17
FFELP ABS		3,578		203		_		3,781
Residential MBS								
GSE		9,602		20		(48)		9,574
Government guaranteed		645		13		_		658
Private label		33		8		_		41
Available-for-sale debt securities	\$	14,403	\$	259	\$	(48)	\$	14,614

We had no sales of AFS debt securities for the periods presented.



### **Notes to Financial Statements** (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

Amortized Cost Basis, Carrying Amount, and Fair Value - Held-to-Maturity Debt Securities (HTM)

		nortized Cost Basis	O <sup>1</sup> Recog	credit ITI gnized OCI	arrying mount	Ur	Gross nrecognized Holding Gains	Gross nrecognized Holding (Losses)	Fai	r Value
As of December 31, 2019										
U.S. Government & other government related	 \$	1,129	\$	_	\$ 1,129	\$	18	\$ (1)	\$	1,146
State or local housing agency		4		_	4		_	_		4
Residential MBS										
GSE		788		_	788		31	_		819
Government guaranteed		167		_	167		2	_		169
Private label		378		(85)	293		184	_		477
Held-to-maturity debt securities	\$	2,466	\$	(85)	\$ 2,381	\$	235	\$ (1)	\$	2,615
As of December 31, 2018										
U.S. Government & other government related	<del></del> \$	1,305	\$	_	\$ 1,305	\$	18	\$ (1)	\$	1,322
State or local housing agency		6		_	6		_	_		6
Residential MBS										
GSE		1,141		_	1,141		30	_		1,171
Government guaranteed		369		_	369		2	_		371
Private label		506		(114)	392		230	_		622
Held-to-maturity debt securities	\$	3,327	\$	(114)	\$ 3,213	\$	280	\$ (1)	\$	3,492

We had no sales of HTM debt securities for the periods presented.



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

### **Interest Rate Payment Terms**

The following table presents the interest rate payment terms of AFS and HTM debt securities at amortized cost basis for the reporting periods indicated.

	Available-for-Sale					Held-to-Maturity			
As of December 31,	2019			2018		2019	2018		
Non-MBS									
Fixed-rate	\$	760	\$	540	\$	1,130	\$	1,306	
Variable-rate		3,222		3,583		3		5	
Residential MBS									
Fixed-rate		11,589		9,767		682		1,148	
Variable-rate		392		513		651		868	
Total	\$	15,963	\$	14,403	\$	2,466	\$	3,327	

### **Contractual Maturity**

The values by contractual year of maturity of our AFS and HTM investments are summarized in the following table.

	Α	vailable-for-Sale	Held-to-Maturity				
As of December 31, 2019	C	arrying Amount and Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value			
Year of Maturity -							
Due in one year or less	\$	_	\$ 621	\$ 621			
Due after one year through five years		6	41	41			
Due after five years through ten years		243	70	70			
Due after ten years		547	401	418			
ABS and MBS without a single maturity date		15,271	1,248	1,465			
Total debt securities	\$	16,067	\$ 2,381	\$ 2,615			



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

The following table presents the aging of our investments for the current year in greater detail by investment type, as well as the carrying amounts for the previous two years. It also discloses the yields by aging categories for the current year.

					2019				2018	2017
As of December 31,	Due in one year or less	th	ue one rough e years	t	ue five hrough n years	Due after		Carrying Amount	Carrying Amount	Carrying Amount
Trading debt securities										
U.S. Government & other governmental related	\$ 2,511	\$	2,125	\$	_	\$ <b>—</b>	\$	4,636	\$ 3,460	\$ 202
Residential MBS										
GSE	_		_		_	11		11	17	30
Government guaranteed	_		_		_	1		1	1	1
Trading debt securities	2,511		2,125		_	12		4,648	3,478	233
Yield on trading debt securities	1.79%	6	2.09%	, 	2.69%	4.44	%	1.93%	2.01%	1.76%
AFS debt securities										
U.S. Government & other governmental related	_		_		239	542		781	543	271
State or local housing agency	_		6		4	5		15	17	21
FFELP ABS	_		_		_	3,352		3,352	3,781	4,214
Residential MBS						•		•	·	
GSE	402		_		6,031	5,089		11,522	9,574	7,406
Government guaranteed	_		_			362		362	658	995
Private label	_		_		_	35		35	41	50
AFS debt securities	402		6		6,274	9,385		16,067	14,614	12,957
Yield on AFS debt securities	4.36%	6	2.72%	,	3.31%	3.18	%	3.26%	3.86%	4.01%
HTM debt securities										
U.S. Government & other governmental related	619		39		70	401		1,129	1,305	1,531
State or local housing agency	2		2		_	_		4	6	9
Residential MBS								<del>-</del>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
GSE	425		28		_	335		788	1,141	1,513
Government guaranteed	40		1		2	124		167	369	585
Private label			<u> </u>		1	292		293	392	519
HTM debt securities	1,086		70		73	1,152		2,381	3,213	4,157
Yield on HTM debt securities	2.73%	<u></u>	4.07%		3.69%	*		3.13%	3.42%	3.19%
					0.0070	<u> </u>	<del>,,</del>		0270	0070
Total investment debt securities	3,999		2,201		6,347	10,549		23,096	21,305	17,347
	0,000		_,		0,0	10,010		_0,000	,000	,
Interest bearing deposits	1,680							1,680	775	775
Federal Funds sold	7,356							7,356	7,704	7,561
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	6,750							6,750	2,900	5,000
Investments	\$ 19,785	\$	2,201	\$	6,347	\$ 10,549	\$		\$ 32,684	\$ 30,683
mvesuments	ψ 19,105	Ψ	2,201	Ψ	0,347	ψ 10,043	Ψ	30,002	Ψ 32,004	Ψ 50,003



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

### **Aging of Unrealized Temporary Losses**

The following table presents unrealized temporary losses on our AFS and HTM portfolio for periods less than 12 months and for 12 months or more. We recognized no OTTI charges on these unrealized loss positions. Refer to the Other-Than-Temporary Impairment Analysis section below for further discussion. In the tables below, in cases where the gross unrealized losses for an investment category are less than \$1 million, the losses are not reported.

	Le	ess thai	n 12	2 Months	1	12 Months or More			Total			
		Fair /alue	_	Gross nrealized Losses)		Fair /alue	_	Gross realized Losses)		Fair /alue	Un	Gross realized osses)
Available-for-sale debt securities												
As of December 31, 2019												
U.S. Government & other government related	- \$	44	\$	_	\$	2	\$	_	\$	46	\$	_
FFELP ABS		512		(7)		_		_		512		(7)
Residential MBS												
GSE		3,426		(25)		4,412		(72)		7,838		(97)
Government guaranteed		1		_		_		_		1		_
Private label		_		_		5		_		5		_
Available-for-sale debt securities	\$	3,983	\$	(32)	\$	4,419	\$	(72)	\$	8,402	\$	(104)
As of December 31, 2018												
U.S. Government & other government related	- \$	_	\$	_	\$	3	\$	_	\$	3	\$	_
State or local housing agency		1		_		3		_		4		_
Residential MBS												
GSE		5,573		(46)		48		(2)		5,621		(48)
Government guaranteed		31		_		_		_		31		_
Private label		_		_		5		_		5		_
Available-for-sale debt securities	\$	5,605	\$	(46)	\$	59	\$	(2)	\$	5,664	\$	(48)
Held-to-maturity debt securities												
As of December 31, 2019	_											
U.S. Government & other government related	- \$	39	\$	(1)	\$	_	\$	_	\$	39	\$	(1)
State or local housing agency		1		_		_		_		1		_
Residential MBS												
Government guaranteed		40		_		_		_		40		_
Private label		_		_		454		(85)		454		(85)
Held-to-maturity debt securities	\$	80	\$	(1)	\$	454	\$	(85)	\$	534	\$	(86)
As of December 31, 2018			_						_			
U.S. Government & other government related	- \$	459	\$	_	\$	23	\$	(1)	\$	482	\$	(1)
State or local housing agency		_		_		2		_		2		_
Residential MBS												
Government guaranteed		170		_		_		_		170		_
Private label		_		_		592		(114)		592		(114)
Held-to-maturity debt securities	\$	629	\$	_	\$	617	\$	(115)	\$	1,246	\$	(115)
•												. ,



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

### Other-Than-Temporary Impairment Analysis

We recognized no OTTI charges on HTM or AFS debt securities for the periods presented. We do not intend to sell these securities and we believe it is more likely than not that we will not be required to sell them prior to recovering their amortized cost basis. We expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis on these securities.

### Unpaid Principal Balance, Amortized Cost, Carrying Amount, and Fair Value - OTTI Private Label MBS

The following table presents private label MBS that have incurred OTTI at some point in time since we acquired the security. Each private label MBS presented below is classified as prime, subprime, or Alt-A. Such classification depends upon the nature of the majority of underlying mortgages collateralizing each private label MBS based on the issuer's classification, or as published by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization (NRSRO), at the time of issuance of the MBS.

As of December 31, 2019	Unp Princ Bala	ipal	 nortized st Basis	-	loncredit OTTI in AOCI	U	Gross nrealized Gains	Carrying Amount		Fa	air Value
Residential MBS Private label											
OTTI AFS debt securities											
Alt-A	\$	45	\$ 29	\$	_	\$	6	\$	35	\$	35
OTTI HTM debt securities											
Prime		297	230		(62)		_		168		257
Subprime		270	122		(23)		_		99		194
OTTI HTM debt securities	\$	567	\$ 352	\$	(85)	\$	_	\$	267	\$	451

The following table presents the changes in the cumulative amount of previously recorded OTTI credit losses on investment debt securities recognized into earnings for the reporting periods indicated.

For the years ended December 31,	2	2019	2018	2017
Beginning Balance	\$	442	\$ 477	\$ 520
Reductions:				
Securities sold, matured, or fully prepaid over the period		(4)	_	(1)
Increases in cash flows expected to be collected - recognized into interest income		(27)	(35)	(42)
Ending Balance	\$	411	\$ 442	\$ 477

### **Ongoing Litigation**

On October 15, 2010, we instituted litigation relating to 64 private label MBS bonds we purchased. While we continue to pursue this litigation, we have recognized litigation settlement awards in the past with respect to these matters as noted in our previous statements of income. As of December 31, 2019, the remaining litigation covers three private label MBS bonds in the aggregate outstanding principal amount of \$35 million.



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

### Note 6 - Advances

We offer a wide range of fixed and variable rate advance products with different maturities, interest rates, payment characteristics and optionality.

The following table presents our advances by terms of contractual maturity and the related weighted average contractual interest rate. For amortizing advances, contractual maturity is determined based on the advance's amortization schedule. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because some borrowers have the right to call or prepay advances with or without penalties.

As of December 31, 2019	A	mount	Weighted Average Contractual Interest Rate
Due in one year or less	\$	18,336	1.77%
One to two years		2,875	2.29%
Two to three years		2,934	2.16%
Three to four years		9,132	1.96%
Four to five years		8,030	1.88%
More than five years		8,815	2.14%
Par value Par value	\$	50,122	1.94%

The following table presents our advances by terms of contractual maturity and reconciles the par value of our advances to the carrying amount on our statements of condition as of the dates indicated.

As of	December 31, 2019	December 31, 2018
Fixed-rate due in one year or less	\$ 10,089	\$ 13,503
Fixed-rate due after one year	14,280	12,193
Total fixed-rate	24,369	25,696
Variable-rate due in one year or less	8,248	8,492
Variable-rate due after one year	17,505	18,418
Total variable-rate	25,753	26,910
Par value	50,122	52,606
Fair value hedging adjustments	344	30
Other adjustments	42	(8)
Advances	\$ 50,508	\$ 52,628

The following advance borrowers exceeded 10% of our advances outstanding:

As of December 31, 2019	Par Value	% of Total Outstanding
One Mortgage Partners Corp.	\$ 11,000 a	21.9%
The Northern Trust Company	6,700	13.4%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> One Mortgage Partners Corp. is a subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase Bank NA.



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

### Note 7 - MPF Loans Held in Portfolio

We acquire MPF Loans from PFIs to hold in our portfolio and historically purchased participations in pools of eligible mortgage loans from other FHLBs (MPF Banks). MPF Loans that are held in portfolio are fixed-rate conventional and Government Loans secured by one-to-four family residential properties with maturities ranging from 5 years to 30 years or participations in pools of similar eligible mortgage loans from other MPF Banks.

The following table presents information on MPF Loans held in portfolio by contractual maturity at the time of purchase.

As of	Decembe	r 31, 2019	December 31, 2018
Medium term (15 years or less)	\$	856	\$ 334
Long term (greater than 15 years)		8,974	6,662
Unpaid principal balance		9,830	6,996
Net premiums, credit enhancement, and/or deferred loan fees		163	100
Fair value and economic hedging adjustments		8	8
MPF Loans held in portfolio, before allowance for credit losses		10,001	7,104
Allowance for credit losses on MPF Loans		(1)	(1)
MPF Loans held in portfolio, net	\$	10,000	\$ 7,103
Conventional mortgage loans	\$	8,919	\$ 6,062
Government Loans		911	934
Unpaid principal balance	\$	9,830	\$ 6,996

The above table excludes MPF Loans acquired under the MPF Xtra, MPF Direct, and MPF Government MBS products. See **Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies** for information related to the accounting treatment of these off balance sheet MPF Loan products.

See Note 8 - Allowance for Credit Losses for information related to our credit losses on MPF Loans held in portfolio.



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

### Note 8 - Allowance for Credit Losses

Details regarding our allowance for credit losses methodology for each of our portfolio segments is discussed below.

### Member Credit Products (advances, letters of credit, and other extensions of credit to borrowers)

We consider our risk-based approach to determining collateral requirements, including risk-based collateral levels and collateral delivery triggers, and our credit extension policies as the primary tools for managing the credit quality on our member credit products. We assess and protect our rights to collateral on a member-by-member basis to determine if we are holding collateral with a value that is at least equal to the credit outstanding to that member. The collateral value required to secure each member's credit products is calculated for securities, by multiplying the applicable percentage margin by the market value of each security and for loans, by multiplying the applicable percentage margin by the unpaid principal balance of pledged loans, along with any applicable percentage applied to adjust for exceptions found during a member's collateral review. We also factor in the repayment history of our members when assessing whether a credit risk loss has been incurred with respect to our member credit products.

We have not recorded any allowance for credit losses for our member credit products portfolio segment based upon our credit analysis and the repayment history on member credit products. We had no member credit products that were past due, on nonaccrual status, involved in a troubled debt restructuring or otherwise considered impaired. We have not recorded a separate liability to reflect credit losses on our member credit products with off balance sheet credit exposure.

### **Conventional MPF Loans Held in Portfolio**

Our overall allowance for credit losses is determined by an analysis that includes consideration of various data observations such as past performance, current performance, loan portfolio characteristics, other collateral related characteristics, industry data, and prevailing economic conditions. The measurement of the allowance for credit losses consists of: (1) reviewing the change in the rates (i.e., migration or "roll rates") of delinquencies on residential mortgage loans for the entire portfolio; (2) reviewing the total severity rate and the credit loss severity rate; and (3) estimating credit losses in the remaining portfolio.

### Loss Severity

The Total Severity Rate and the Credit Loss Severity Rate calculations, as defined further below, are based on analysis of MPF Loans that have experienced a credit loss in the previous 12 months. The analysis is done on a rolling 12 month basis.

- Total Severity Rate: This severity rate is based on the total losses experienced and expenses incurred on conventional MPF
  Loans under the MPF Risk Sharing Structure (as described below). This severity rate includes all credit losses related to
  contractual principal and interest due on impaired conventional MPF Loans, periodic expenses incurred through the life
  cycle of a conventional MPF Loan, such as real estate taxes and attorney fees incurred after it is transferred to real estate
  owned (REO), and REO sale gains or losses.
- Credit Loss Severity Rate: The second severity rate only includes credit losses attributable to the contractual principal
  amounts due on impaired conventional MPF Loan portfolios that either were not collected or were not received on a timely
  basis.

The Total Severity Rate includes total losses and expenses to prevent our allowance for credit losses from being understated. This ensures the portion of the MPF Risk Sharing Structure utilized to absorb noncredit losses is not being included when calculating the amount to be utilized to absorb credit losses.

We may adjust these severity rates to reach the final Total Severity Rate and Credit Loss Severity Rate used in the allowance for credit losses methodology. Adjustments may include factors that exist in the current economic environment, such as the FHFA Purchase-Only House Price Index, as of the reporting date.

We have not presented quantitative information pertaining to our total and credit loss severity rates due to the immateriality of our allowance for credit losses.

Credit losses are absorbed in the following order (the MPF Risk Sharing Structure):

- Borrower's equity.
- Primary mortgage insurance (PMI), if any.



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

- The PFI. We will withhold a PFI's scheduled performance credit enhancement fee in order to reimburse ourselves for any losses allocated to the First Loss Account (FLA) in instances where performance credit enhancement fees are applicable to the MC under which the loan was sold to us. The FLA functions as a tracking mechanism for our first layer of loss exposure before determining the point in which a PFI's credit enhancement obligation (CE Amount) would cover the next layer of losses. Our FLA exposure varies by MPF Loan product type that is, MPF Original, MPF 35, MPF 100, MPF 125, and MPF Plus.
- · We incur the next layer, or pro-rata with another MPF Bank in the case of a participation, up to the amount of the FLA.
- The PFI. The PFI's CE Amount, which may include proceeds from a provider of supplemental mortgage guaranty insurance (SMI).
- We will absorb any losses after the CE Amount has been exhausted, or pro-rata with another MPF Bank in the case of a participation.

The entire population of conventional MPF Loans is analyzed using the MPF Risk Sharing Structure at the Master Commitment (MC) level using roll rates and the Total Severity Rate. The credit risk analysis determines the degree to which layers of the MPF Risk Sharing Structure are available to recover losses on MPF Loans. PFIs deliver MPF Loans into pools designated by product specific MCs. The credit risk analysis is performed at an individual MC level since credit loss recovery from a PFI is MC-specific - that is, credit losses on a loan may be absorbed by the PFI only by its risk layer of the MC related to that loan.

We allocate losses on participations interests in MPF Loans amongst the participating MPF Banks pro-ratably based on their respective percentage participation interest in the related MC. The total losses resulting after factoring in the MPF Risk Sharing Structure are then calculated. The adjusted total losses are then split into credit losses and noncredit losses. A credit loss only consists of the loss resulting from the timing and amount of unpaid principal on an MPF Loan and does not include periodic expenses incurred during the time period in which an MPF Loan has become REO. Such periodic expenses are noncredit losses and they are directly expensed through the statement of income as incurred.

There has been no material activity in our allowance for credit losses for the three years ended December 31, 2019.

The following table presents the recorded investment and the allowance for credit losses in conventional MPF Loans by impairment methodology. The recorded investment in a conventional MPF Loan includes its amortized cost basis and related accrued interest receivable, if any. The recorded investment in a conventional MPF Loan excludes our allowance for credit losses.

As of	Decemb	er 31, 2019	December 31, 2018		
Conventional mortgage loans -					
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$	29	\$	33	
Collectively evaluated for impairment		9,094		6,155	
Recorded investment	\$	9,123	\$	6,188	
Allowance for credit losses - Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$	1	\$	1	

### **Government Loans Held in Portfolio**

The PFI provides insurance or a guaranty from governmental agencies, which includes ensuring compliance with all of their requirements. Servicers maintain the insurance or guaranty and obtain the benefit of the applicable insurance or guaranty with respect to defaulted Government Loans. Any losses incurred on Government Loans held in portfolio that are not recovered from the government insurer or guarantor are absorbed by the servicer. Accordingly, credit losses of our portfolio segment for Government Loans included in our MPF Loan held in portfolio for the reporting periods presented is based on our assessment of our servicers' ability to absorb losses not covered by the applicable government guarantee or insurance.

We did not establish an allowance for credit losses on our Government Loans held in portfolio for the reporting periods presented based on our assessment that our servicers have the ability to absorb such losses. Further, Government Loans were not placed on nonaccrual status or disclosed as troubled debt restructurings for the same reason.

### Credit Quality Indicators - MPF Loans Held in Portfolio

The following table summarizes our recorded investment in MPF Loans by our key credit quality indicators, which include:

"Serious delinquency rate" consists of MPF Loans that are 90 days or more past due or in the process of foreclosure, as a
percentage of the total recorded investment. MPF Loans that are both 90 days or more past due and in the process of
foreclosure are only included once in our serious delinquency rate calculation.



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

- "Past due 90 days or more still accruing interest" consists of MPF Loans that are either insured or guaranteed by the
  government or conventional mortgage loans that are well secured (by collateral that have a realizable value sufficient to
  discharge the debt or by the guarantee or insurance, such as primary mortgage insurance, of a financially responsible party)
  and in the process of collection.
- Conventional MPF Loans are individually evaluated for impairment when they are adversely classified. There is no
  allowance for credit losses attributable to conventional MPF Loans that are individually evaluated for impairment, since the
  related allowance for credit losses has been charged off. We do not recognize interest income on impaired conventional
  MPF Loans.

		<b>December 31, 2019</b>						Dec	em	ber 31, 2018	
As of	Con	ventional	Go	vernment		Total	С	onventional	G	overnment	Total
Past due 30-59 days	\$	82	\$	38	\$	120	\$	59	\$	42	\$ 101
Past due 60-89 days		19		13		32		17		14	31
Past due 90 days or more		28		15		43		31		19	50
Past due		129		66		195		107		75	182
Current		8,994		864		9,858		6,081		879	6,960
Recorded investment	\$	9,123	\$	930	\$	10,053	\$	6,188	\$	954	\$ 7,142
In process of foreclosure	\$	10	\$	4	\$	14	\$	13	\$	6	\$ 19
Serious delinquency rate		0.31%		1.67%		0.44%		0.51%		1.95%	0.70%
Past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest	\$	4	\$	16	\$	20	\$	5	\$	19	\$ 24
Impaired loans without an allowance for credit losses and on nonaccrual status		29		_		29		33		_	33
Unpaid principal balance of impaired loans without an allowance for credit losses		31		_		31		36		_	36

### Term Federal Funds Sold and Term Securities Purchased Under Agreements to Resell

Federal Funds sold are only evaluated for purposes of an allowance for credit losses if payment is not made when due. In this regard, we may establish an allowance for credit losses for Federal Funds sold when repayment has not been made according to contractual terms.

We may establish an allowance for credit losses for securities purchased under agreements to resell or resale agreements in cases where all payments due under the contractual terms have not been received and where we do not hold sufficient underlying collateral. For example, if the credit markets experience disruptions, it may increase the likelihood that one of our counterparties could experience liquidity or financial constraints that may cause them to become insolvent or otherwise default on their obligations to us. If the collateral's fair value amount has decreased below the resale agreement's carrying amount, we may suffer a credit loss that would be recognized as an allowance for credit loss with an offsetting amount recognized as a provision for credit losses in our statements of income.

We only held overnight Federal Funds sold and Securities Purchased Under Agreements to Resell as of December 31, 2019, and December 31, 2018. We did not have any longer term Federal Funds sold and Securities Purchased Under Agreements to Resell arrangements. We did not establish an allowance for credit losses for our overnight Federal Funds sold since all Federal Funds sold were repaid according to their contractual terms. We also did not establish an allowance for credit losses for overnight securities purchased under agreements to resell since all payments due under the contractual terms have been received and we hold sufficient underlying collateral. Specifically, collateral on securities purchased under agreements to resell is held as follows:

- Collateral accepted from our counterparties is held in our name for safekeeping by third party custodians.
- We do not sell or repledge any collateral we receive due to the short-term nature of our resale agreements.
- The collateral's fair value less haircuts approximates the resale agreement's carrying amount in our statements of condition.
- Our counterparty is required to make up any collateral shortfall by providing additional securities as collateral equal to the
  collateral's fair value amount that is below the contractually required amount. If our counterparty does not provide such
  additional collateral, the resale agreement's carrying amount is reduced by the collateral shortfall amount.



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

### Note 9 - Derivatives and Hedging Activities

Refer to Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies for our accounting policies for derivatives.

We transact most of our derivatives with large banks and major broker-dealers. Some of these banks and broker-dealers or their affiliates buy, sell, and distribute consolidated obligations. We are not a derivatives dealer and do not trade derivatives for speculative purposes. We enter into derivative transactions through either of the following:

- A bilateral agreement with an individual counterparty for over-the-counter derivative transactions.
- Clearinghouses classified as DCOs through FCMs, which are clearing members of the DCOs, for cleared derivative transactions.

### Managing Interest Rate Risk

We use fair value hedges to offset changes in the fair value of a benchmark interest rate, for example LIBOR, related to (1) a recognized asset or liability or (2) an unrecognized firm commitment. In the case of partial-term fair value hedges, we measure the changes in fair value attributable to interest rate risk using an assumed term that begins when the first hedged cash flow begins to accrue and ends when the last hedged cash flow is due and payable. The assumed maturity of the hedged item occurs on the date in which the last hedged cash flow is due and payable. Our hedge strategy for cash flow hedges is to hedge the total proceeds received from rolling forecasted zero-coupon discount note issuances attributable to changes in the benchmark interest rate or LIBOR by entering into interest rate swaps to mitigate such risk. We use economic hedges in cases where hedge accounting treatment is not permitted or achievable.

### Managing Credit Risk on Derivative Agreements

Over-the-counter (bilateral) Derivative Transactions: We are subject to credit risk due to the risk of nonperformance by counterparties to our derivative agreements. For bilateral derivative agreements, the degree of counterparty risk depends on the extent to which master netting arrangements, collateral requirements and other credit enhancements are included in such contracts to mitigate the risk. We manage counterparty credit risk through credit analysis, collateral requirements and adherence to the requirements set forth in our policies and FHFA regulations. We require collateral agreements on all over-the-counter derivatives. Additionally, collateral related to over-the-counter derivatives with member institutions includes collateral assigned to us, as evidenced by a written security agreement, and which may be held by the member institution for our benefit. Based on credit analyses and collateral requirements, we have not recorded an allowance for credit loss on our over-the-counter derivative agreements as of December 31, 2019. See **Note 15 - Fair Value** for discussion regarding our fair value methodology for over-the-counter derivative assets and liabilities, including an evaluation of the potential for the fair value of these instruments to be affected by counterparty credit risk.

For nearly all of our bilateral derivative transactions executed prior to March 1, 2017, and for all transactions entered into after March 1, 2017, our bilateral derivative agreements are fully collateralized with a zero unsecured threshold in accordance with variation margin requirements issued by the U.S. federal bank regulatory agencies and the CFTC. For certain transactions executed prior to March 1, 2017, we may be required to post net additional collateral with our counterparties if there is deterioration in our credit rating. If our credit rating had been lowered from its current rating to the next lower rating by a major credit rating agency, such as Standard and Poor's, or Moody's, the amount of collateral we would have been required to deliver would not have been material at December 31, 2019.

Cleared Derivative Transactions: Cleared derivative transactions are subject to variation and initial margin requirements established by the DCO and its clearing members. As a result of rule changes adopted by our DCOs, variation margin payments are characterized as settlement of a derivative's mark-to-market exposure and not as collateral against the derivative's mark-to-market exposure. We post our initial margin collateral payments and make variation margin settlement payments through our FCMs, on behalf of the DCO, which could expose us to institutional credit risk in the event that the FCMs or the DCO fail to meet their obligations. Clearing derivatives through a DCO mitigates counterparty credit risk exposure because the DCO is substituted for individual counterparties and variation margin settlement payments are made daily through the FCMs for changes in the value of cleared derivatives. The DCO determines initial margin requirements for cleared derivatives. In this regard, we pledged \$432 million of investment debt securities that can be sold or repledged, as part of our initial margin related to cleared derivative transactions at December 31, 2019. Additionally, an FCM may require additional initial margin to be posted based on credit considerations, including but not limited to, if our credit rating is downgraded. We had no requirement to post additional initial margin by our FCMs at December 31, 2019.



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

The following table presents details on the notional amounts, and cleared and bilateral derivative assets and liabilities on our statements of condition. The netting adjustment amount includes cash collateral (either received or paid by us) and related accrued interest in cases where we have a legal right, by contract (e.g., master netting agreement) or otherwise, to offset cash flow obligations between us and our counterparty into a single net payable or receivable.

	December 31, 2019				December 31, 2018						
As of		otional mount		rivative ssets	ivative bilities		lotional mount		rivative ssets		ivative bilities
Derivatives in hedge accounting relationships-											
Interest rate contracts	\$	38,509	\$	76	\$ 257	\$	35,549	\$	39	\$	261
Derivatives not in hedge accounting relationships-											
Interest rate contracts		36,404		110	89		19,803		100		59
Other		1,122		2	1		619		1		1
Derivatives not in hedge accounting relationships		37,526		112	90		20,422		101		60
Gross derivative amount before netting adjustments and cash collateral	\$	76,035		188	347	\$	55,971		140		321
Netting adjustments and cash collateral				(182)	(333)				(134)		(305)
Derivatives on statements of condition			\$	6	\$ 14			\$	6	\$	16
		Cash ollateral					Cash ollateral				
Cash collateral posted and related accrued interest	\$	187				\$	203				
Cash collateral received and related accrued interest	\$	35				\$	32				

The following table presents the noninterest income - derivatives and hedging activities as presented in the statements of income. For fair value and cash flow hedging relationships, the portion of net gains (losses) representing hedge ineffectiveness are recorded in Noninterest income for periods prior to January 1, 2019.

For the years ending December 31,	2019	2	018	20	17
Fair value hedges - interest rate contracts		\$	(18)	\$	(4)
Cash flow hedges - interest rate contracts			1		3
Economic hedges -					
Interest rate contracts	\$ (25)		(5)		4
Other	3		(2)		3
Economic hedges	(22)		(7)		7
Variation margin on daily settled cleared derivatives	13				2
Noninterest income - Derivatives and hedging activities	\$ (9)	\$	(24)	\$	8



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

The following table presents details regarding the offsetting of our cleared and bilateral derivative assets and liabilities on our statements of condition. The netting adjustment amount includes cash collateral (either received or paid by us) and related accrued interest in cases where we have a legal right, by contract (e.g., master netting agreement) or otherwise, to offset cash flow obligations between us and our counterparty into a single net payable or receivable.

		D	eriva	tive Asse	ets Derivative Liabilities							
	Bi	lateral	CI	eared		Total	В	ilateral	- (	Cleared		Total
As of December 31, 2019												
Derivatives with legal right of offset -												
Gross recognized amount	\$	129	\$	57	\$	186	\$	281	\$	65	\$	346
Netting adjustments and cash collateral		(127)		(55)		(182)		(279)		(54)		(333)
Derivatives with legal right of offset - net		2		2		4		2		11		13
Derivatives without legal right of offset		2		_		2		1		_		1
Derivatives on statements of condition		4		2		6		3		11		14
Less:												
Noncash collateral received or pledged and cannot be sold or repledged		_		_		_		_		11		11
Net amount	\$	4	\$	2	\$	6	\$	3	\$	_	\$	3
As of December 31, 2018												
Derivatives with legal right of offset -												
Gross recognized amount	\$	107	\$	32	\$	139	\$	279	\$	41	\$	320
Netting adjustments and cash collateral		(102)		(32)		(134)		(273)		(32)		(305)
Derivatives with legal right of offset - net		5				5		6		9		15
Derivatives without legal right of offset		1		_		1		1		_		1
Derivatives on statements of condition		6				6		7		9		16
Less:												
Noncash collateral received or pledged and cannot be sold or repledged		_		_		<u>_</u>		_		9		9
Net amount	\$	6	\$	_	\$	6	\$	7	\$	_	\$	7
			_									

At December 31, 2019, we had \$421 million of additional credit exposure on cleared derivatives due to pledging of noncash collateral to our DCOs for initial margin, which exceeded our derivative position. We had \$260 million of comparable exposure at December 31, 2018.



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

### Fair Value Hedges

The following table presents our fair value hedging results by the type of hedged item. We had no hedges that no longer qualified as a fair value hedge.

- Beginning on January 1, 2019, changes in fair value of the derivative and the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk for
  designated fair value hedges are recorded in net interest income in the same line as the earnings effect of the hedged item.
   Gains (losses) on derivatives include unrealized changes in fair value, as well as net interest settlements.
- Prior to January 1, 2019, any hedge ineffectiveness (which represented the amount by which the change in the fair value of
  the derivative differed from the change in the fair value of the hedged item) was recorded in non-interest income as net
  gains (losses) on derivatives and hedging activities. The effect of net interest settlements attributable to open derivative
  hedging instruments were recorded to the interest income/expense line item of the respective hedged item type, and include
  the accretion (amortization) of closed fair value hedging adjustments.

For the years ending December 31,	Gain (Loss) on Derivative		Gain (Loss) on Hedged Item		Amount Recorded to Derivatives and Hedging Activities		In	Amount Recorded to terest Income or Expense
2019								
Available-for-sale debt securities	\$	(552)	\$	500			\$	(52)
Advances		(275)		305				30
MPF Loans held in portfolio		_		(1)				(1)
Consolidated obligation bonds		228		(281)				(53)
Total	\$	(599)	\$	523			\$	(76)
2018								
Available-for-sale debt securities	\$	(73)	\$	58	\$	(15)	\$	(57)
Advances		38		(39)		(1)		17
MPF Loans held in portfolio		_		_		<del>-</del>		(3)
Consolidated obligation bonds		(23)		21		(2)		(55)
Total	\$	(58)	\$	40	\$	(18)	\$	(98)
2017								
Available-for-sale debt securities	\$	91	\$	(100)	\$	(9)	\$	(90)
Advances		31		(29)		2		(32)
MPF Loans held in portfolio		_		_		_		(6)
Consolidated obligation bonds		5		(2)		3		31
Total	\$	127	\$	(131)	\$	(4)	\$	(97)

The following table presents the cumulative basis adjustments on hedged items designated as fair value hedges and the related amortized cost basis of the hedged items. The line for MPF Loans held for portfolio relates to discontinued closed fair value hedges that are being amortized over the remaining life of the loans. As of December 31, 2019 we did not have any active fair value hedges on our MPF Loans.

As of December 31, 2019	of he	rtized cost dged asset/ iability	adjus active inclu	Basis dasis adjustments stments discontinued e hedges hedges included uded in in amortized ized cost cost		Cumulative amount of fair value hedging basis adjustments	
Available-for-sale securities	\$	11,188	\$	649	<del>\$</del> —	\$ 649	
Advances		10,903		344	_	344	
MPF Loans held for portfolio		691		_	12	12	
Consolidated obligation bonds		17,267		83	(30)	53	



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

### Cash Flow Hedges

Beginning January 1, 2019 on a prospective basis, hedge ineffectiveness, which represents the difference between changes in fair value of the derivative hedging instrument and the related change in fair value of the hedged item, is recognized into net interest income in the same line item as the earnings effect of the hedged item. For cash flow hedges, recognition occurs only when amounts are reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income. Such recognition occurs when earnings are affected by the hedged item. Prior to January 1, 2019, hedge ineffectiveness was classified in noninterest income on derivatives and hedging activities.

We are exposed to the variability in the total net proceeds received from forecasted zero-coupon discount note issuances, which is attributable to changes in the benchmark interest rate. As a result, we enter into cash flow hedge relationships utilizing derivative agreements to hedge the total net proceeds received from our "rolling" forecasted zero-coupon discount note issuances attributable to changes in LIBOR. The maximum length of time over which we are hedging this exposure is 9 years. We reclassify amounts in AOCI into our statements of income in the same periods during which the hedged forecasted transaction affects our earnings. We had no discontinued hedges for the periods presented. The deferred net gains (losses) on derivative instruments in AOCI that are expected to be reclassified to earnings during the next twelve months were not material as of December 31, 2019.

The following table presents our cash flow hedging results by type of hedged item. Additionally, the table indicates where cash flow hedging results are classified in our statements of income. In this regard, the **Amount Reclassified from AOCI into Net Interest Income** column includes the following:

- The amortization of closed cash flow hedging adjustments, which are reclassified from AOCI into the interest income/ expense line item of the respective hedged item type.
- The effect of net interest settlements attributable to open derivative hedging instruments, which are initially recorded in AOCI and are reclassified to the interest income/expense line item of the respective hedged item type.

For the years ending December 31,			Gross Amount Initially Recognized in AOCI		Amount Reclassified fror AOCI into Net Interest Income	
2019						
Discount notes			\$	(33)	\$	(25)
Bonds						(1)
Total			\$	(33)	\$	(26)
	Reco Deriva	ctiveness orded in tives and g Activities	Effective Portion Recorded in AOCI		Amount Recorded in Net Interest Income	
2018						
Discount notes	\$	1	\$	101	\$	(97)
Bonds						(1)
Total	\$	1	\$	101	\$	(98)
					1	
2017						
Advances	\$	_	\$	_	\$	10
Discount notes		3		173		(168)
Bonds		_		_		(3)
Total	\$	3	\$	173	\$	(161)



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

### Note 10 - Consolidated Obligations

The FHLBs issue consolidated obligations through the Office of Finance as their agent. Consolidated obligations consist of discount notes and consolidated obligation bonds. Consolidated discount notes are issued to raise short-term funds, are issued at less than their face amount and redeemed at par value when they mature. The maturity of consolidated obligation bonds may range from less than one year to over 20 years, but they are not subject to any statutory or regulatory limits on maturity.

The following table presents our consolidated obligation discount notes for which we are the primary obligor. All are due in one year or less.

As of	Decen	nber 31, 2019	Decemb	er 31, 2018
Consolidated obligation discount notes - carrying amount	\$	41,675	\$	43,166
Consolidated obligation discount notes - par amount		41,770		43,280
Weighted Average Interest Rate		1.61%		2.28%

The following table presents maturities and weighted average interest rates on our consolidated obligation bonds, for which we are the primary obligor, including callable bonds that are redeemable in whole, or in part, at our discretion on predetermined call dates.

As of December 31, 2019	Contractual Maturity	Weighted Average Interest Rate	By Maturity o Next Call Date	
Due in one year or less	\$ 22,483	1.65%	\$	35,017
One to two years	10,196	1.95%		8,519
Two to three years	6,073	2.36%		3,714
Three to four years	2,750	2.35%		1,968
Four to five years	3,265	2.10%		663
Thereafter	5,631	3.07%		517
Total par value	\$ 50,398	2.03%	\$	50,398

The following table presents consolidated obligation bonds outstanding by call feature:

As of	December 31, 2	019	December 31, 2018
Noncallable	\$ 35	,556	\$ 27,999
Callable	14	,842	14,487
Par value	50	,398	42,486
Fair value hedging adjustments		53	(227)
Other adjustments		23	(9)
Consolidated obligation bonds	\$ 50	,474	\$ 42,250



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

Consolidated obligations are issued with either fixed or floating rate payment terms that may use a variety of indices for interest rate resets including LIBOR and SOFR. Additionally, both fixed-rate bonds and floating-rate bonds may contain an embedded derivative, such as a call feature or complex coupon payment terms, if requested by investors. When such consolidated obligations are issued, we may concurrently enter into an interest rate swap containing offsetting features that effectively convert the terms of the bond to a variable-rate bond tied to an index or a fixed-rate bond.

Consolidated obligation bonds, beyond having fixed-rate or floating-rate payment terms, may also have the following broad terms regarding either principal repayment or coupon payment terms:

Step-Up Bonds and Step-Down Bonds - Bonds that pay interest at increasing or decreasing fixed rates for specified intervals over their life. These bonds are callable at our option on the step-up or step-down dates.

The following table presents interest rate payment terms for consolidated obligation bonds for which we are primary obligor at the dates indicated:

As of	December 31, 2019	December 31, 2018
Fixed-rate	\$ 28,470	\$ 23,141
Variable-rate	20,520	15,714
Step-up	1,358	3,100
Step-down	50	416
Other	_	115
Par value	\$ 50,398	\$ 42,486

The following table summarizes the consolidated obligations of the FHLBs and those for which we are the primary obligor. We did not accrue a liability for our joint and several liability related to the other FHLBs' share of the consolidated obligations as of December 31, 2019 and December 31, 2018. Refer to **Note 16 - Commitments and Contingencies** for further details.

	De	ecember 31, 20	119	December 31, 2018					
Par values as of	Bonds	Discount Notes	Total	Bonds	Discount Notes	Total			
FHLB System total consolidated obligations	\$ 620,942	\$ 404,953	\$1,025,895	\$ 604,250	\$ 427,367	\$1,031,617			
FHLB Chicago as primary obligor	50,398	41,770	92,168	42,486	43,280	85,766			
As a percent of the FHLB System	8%	10%	9%	7%	10%	8%			



Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

### Note 11 - Affordable Housing Program

The Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery and Enforcement Act of 1989 (FIRREA) contains provisions for the establishment of an Affordable Housing Program (AHP) by each FHLB. We provide subsidies in the form of direct grants for members that use the funds for qualifying affordable housing projects. Annually, the FHLB System must set aside for their AHPs, in the aggregate, the greater of \$100 million or 10% of the current year's income subject to assessments (e.g., excluding any interest expense related to MRCS). The exclusion of interest expense related to MRCS is a regulatory calculation that was established by the FHFA. Interest expense related to MRCS for 2019, 2018, and 2017, was \$16 million, \$13 million, and \$10 million. We accrue AHP expense monthly based on our regulatory income subject to assessments and recognize an AHP liability. As subsidies are provided, the AHP liability is reduced.

The following table summarizes the changes in the AHP payable for the periods indicated:

For the years ended December 31,	2019	9	2018	2017
AHP balance at beginning of year	\$	84	\$ 88	\$ 86
AHP expense accrual		35	35	36
Cash disbursements for AHP		(35)	(39)	(34)
AHP balance at end of year	\$	84	\$ 84	\$ 88



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

### Note 12 - Capital and Mandatorily Redeemable Capital Stock (MRCS)

Under our Capital Plan our stock consists of two sub-classes of stock, Class B1 activity stock and Class B2 membership stock (together, Class B stock), both with a par value of \$100 and redeemable on five years' written notice, subject to certain conditions. Under the Capital Plan, each member is required to own capital stock in an amount equal to the greater of a membership stock requirement or an activity stock requirement. Class B1 activity stock is available to support a member's activity stock requirement. Class B2 membership stock is available to support a member's membership stock requirement and any activity stock requirement.

Members that withdraw from membership must wait at least five years after their membership was terminated and all of their capital stock was redeemed or repurchased before being readmitted to membership in any FHLB.

Under our Capital Plan, any dividend declared on Class B1 shares must be greater than or equal to the dividend declared on Class B2 shares for the same period. We have paid an enhanced dividend on Class B1 activity stock since the fourth quarter of 2013. Future dividend payments remain subject to declaration by our Board and will depend on future operating results, our Retained Earnings and Dividend Policy and any other factors the Board determines to be relevant.

### **Minimum Capital Requirements**

We are subject by FHFA regulation to the following three capital requirements:

- Total regulatory capital ratio;
- · Leverage capital ratio; and
- · Risk-based capital.

For purposes of calculating our compliance with these minimum capital requirements in effect in 2019:

- "Permanent capital" includes our retained earnings plus the amount paid in for our Class B stock, including Class B stock classified as mandatorily redeemable.
- "Total capital" means the sum of (1) our permanent capital plus (2) any general allowance for losses.
- "Total assets" are the total assets determined in accordance with GAAP.

Permanent capital and total capital do not include accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

Total Regulatory Capital Ratio. We must maintain a minimum ratio of total capital to total assets of at least 4.00%. For safety and soundness reasons, this ratio may be increased by the FHFA with respect to an individual FHLB.

Leverage Capital Ratio. We must also maintain a leverage ratio of total capital to total assets of at least 5.00%. For purposes of determining this leverage ratio, total capital is computed by multiplying our permanent capital by 1.5 and adding to this product all other components of total capital. This ratio also may be increased by the FHFA with respect to an individual FHLB.

Risk-Based Capital. Under the risk-based capital requirement, we must maintain permanent capital in an amount at least equal to the sum of our: (i) credit risk capital requirement, (ii) market risk capital requirement, and (iii) operations risk capital requirement; all of which are calculated in accordance with the rules and regulations of the FHFA.

The FHFA published a final rule making certain revisions to the risk-based capital requirements, effective January 1, 2020. Among the changes, the final rule amended the credit risk component of the requirements by making certain revisions to the capital charges assigned to advances, non-mortgage assets, off-balance sheet assets and derivatives.

		December 31, 2018						
As of	Req	equirement Actual			Re	quirement	Actual	
Total regulatory capital	\$	3,993	\$	5,807	\$	3,714	\$	5,547
Total regulatory capital ratio		4.00%		5.82%		4.00%		5.97%
Leverage capital	\$	4,991	\$	8,710	\$	4,643	\$	8,321
Leverage capital ratio		5.00%		8.73%		5.00%		8.96%
Risk-based capital	\$	1,141	\$	5,807	\$	1,111	\$	5,547



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

Total regulatory capital and leverage capital includes mandatorily redeemable capital stock (MRCS) but does not include AOCI. Under the FHFA regulation on capital classifications and critical capital levels for the FHLBs, we are adequately capitalized.

On August 14, 2019, the FHFA issued an Advisory Bulletin (the "AB") providing guidance for each FHLB to maintain a ratio of at least two percent of capital stock to total assets in order to help preserve the cooperative structure incentives that encourage members to remain fully engaged in the oversight of their investment in the Bank. Beginning in February 2020, the FHFA will consider the proportion of capital stock to assets, measured on a daily average basis at month end, when assessing each FHLB's capital management practices.

### Capital Concentration

The following members had regulatory capital stock exceeding 10% of our total regulatory capital stock outstanding (which includes MRCS).

As of December 31, 2019	Capita	ulatory al Stock anding	% of Total Outstanding	Amount of Which is Classified as a Liability (MRCS)
The Northern Trust Company	\$	247	12.1%	<b>\$</b> —
One Mortgage Partners Corp.		245 <sup>a</sup>	12.0%	245

One Mortgage Partners Corp. is a subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase Bank NA.

### Mandatorily Redeemable Capital Stock (MRCS)

In 2016, we transferred our captive insurance company members' capital stock from equity to MRCS in liabilities on our statement of condition. The transfer was triggered by the issuance of the FHFA rule on FHLB membership making captive insurance companies ineligible for FHLB membership, which rule became effective February, 2016. Under this rule, our three captive insurance company members will have their memberships terminated by February 2021. The transfer from equity to MRCS in liabilities was required because the rule creates an unconditional obligation requiring us to redeem our capital stock from our captive insurance company members after their membership terminates. In addition to our captive insurers, we have other members or former members who hold MRCS.

The following table shows our MRCS redemption terms by year payable.

As of December 31, 2019	Amount
Due in one year or less	\$ 1
One to two years	5
Two to three years	4
Three to four years	1
Four to five years	7
After five years	306 <sup>a</sup>
Mandatorily Redeemable Capital Stock	\$ 324

Represents the five-year redemption period of Class B stock held by captive insurance company members which begins immediately upon their respective terminations of membership no later than February 19, 2021, in accordance with the FHFA Rule on FHLB membership. However, we have the discretion to repurchase their excess stock (if any) in accordance with our capital plan at any time.

### **Repurchase of Excess Capital Stock**

Beginning on October 31, 2019, the Bank no longer automatically repurchases excess stock on a weekly basis. Members may continue to request repurchase of excess stock on any business day. All repurchases of excess stock requested by members will continue until otherwise announced, but remain subject to our regulatory requirements, certain financial and capital thresholds, and prudent business practices.



Notes to Financial Statements
(Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

### **Dividends**

On January 23, 2020, our Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of 5.00% (annualized) for Class B1 activity stock and a cash dividend of 2.25% (annualized) for Class B2 membership stock. This dividend, including dividends on mandatorily redeemable capital stock (recorded as interest expense), totaled \$25 million and was paid on February 13, 2020. Any future dividend payments remain subject to declaration by our Board and will depend on future operating results, our Retained Earnings and Dividend Policy, and any other factors the Board determines to be relevant.

### **Joint Capital Enhancement Agreement**

All of the FHLBs, including us, entered into a Joint Capital Enhancement Agreement (as later amended, the JCE Agreement) and implemented it in our respective capital plans. The intent of the JCE Agreement is to enhance the capital position of each FHLB by allocating that portion of each FHLB's earnings to a separate restricted retained earnings account at that FHLB.

The JCE Agreement provides that each FHLB is required to contribute 20% of its net income each quarter to a restricted retained earnings account until the balance of that account equals at least 1% of that FHLB's average balance of outstanding consolidated obligations for the previous quarter.

Although restricted retained earnings under the JCE Agreement are included in determining whether we have attained the retained earnings target under the Bank's Retained Earnings and Dividend Policy, these restricted retained earnings will not be available to pay dividends. We do not believe that the requirement to contribute 20% of our future net income to a restricted retained earnings account under the JCE Agreement will have an impact on our ability to pay dividends except in the most extreme circumstances. There is a provision in the JCE Agreement that if, at any time, our restricted retained earnings were to fall below the required level, we would only be permitted to pay dividends out of (1) current net income not required to be added to our restricted retained earnings and (2) retained earnings that are not restricted.



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

### Note 13 - Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The following table summarizes the gains (losses) in AOCI for the reporting periods indicated.

For the years ended December 31,	Unrea Avai for D	let alized - ilable- -sale ebt urities	ŀ	oncredit OTTI - Held-to- naturity Debt ecurities	Net nrealized - cash Flow Hedges	re	Post- etirement Plans	AOCI
2019								
Beginning balance	\$	211	\$	(114)	\$ (31)	\$	(11)	\$ 55
Recorded in the period to the statements of condition		(107)		29	(33)		(2)	(113)
Amounts reclassified in period to statements of income:								
Net interest income		_		_	26			26
Noninterest expense							3	3
Ending balance	\$	104	\$	(85)	\$ (38)	\$	(10)	\$ (29)
2010								
2018				(4.40)	/		<b>/-</b> >	4.40
Beginning balance	\$	407	\$	(143)	\$ (147)	\$	(5)	\$ 112
Recorded in the period to the statements of condition		(196)		29	101		(8)	(74)
Amounts reclassified in period to statements of income:								
Net interest income		_		_	16			16
Noninterest income		_		_	(1)			(1)
Noninterest expense							2	2
Ending balance	\$	211	\$	(114)	\$ (31)	\$	(11)	\$ 55
2017								
Beginning balance	\$	459	\$	(177)	\$ (312)	\$	(6)	\$ (36)
Recorded in the period to the statements of condition		(52)		34	173		1	156
Amounts reclassified in period to statements of income:								
Net interest income		_		_	(5)			(5)
Noninterest income		_		_	(3)			(3)
Ending balance	\$	407	\$	(143)	\$ (147)	\$	(5)	\$ 112



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

### Note 14 - Employee Retirement Plans

We participate in the Pentegra Defined Benefit (DB) Plan for Financial Institutions (the Pension Plan), a tax-qualified defined-benefit pension plan. The Pension Plan year runs from July 1 to June 30. Substantially all of our officers and employees participate in the Pension Plan. Our risks in participating in the Pension Plan are as follows:

- The Pension Plan is a single plan under Internal Revenue Code Section 413(c) and, as a result, all of the assets stand behind all of the liabilities. Accordingly, contributions made by us may be used to provide benefits to participants of other participating employers.
- If a participating employer withdraws from the Pension Plan, the unfunded obligations of the Pension Plan may be borne by the remaining participating employers, which would include us.
- If we choose to withdraw from the Pension Plan, we may be required to pay the Pension Plan an amount based on the underfunded status of the Pension Plan, referred to as a withdrawal liability.

Relevant information concerning the Pension Plan is outlined below:

- The Pension Plan's Employer Identification Number is 13-5645888 and the Plan Number is 333.
- A single Form 5500 is filed on behalf of all employers who participate in the Pension Plan. A Form 5500 was not available for the Pension Plan year ended June 30, 2019, as of the date of this Form 10-K filing.
- Our contributions for the years presented were not more than 5% of the total contributions to the Pension Plan.
- The Pension Plan is not a collective bargaining agreement.
- · We did not pay any surcharges to the Pension Plan.
- · There was no funding improvement plan or rehabilitation plan implemented, nor is any such plan pending.

Contributions to the Pension Plan include both mandatory amounts required under federal law and discretionary contributions to improve the Plan's funded status. The Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act ("MAP-21"), enacted in 2012, provided temporary relief for employers like the Bank who participate in plans for which funding contributions are determined under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974. Specifically, MAP-21 allows the Bank to use a 25-year average discount rate within an upper and lower range rather than the current discount rate when determining its minimum funding obligation. In effect, the discount rate under MAP-21 is higher than the current discount rate, which reduces the Bank's minimum funding obligation and expense recognized into earnings. This is due to the inverse relationship between the discount rate and the pension liability and expense - that is, the higher the discount rate, the lower the liability and expense amount. This discount rate relief was extended in 2014 when the Highway and Transportation Funding Act ("HATFA") was signed into law. The discount rate relief was extended again through the year 2020 (with graduated increases each year thereafter until expiring in 2023) when the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2015 ("BBA 2015") was enacted in 2015.

The following table provides details on our multiemployer Pension Plan. The funded status is calculated as the market value of plan assets divided by the funding target and reflects contributions received through the plan year ended June 30.

Pension Plan	2	2019	2018	2017
Net pension cost (minimum required contribution) including administrative fees, charged to compensation and benefits expense for the years ended December 31,	\$	9	\$ 12	\$ 7
Prepaid pension contributions, in other assets, as of December 31,		22	16	19
Plan funded status as of the plan years ended June 30,		109%	110%	111%
Our portion of plan funded status as of the plan years ended June 30,		110%	121%	120%

In addition to the above multiemployer Pension Plan we have a tax-qualified defined contribution 401(k) plan, an unfunded non-qualified deferred compensation plan, and a postretirement health and life insurance benefit plan. The financial amounts related to these plans were not material.



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

### Note 15 - Fair Value

Fair value represents the exit price that we would receive to sell an asset or pay to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction with a market participant at the measurement date. Refer to **Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies** for our fair value measurement policies.

### **Valuation Techniques and Significant Inputs**

We believe our estimated fair value amounts are reasonable; however, as outlined below, there are inherent limitations in any valuation technique.

- Our estimated fair value amounts are highly subjective in nature. We select assumptions and inputs from a market participant's perspective to use with any of our valuation techniques. Such assumptions and inputs include, but are not limited to, the amount and timing of future cash flows, prepayment speed, expected interest rate volatility, possible distributions of future interest rates used to value options, and the selection of discount rates that appropriately reflect market and credit risks. Significant judgment is required when selecting such assumptions and inputs. Using different assumptions and inputs could have a material effect on our estimated fair value amounts. Further, the estimated fair value amounts presented in our statements of condition and disclosed in our notes to financial statements are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that would be realized in current market transactions.
- Our estimated fair value amounts are made as of the statement of condition date; and accordingly, such estimated fair value amounts are susceptible to material changes thereafter.

Outlined below is a description of our valuation techniques and significant assumptions.

Assets for which fair value approximates carrying amount. Due to the short-term nature and negligible credit risk, we use the carrying amount to estimate fair value of cash and due from banks, interest bearing deposits, Federal Funds sold, securities purchased under agreements to resell, and accrued interest receivable.

Investment debt securities—non-MBS and MBS. We use one of the valuation approaches outlined below to determine fair value.

- Prices received from third party pricing vendors provided we believe their pricing models are consistent with what other market participants would use; or
- An income approach based on a market-observable interest rate curve adjusted for a spread.

The significant inputs and assumptions utilized by third party pricing vendors in their proprietary pricing models are derived as outlined below for these securities.

- Market observable sources (Level 1), which include, but are not limited to, benchmark yields, reported trades, broker/dealer
  quotes, issuer spreads, benchmark securities, bids, offers, and other market related data, for securities that are actively
  traded.
- Available market observable inputs (Level 2) rather than quoted market prices when valuing securities primarily comprised of our portfolio of government, mortgage and asset-backed securities.
- Available market information (Level 2), such as benchmark curves, benchmarking of like securities, sector groupings, and matrix pricing, for fixed income securities that do not trade on a daily basis.
- Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for securities.

We annually review the multiple third party pricing vendors we utilize to measure the fair value of our agency and private label MBS. Our annual review includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- Confirming and further augmenting our understanding of the vendors' pricing processes, methodologies and control
  procedures.
- Reviewing, if available, the vendors' independent auditors' reports to assess the vendors' internal controls over their valuation processes.



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

- Assessing our third party vendors' proprietary pricing models for reasonableness, including the underlying inputs and
  assumptions utilized. This is achieved by sampling securities across different asset classes and utilizing deep dive analyses
  since we do not have direct access to their propriety pricing models.
- Using our third party vendor's pricing challenge process, which is in place for all security valuations. The pricing challenge process facilitates identification and resolution of potentially erroneous prices.

<u>Private label MBS and agency MBS.</u> We determine our fair value measurement for private label MBS and for agency MBS using the inputs received from our third party pricing vendors using a pricing process that is completed on at least a quarterly basis. Outlined below are the steps we follow to measure fair value for these securities.

- We establish a median price for each security from the prices we receive from our third party pricing vendors. All prices that
  are within a specified tolerance threshold of the median price are included in the "cluster" of prices that are averaged to
  compute a "default" price. We determine the final price of the security based on the cluster average and an evaluation of
  any outlier prices as outlined below.
- If all prices fall within the tolerance threshold level of the median price, the final price is simply the cluster average.
- If all prices received for a security are outside the tolerance threshold level of the median price, then there is no default price, and the final price is determined by an evaluation of all outlier prices as described above. A revised price may be assigned to an MBS in situations where strong contrary evidence supports a price different than the price derived from the "default" price or the outlier price. In either case, justification of the price selected is documented and presented to our Risk Management Group for their review and approval.
- If some prices fall within the tolerance threshold level and some prices are outside the tolerance threshold level of the median price, additional analysis is required. The price or prices falling outside of the tolerance threshold level of the median price would be evaluated by us and a determination made to exclude that price or prices in the final price. If the price or prices that fall outside the tolerance threshold level of the median price are evaluated to be a better estimate of the fair value, then the selected outlier price will be the final price instead of the average of prices that fit within the tolerance level threshold of the median price. Possible factors that may be used to determine the quality of the outlier price or prices include:
  - Comparison to bonds with similar characteristics, such as collateral type, credit quality, deal structure, or expected weighted-average life or maturity;
  - Comparing option-adjusted spread or projected yield to similar bonds;
  - Consideration of expected weighted-average life or maturity;
  - Consideration of expected default, loss, and credit support;
  - Consideration of the remaining principal versus the original principal of a security;
  - Recent data on transactions with the security or similar securities; and
  - Implied yields calculated with our OTTI projected cash flows at quarter ends compared to industry benchmarks. Specifically, we calculated an implied yield for our private label MBS using the estimated fair value derived from the process described above and the security's projected cash flows from our OTTI process and compared such yield to the market yield data for comparable securities according to dealers and other third party sources to the extent comparable market yield data was available. Significant variances were evaluated in conjunction with all of the other available pricing information to determine whether an adjustment to the fair value estimate was appropriate.

As of December 31, 2019, multiple vendor prices were received for substantially all of our MBS holdings. We computed the final prices by taking the median of the prices, excluding any outlier price deemed as unreasonable. We believe our final prices are representative of the exit price that we would receive to sell these securities in an orderly transaction with a market participant at the measurement date.

Non-MBS securities - SBA, agency bonds and housing development bonds. We use one third party pricing vendor to measure the fair value of these securities. If available, we compare the prices received from that service to two other third party pricing vendors to determine if the price is reasonable. If no other third party prices are available we validate against internal models.



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

<u>FFELP ABS.</u> We use the fair value provided by a third party pricing vendor or average of pricing services or our internal model price in cases where a fair value is not provided by any third party pricing vendor to measure the fair value of our FFELP ABS. We compare prices for comparable FFELP securities provided by third party pricing vendors to our internal pricing model. The internal model price also is compared to other third party pricing vendors to test for reasonableness. If only one pricing vendor is providing prices, our internal pricing model will be averaged with the vendor price.

<u>Private label residential MBS.</u> The significant unobservable inputs used by third party pricing vendors in the fair value measurement of our private label residential MBS are prepayment rates, probability of default, and loss severity in the event of default. Significant increases (decreases) in any of those inputs in isolation may result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. A change in the assumption used for the probability of default is accompanied by a directionally similar change in the assumption used for the loss severity and a directionally opposite change in the assumption used for prepayment rates.

The following table shows the range of values for our investment debt securities that are carried at fair value on our statements of condition using Level 3 significant inputs provided to us by multiple third party pricing vendors.

				f Values			
As of December 31, 2019		Fair '	Value	Mir	nimum	Max	imum
Available-for-sale debt securities	Private label residential MBS	\$	35	\$	34	\$	36

Advances. We determine the fair value of an advance by calculating the present value of its expected future cash flows. Accrued interest receivable is included in the expected future cash flows for an advance carried at fair value under the fair value option, and accordingly, accrued interest receivable on such an advance is classified in Advances in our statements of condition. Accrued interest receivable is not included in the expected future cash flows on an advance carried on an amortized cost basis, and accordingly, accrued interest receivable on such an advance is classified in Other Assets in our statements of condition. In determining fair value we do not factor in prepayment risk in cases where an advance carries a prepayment fee since we are financially indifferent whether or not the borrower prepays.

The significant inputs used to determine fair value for advances carried under the fair value option in our statements of condition are shown below.

- Consolidated Obligation Curve (CO Curve). The Office of Finance constructs this market-observable curve using the
  U.S. Treasury Curve as a base which is then adjusted by adding indicative spreads obtained largely from market observable
  sources, which includes the benchmark component interest rate. These market indications are derived from pricing
  indications from dealers, historical pricing relationships, market activity such as recent GSE trades, and other secondary
  market activity. The CO Curve best represents our cost of funds and is an integral factor with respect to pricing our advance
  products, and accordingly, we utilize it to measure an advance's fair value.
- Volatility assumption. Market-based expectations of future interest rate volatility implied from current market prices for similar options.
- Target spread assumption. The target spread relative to our cost of funds that we expect to earn for a given advance.

MPF Loans held in portfolio. We measure the fair value of our entire mortgage loan portfolio based on to-be-announced (TBA) securities, which represent quoted market prices for new mortgage-backed securities issued by U.S. government-sponsored enterprises, and adjust that fair value amount for impaired MPF Loans held in portfolio. The prices of the referenced mortgage-backed securities and the MPF Loans are highly dependent upon the underlying prepayment assumptions priced in the secondary market. Prices are then adjusted for differences in coupon, average loan rate, seasoning, settlements, purchase market spread, loan balance, and cash flow remittance between our MPF Loans and the referenced mortgage-backed securities.

MPF Loans held for sale (included in Other Assets). We measure the fair value of our MPF Loans HFS portfolio based on TBA securities, which represent quoted market prices for new mortgage-backed securities issued by U.S. government-sponsored enterprises.

Derivative assets/liabilities. Derivative instruments are primarily transacted in the institutional dealer market and priced with observable market assumptions at a mid-market valuation point. We estimate the fair value of a derivative that is not transacted in such an active market using standard valuation techniques, such as discounted cash-flow analysis and comparisons to similar instruments. We are subject to nonperformance risk in derivative transactions due to the potential default by our derivative counterparties or a DCO. To mitigate this risk, we have entered into master netting agreements and credit support agreements with our derivative counterparties for our bilaterally executed derivative contracts that provide for the daily delivery of collateral.



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

We apply the "portfolio exception" for purposes of determining the nonperformance risk adjustment, if any, to the fair value of our derivative instruments. As a result, we measure the nonperformance risk adjustment on our derivative instruments by taking into consideration the effects of legally enforceable master netting agreements that allow us to settle positive and negative positions and offset collateral with the same counterparty on a net basis. For transactions executed as a cleared derivative, we settle our variation margin exposure daily in cash and pledge securities collateral for initial margin exposure. We also have established the enforceability of offsetting rights incorporated in the agreements for the cleared derivative transactions. Our net counterparty position equals the amount attributable to a particular credit exposure that we would receive to sell a net long position or that we would pay to transfer a net short position. Based on our risk management practices described above and our assessment of any change in our own credit spread, we concluded that the effect of the credit differential between us and our derivative counterparties and DCO was sufficiently mitigated to an immaterial level that no nonperformance risk adjustments were deemed necessary to the recorded fair value of our derivative assets/liabilities in our statements of condition. See **Note 9 - Derivatives and Hedging Activities** for further discussion of our credit risk management practices.

In estimating a derivative's fair value, we use a discounted cash flow analysis utilizing market-observable inputs (inputs that are actively quoted and can be validated to external sources). Inputs by class of derivative are shown below.

#### Interest-rate related:

- We use the OIS curve to determine the fair value of our derivative contracts.
- Volatility assumption market-based expectations of future interest rate volatility implied from current market prices for similar options.
- · Prepayment assumption, if applicable.

Mortgage delivery commitments and TBA mortgage-backed securities:

TBA price. Market-based prices of TBAs are determined by coupon class and expected term until settlement.

Deposits. We determine the fair values of deposits by their book values.

Consolidated obligations. We estimate fair values based on the cost of raising comparable term debt using internal valuation models. Our internal valuation models use standard valuation techniques and estimate fair values based on the following significant inputs for those consolidated obligations carried at fair value:

- CO Curve for fixed-rate, noncallable (bullet) consolidated obligations and a spread to the benchmark swap curve (e.g., LIBOR) for callable consolidated obligations based on price indications for callable consolidated obligations from the Office of Finance.
- Volatility assumption. Market-based expectations of future interest rate volatility implied from current market prices for similar options.
- Spread assumption. A spread adjustment to the benchmark swap curve (e.g., LIBOR) used to value callable consolidated obligations carried at fair value.



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

### **Fair Value Estimates**

The following table is a summary of the fair value estimates and related levels in the hierarchy. The carrying amounts are per the statements of condition. Fair value estimates represent the exit prices that we would receive to sell assets or pay to transfer liabilities in an orderly transaction with market participants at the measurement date. They do not represent an estimate of our overall market value as a going concern, as they do not take into account future business opportunities or profitability of assets and liabilities. We measure instrument-specific credit risk attributable to our consolidated obligations based on our nonperformance risk, which includes the credit risk associated with the joint and several liability of other FHLBs, see Note 16 – Commitments and Contingencies. As a result, we did not recognize any instrument-specific credit risk attributable to our consolidated obligations that are carried at fair value. See Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies for our fair value policies and Note 9 - Derivatives and Hedging Activities for more information on the Netting and Cash Collateral amounts.

		arrying mount	Fa	ir Value	L	evel 1	ı	_evel 2	Level	3	Ne	etting
As of December 31, 2019												
Carried at amortized cost												
Cash and due from banks	\$	29	\$	29	\$	29	\$	_	\$	_		
Interest bearing deposits		1,680		1,680		1,680		_		_		
Federal Funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell		14,106		14,106		_		14,106		_		
Held-to-maturity debt securities		2,381		2,615		_		2,138	4	177		
Advances		47,700		47,780		_		47,780		_		
MPF Loans held in portfolio, net		9,995		10,189		_		10,183		6		
Other assets		149		149		_		149		_		
Carried at fair value on a recurring basis												
Trading debt securities		4,648		4,648		_		4,648		_		
Government related non-MBS, ABS, and MBS		16,032		16,032				16,032		_		
Private label residential MBS		35		35		_		_		35		
Available-for-sale debt securities	_	16,067		16,067		_		16,032		35		
Advances	_	2,808		2,808				2,808		_		
Derivative assets		6		6		2		186		_	\$	(182
Other assets		83		83		_		83		_		
Carried at fair value on a nonrecurring basis												
MPF Loans held in portfolio, net		5		5		_		_		5		
Other assets		1		1		_		_		1		
Financial assets		99,658	\$	100,166	\$	1,711	\$	98,113	\$ !	24	\$	(182
Other non financial assets		169										
Assets	\$	99,827										
Carried at amortized cost	_											
Deposits		(847)		(847)		_		(847)		_		
Consolidated obligation discount notes		(23,709)		(23,709)		_		(23,709)		_		
Consolidated obligation bonds		(42,490)		(42,728)		_		(42,728)		_		
Mandatorily redeemable capital stock		(324)		(324)		(324)		_		_		
Other liabilities		(158)		(158)		_		(158)		_		
Carried at fair value on a recurring basis												
Consolidated obligation discount notes		(17,966)		(17,966)		_		(17,966)		_		
Consolidated obligation bonds		(7,984)		(7,984)		_		(7,984)		_		
Derivative liabilities		(14)		(14)		_		(347)		_		333
Financial liabilities		(93,492)	\$	(93,730)	\$	(324)	\$	(93,739)	\$	_	\$	333
Other non financial liabilities		(881)										
Liabilities	\$	(94,373)										



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting
As of December 31, 2018						
Carried at amortized cost						
Cash and due from banks	\$ 28	\$ 28	\$ 28	\$ —	\$ —	
Interest bearing deposits	775	775	775	_	_	
Federal Funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell	10,604	10,604	_	10,604	_	
Held-to-maturity debt securities	3,213	3,492	_	2,870	622	
Advances	51,603	51,615	_	51,615	_	
MPF Loans held in portfolio, net	7,096	7,091	_	7,085	6	
Other assets	190	190	_	190	_	
Carried at fair value on a recurring basis						
Trading debt securities	3,478	3,478	_	3,478	_	
Government related non-MBS, ABS, and MBS	14,573	14,573	_	14,573		
Private label residential MBS	41	41	_	_	41	
Available-for-sale debt securities	14,614	14,614		14,573	41	
Advances	1,025	1,025		1,025		
Derivative assets	6	6	_	140	_	\$ (134
Other assets	108	108	_	108	_	
Carried at fair value on a nonrecurring basis						
MPF Loans held in portfolio, net	7	7	_	_	7	
Other assets	1	1	_	_	1	
Financial assets	92,748	\$ 93,034	\$ 803	\$ 91,688	\$ 677	\$ (134
Other non financial assets	109					
Assets	\$ 92,857					
Carried at amortized cost						
Deposits	(551)	(551)	_	(551)	_	
Consolidated obligation discount notes	(42,174)	(42,170)	_	(42,170)	_	
Consolidated obligation bonds	(39,898)	(39,895)	_	(39,895)	_	
Mandatorily redeemable capital stock	(313)	(313)	(313)	_	_	
Other liabilities	(153)	(153)	_	(153)	_	
Carried at fair value on a recurring basis						
Consolidated obligation discount notes	(992)	(992)	_	(992)	_	
Consolidated obligation bonds	(2,352)	(2,352)	_	(2,352)	_	
Derivative liabilities	(16)	(16)	_	(321)	_	305
Financial liabilities	(86,449)	\$ (86,442)	\$ (313)	\$ (86,434)	\$ —	\$ 305
Other non financial liabilities	(1,119)					
Liabilities	\$ (87,568)					



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

### Level 3 Rollforward

The following table presents a rollforward of assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis on the statements of condition using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3).

	Available-For-Sale Private Label MBS							
For the years ended December 31,		2019		2018		2017		
Balance at beginning of period	\$	41	\$	50	\$	56		
Gain (loss) included in earnings -								
Interest income		2		1		4		
Gain (loss) included in OCI -								
Net unrealized on AFS securities		(1)	)	(2)		3		
Paydowns and settlements		(7)	)	(8)		(13)		
Balance at end of period	\$	35	\$	41	\$	50		
Unrealized gains (losses) recorded in earnings and attributable to instruments still held at period end	\$	2	\$	3	\$	3		

### **Fair Value Option**

We may elect the fair value option for financial instruments, such as advances, MPF Loans held for sale, and consolidated obligation discount notes and bonds, in cases where hedge accounting treatment may not be achieved due to the inability to meet the hedge effectiveness testing criteria, or in certain cases where we wish to mitigate the risk associated with selecting the fair value option for other instruments. Financial instruments for which we elected the fair value option along with their related fair value are shown on our statements of condition. Refer to **Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies** for further details.

The following table presents the changes in fair values of financial assets and liabilities carried at fair value under the fair value option. These changes were recognized in noninterest income - instruments held under the fair value option in our statements of income.

For the years ended December 31,	2	2019	2018	2017
Advances	\$	50	\$ (2)	\$ (5)
Other assets		(1)	(6)	(4)
Bonds		(14)	(11)	7
Noninterest income - Instruments held under fair value option	\$	35	\$ (19)	\$ (2)

The following table reflects the difference between the aggregate unpaid principal balance (UPB) outstanding and the aggregate fair value for our long term financial instruments for which the fair value option has been elected. None of the advances were 90 days or more past due and none were on nonaccrual status.

		Decembe	r 31, 20	)19	December	r 31, 2018		
As of	Ac	Ivances		nsolidated ation Bonds	Advances		onsolidated gation Bonds	
Unpaid principal balance	\$	2,768	\$	7,955	\$ 1,037	\$	2,358	
Fair value over (under) UPB		40		29	(12)		(6)	
Fair value	\$	2,808	\$	7,984	\$ 1,025	\$	2,352	



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

### Note 16 - Commitments and Contingencies

The following table shows our commitments outstanding, which represent off balance sheet obligations.

	December 31, 2019				December 31, 2018							
As of	wit	pire thin year		xpire after ie year	T	otal	W	kpire ithin e year	afte	pire r one ear		Total
Unsettled consolidated obligation bonds	\$	81	\$		\$	81	\$	114	\$		\$	114
Unsettled consolidated obligation discount notes		750		_		750		_		_		_
Member standby letters of credit	18	8,077		5,774 °	2	3,851	1	9,971		4,335 <sup>a</sup>		24,306
Housing authority standby bond purchase agreements		32		409		441		84		289		373
Advance commitments		8		30		38		267		7		274
MPF delivery commitments		615		_		615		336		_		336
Other		1		_		1		3		_		3
Commitments	\$ 19	9,564	\$	6,213	\$ 2	5,777	\$ 2	20,775	\$	4,631	\$	25,406

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Contains \$4.2 billion and \$1.7 billion of member standby letters of credit at December 31, 2019 and December 31, 2018, which were renewable annually.

### Commitments

Member standby letters of credit. A member standby letter of credit is a financing arrangement between us and our member. We execute a letter of credit with a member for a fee and require that member to fully collateralize the letter of credit at the time of issuance. If we are required to make payment for a beneficiary's draw, the payment amount is converted into a collateralized advance to the member if not reimbursed by the member. We monitor the creditworthiness of our members that have letters of credit. See **Note 8 - Allowance for Credit Losses** for information related to our credit risk for member standby letters of credit.

<u>Housing authority standby bond purchase agreements.</u> We enter into agreements with state housing authorities within our district to provide them liquidity for a fee. Specifically, if required under the terms of the agreement, we purchase and hold a state housing authority's bonds until their designated marketing agent can find a suitable investor or the state housing authority repurchases the bond. These standby bond purchase commitments have original expiration periods of up to 7 years, expiring no later than December 31, 2026, although some may be renewable at our option. We purchased no bonds under these agreements during the periods presented above.

<u>Advance commitments.</u> We enter into forward-starting advances, which lock in a predetermined interest rate for an advance that will be funded at a future date subject to certain conditions.

<u>MPF delivery commitments.</u> Includes delivery commitments to purchase all MPF Loans, including MPF Loans we hold in our portfolio and MPF Loans that are subsequently sold or securitized.

### Contingencies

<u>Joint and Several Liability on Behalf of Another FHLB.</u> We have a contingent obligation for the payment of principal and interest on consolidated obligations of all the FHLBs resulting from our joint and several liability. We did not expect to pay any additional amounts under our joint and several liability as of December 31, 2019 and December 31, 2018.

<u>Legal Proceedings.</u> We may be subject to various legal proceedings arising in the normal course of business. After consultation with legal counsel, management is not aware of any such proceedings that might result in our ultimate liability in an amount that would have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations.



# Notes to Financial Statements (Dollars in tables in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

### Note 17 - Transactions with Related Parties and Other FHLBs

We define related parties as either members whose officers or directors serve on our Board of Directors, or members that control more than 10% of our total voting interests. We did not have any members that controlled more than 10% of our total voting interests for the periods presented in these financial statements.

In the normal course of business, we may extend credit to or enter into other transactions with a related party. These transactions are done at market terms that are no more favorable than the terms of comparable transactions with other members who are not considered related parties.

#### Members

The following table summarizes material balances we had with our members who are related parties as defined above (including their affiliates) as of the periods presented. The related impacts to our Statements of Income were not material.

As of	Decemb	er 31, 2019	Decer	mber 31, 2018
Assets - Advances	\$	696	\$	665
Liabilities - Deposits		10		6
Equity - Capital Stock		31		27

### Other FHLBs

From time to time, we may loan to, or borrow from, other FHLBs. These transactions are done at market terms that are no more favorable than the terms of comparable transactions with other counterparties. These transactions are overnight, maturing the following business day.

In addition, we provide programmatic and operational support in our role as the administrator of the MPF Program on behalf of the other MPF Banks for a fee.

Material transactions with other FHLBs are identified on the face of our Financial Statements.



### **Signatures**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

### FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK OF CHICAGO

/s/ Matthew R. Feldman

By: Matthew R. Feldman

Title: President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: March 11, 2020 (Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ Roger D. Lundstrom

By: Roger D. Lundstrom

Title: Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Date: March 11, 2020 (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Matthew R. Feldman  Matthew R. Feldman	President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	March 11, 2020
/s/ Roger D. Lundstrom Roger D. Lundstrom	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)	March 11, 2020
*/s/ John K. Reinke John K. Reinke	Chairperson of the Board of Directors	March 11, 2020
*/s/ James T. Ashworth James T. Ashworth	Vice Chairperson of the Board of Directors	March 11, 2020
*/s/ Edward P. Brady Edward P. Brady	Director	March 11, 2020
*/s/ Mary J. Cahillane Mary J. Cahillane	Director	March 11, 2020
*/s/ Mark J. Eppli Mark J. Eppli	Director	March 11, 2020
*/s/ Joseph Fazio III Joseph Fazio III	Director	March 11, 2020
*/s/ Michelle L. Gross Michelle L. Gross	Director	March 11, 2020



Signature	Title	Date
*/s/ James H. Hegenbarth James H. Hegenbarth	Director	March 11, 2020
*/s/ Phyllis Lockett Phyllis Lockett	Director	March 11, 2020
*/s/ David J. Loundy David J. Loundy	Director	March 11, 2020
*/s/ David R. Pirsein David R. Pirsein	Director	March 11, 2020
*/s/ Leo J. Ries Leo J. Ries	Director	March 11, 2020
*/s/ Lois A. Scott Lois A. Scott	Director	March 11, 2020
*/s/ Michael G. Steelman Michael G. Steelman	Director	March 11, 2020
*/s/ Ty R. Taylor Ty R. Taylor	Director	March 11, 2020
*/s/ Daniel G. Watts Daniel G. Watts	Director	March 11, 2020
*/s/ Gregory A. White Gregory A. White	Director	March 11, 2020
*/s/ Charles D. Young Charles D. Young	_ Director	March 11, 2020
* By: /s/ Laura M. Turnquest  Laura M. Turnquest, Attorney-in-fact	-	March 11, 2020

# Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by the Principal Executive Officer

### I, Matthew R. Feldman, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions);
  - All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 11, 2020 By: /s/ Matthew R. Feldman

Name: Matthew R. Feldman

Title: President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

# Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by the Principal Financial Officer

### I, Roger D. Lundstrom, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed
    under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is
    made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions);
  - All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 11, 2020 By: /s/ Roger D. Lundstrom

Name: Roger D. Lundstrom

Title: Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)

### Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by the Principal Executive Officer

In connection with the Annual Report of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago (the "Bank") on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2019 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Matthew R. Feldman, President and Chief Executive Officer, certify to my knowledge, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 (as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002), that:

- 1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- 2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Bank.

Date: March 11, 2020 By: /s/ Matthew R. Feldman

Name: Matthew R. Feldman

Title: President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

A signed original of this written statement has been provided to the Bank and will be retained by the Bank and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

### Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by the Principal Financial Officer

In connection with the Annual Report of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago (the "Bank") on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2019 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Roger D. Lundstrom, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer certify to my knowledge, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 (as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002), that:

- 1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- 2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Bank.

Date: March 11, 2020 By: /s/ Roger D. Lundstrom

Name: Roger D. Lundstrom

Title: Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)

A signed original of this written statement has been provided to the Bank and will be retained by the Bank and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

### FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK OF CHICAGO 2020 BOARD OF DIRECTORS COMPENSATION POLICY

### **GENERAL**

Section 1261.21 of the Rules and Regulations of the Federal Housing Finance Agency requires the Board of Directors to adopt a written policy to provide for the payment of reasonable compensation to Bank Directors for the performance of their duties as members of the Board of Directors. Pursuant to that regulation, this 2020 Board of Directors' Compensation Policy ("Policy") sets forth the activities and functions for which attendance is necessary and appropriate and may be compensated, and sets forth the methodology for determining the amount of compensation to be paid. This Policy shall be reviewed annually by the Human Resources & Compensation Committee.

### COMPENSATION POLICY METHODOLOGY

The goal of the Policy is to appropriately compensate the Directors for actual attendance and participation at the meetings of the Board of Directors and the committees of the Board and also for work performed on behalf of the Board of Directors and the Bank apart from such meetings.

The compensation provided in this Policy was determined after a review of comparative compensation studies by third parties with expertise in the compensation of Directors (McLagan "Director Compensation Report" May 2019) and the compensation paid to Directors of other Federal Home Loan Banks in 2019.

### PAYMENT STRUCTURE

Members of the Board will receive the following maximum annual payments as designated for their position, as further calculated pursuant to this Policy.

<u>Position</u>	Maximum Annual Compensation	Position Duties
Chairman	\$145,000	Preside at the meetings of the Board of Directors and the Executive & Governance Committee and attend other committee meetings. Represent the Bank at the Council of FHLBs.
<u>Position</u>	Maximum Annual Compensation	Position Duties
Vice Chairman	\$130,000	Attend meetings of the Board and other committee meetings, as well as chair meetings of the Board in the Chairman's absence. Represent the Bank at the Council of FHLBs.
Audit Committee	\$130,000	Attend meetings of the Board and meetings of committees to which such Director is appointed, as well as chair meetings of the Audit Committee.
Committee Chairman	\$117,000	Attend meetings of the Board and meetings of

		committees to which such Director is appointed, as well as chair meetings of committees to which such Directors is appointed Chairman.
Director	\$105,000	Attend meetings of the Board and meetings of committees to which such Director is appointed.

In order to compensate Directors for their time while serving as Directors, a Director shall receive one quarter of their Maximum Annual Compensation following the end of each quarter. The payment shall compensate Directors for their time preparing for and attending in-person and telephonic meetings, attending Bank-sponsored member meetings and events, attending Community Investment Advisory Council meetings, attending FHLB System meetings, Board training, activities that provide information pertinent to the Bank or service on the Board of Directors that are learning opportunities ("enrichment") (i.e. Board of Directors speaker sessions) and other activities related to service on the Board of Directors.

In the event that a Director serves on the Board for only a portion of a calendar year, or only serves as Chairman of the Board, Vice Chairman of the Board, or a Committee Chair for a portion of a calendar year, then the Maximum Annual Compensation to which such Director is entitled for that calendar year shall be adjusted accordingly on a pro-rata basis.

### **DEFERRAL OF COMPENSATION**

A Director may elect to defer compensation paid under this Policy in accordance with the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago Board of Directors Deferred Compensation Plan, effective September 1, 2013.

### **EXPENSES**

Each Director will be reimbursed for necessary and reasonable travel, subsistence and other related expenses incurred in connection with the performance of their official duties (including telephonic meetings or inperson meetings called at the request of the Federal Housing Finance Agency or other FHLB System body) as are payable to senior officers of the Bank under the Bank's Employee Reimbursement Policy.

Directors are authorized to purchase upgrades for air travel from economy to business class or economy plus only. This does not include upgrades to first class. Air travel upgrades shall not exceed \$100 per flight.

Pursuant to IRS tax code, certain Director expenses paid for by the Bank that do not qualify as a business-related expense will be recorded as other income and reported on a Director's 1099 tax form for the appropriate reporting period.

Each Director is responsible for all expenses incurred in conjunction with spousal travel.

### PERFORMANCE AND ATTENDANCE STANDARDS

The following performance criteria shall be considered in assessing a Director's performance:

1. Did the Director satisfy the attendance standard (described below) for Board and committee meetings during the specific assessment period?

- 2. Did the Director attend most of his or her scheduled in-person meetings in person?
- 3. Was the Director prepared for meetings?
- 4. Did the Director demonstrate knowledge of Bank policies and other relevant governance documents?
- 5. Did the Director demonstrate understanding of the FHLB System?
- 6. Did the Director actively participate in meetings?
- 7. Did the Director participate in education, enrichment and training opportunities, excluding "Bankrelated events" described in number 11. below, during the specific assessment period?
- 8. Did the Director make decisions or suggestions that support the Bank's mission and vision?
- 9. Did the Director support Board decisions, even if he or she did not agree with the decision?
- 10. Did the Director maintain confidentiality of the discussions at meetings?
- 11. Did the Director participate in Bank-related events (i.e., FHLB System meetings, member meetings, Bank-sponsored conferences, etc.)?

Each Director shall fulfill his or her responsibilities by regularly and consistently attending meetings of the Board of Directors and any assigned committees. The Board's attendance standard shall be to attend in person or by telephone at least 75% of the total meetings of the Board and assigned committees, measured annually.

The Chairman of the Board, Vice Chairman of the Board and Chairman of the Human Resources & Compensation Committee (each, a "Reviewer") shall evaluate each Director's attendance and performance biannually, prior to the release of the second quarter and fourth quarter payments. The Reviewers may elect to reduce, suspend or eliminate the second and/or fourth quarter payment to any Director who does not fulfill his or her responsibilities by failing to meet the majority of the performance criteria set forth above. A Director's second quarter payment may also be reduced or suspended if it is deemed that the Director's attendance at meetings of the full Board or committees to which the Director is appointed is not adequate.

A Director's fourth quarter payment will be eliminated if it is determined at the end of the calendar year that a Director has attended fewer than 75% of the combined meetings of the full Board and committees on which the Director serves (including in-person and telephonic) during such year.

In the event that a Director serves on the Board for only a portion of a calendar year, the Reviewers shall evaluate the Director's attendance and performance following the Director's departure and prior to the release of the Director's final payment, regardless of whether such review falls within the bi-annual review schedule for all Directors. The Reviewers may elect to reduce or eliminate the final payment if it is determined that the Director did not fulfill his or her responsibilities by failing to meet the majority of the performance criteria set forth above. The Director's final payment will be eliminated if it

is determined that the Director failed to attend 75% of the total meetings of the Board of Directors and any assigned committees measured on a pro-rata basis, based on the number of meetings of the full Board and committees on which the Director served during the year up until the Director's date of resignation.

Actions to reduce, suspend or eliminate a compensation payment requires a majority vote of the Reviewers except in the case where a Reviewer has recused themselves from the evaluation process. The Reviewers will each recuse themselves from evaluating their own attendance and performance. Any decision to reduce, suspend or eliminate a compensation payment, consistent with the terms of this Policy, must be unanimous among the other two Reviewers. In the event the Vice Chairman of the Board is also the Chairman of the Human Resources & Compensation Committee, the decision to reduce, suspend or eliminate a compensation payment to the individual, consistent with the terms of this Policy, will be at the sole discretion of the Chairman of the Board.

The Reviewers may designate a Director's absence for a good cause from a scheduled meeting as an "excused absence." An "excused absence" shall be recorded as a Director in attendance for the 75% attendance standard. Examples of what may be an "excused absence" include, but are not limited to, a medical condition of the Director or their immediate family or an unexpected business conflict pertaining to the Director's primary business.

### POLICY INTERPRETATION

The Bank's Corporate Secretary is authorized to interpret the provisions of this Policy and to address situations not anticipated by this Policy, consistent with all applicable regulatory requirements and statutes.

### COMPLIANCE WITH LEGAL REQUIREMENTS

This Policy shall be in compliance with Section 7(i) of the Federal Home Loan Bank Act (12 U.S.C. §1427(i)), as amended, and any regulations issued by the Federal Housing Finance Agency, including 12 C.F.R. Part 1261.

### **EFFECTIVE DATE**

This 2020 Board of Directors Compensation Policy is effective as of January 1, 2020.

APPROVED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Dated: October 21, 2019

/s/ Laura M. Turnquest Its Corporate Secretary

### **POWER OF ATTORNEY**

(Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2019)

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Laura M. Turnquest, Executive Vice President, and Roger D. Lundstrom, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, or either of them, his or her attorneys-in-fact, for such person in any and all capacities, to execute, deliver and file with the Securities and Exchange Commission in his and her name and on his and her behalf, and in each of the undersigned director's capacity as shown below, an Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2019, and all exhibits thereto and all documents in support thereof or supplemental thereto, and any and all amendments or supplements to the foregoing, hereby ratifying and confirming all that either of said attorneys-in-fact, or substitute or substitutes, may do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned directors, in the capacity or capacities noted, has hereunto set his or her hand as of the date indicated below.

Dated: January 23, 2020

By:

/s/ John K. Reinke	/s/ James T. Ashworth		
John K. Reinke, Chairperson	James T. Ashworth, Vice Chairperson		
/o/ Educard D. Drody	/a/Mary I. Cabillana		
/s/ Edward P. Brady	/s/ Mary J. Cahillane		
Edward P. Brady, Director	Mary J. Cahillane, Director		
/s/ Mark J. Eppli	/s/ Joseph Fazio III		
Mark J. Eppli, Director	Joseph Fazio III, Director		
/s/ Michelle L. Gross	/s/ James H. Hegenbarth		
Michelle L. Gross, Director	James H. Hegenbarth, Director		
/s/ Phyllis Lockett	/s/ David J. Loundy		
Phyllis Lockett, Director	David J. Loundy, Director		
/s/ David R. Pirsein	/s/ Leo J. Ries		
David R. Pirsein, Director	Leo J. Ries, Director		
/s/ Lois A. Scott	/s/ Michael G. Steelman		
Lois A. Scott, Director	Michael G. Steelman, Director		
/s/ Ty R. Taylor	/s/ Daniel G. Watts		
Ty R. Taylor, Director	Daniel G. Watts, Director		
/s/ Gregory A. White	/s/ Charles D. Young		
Gregory A. White, Director	Charles D. Young, Director		

### Description of Registrant's Securities Registered Under Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

As of December 31, 2019, the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago (the "Bank") has one class of securities registered under Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"): Class B Stock, par value \$100 per share (the "Class B Stock"), which is registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act.

The Bank's Second Amended and Restated Capital Plan, effective as of October 1, 2015 (the "<u>Capital Plan</u>"), sets forth the capital structure of the Bank. Before implementing any amendments to the Capital Plan, the Bank must obtain the approval of a majority of the Board of Directors, as well as the approval of the Federal Housing Finance Agency (the "<u>FHFA</u>"). The Bank will provide at least 30 days prior written notice to its stockholders before any amendment to the Capital Plan becomes effective.

The following description of the Bank's Class B Stock is a summary and does not purport to be complete. It is subject to and qualified in its entirety by reference to the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago Charter, the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago Bylaws and the Capital Plan, each of which is incorporated by reference as an exhibit to the Bank's 2019 Annual Report on Form 10-K, as well as the Federal Home Loan Bank Act, as amended (the "FHLB Act") and FHFA regulations.

Under the Capital Plan, the Bank issues a single class of capital stock to its members: Class B Stock, which consists of two subclasses of stock, Class B1 Stock and Class B2 Stock. Under the Capital Plan, each member is required to own Class B Stock in an amount equal to the greater of a membership stock requirement or an activity stock requirement. Class B1 Stock is available to support a member's activity stock requirement. Class B2 Stock is available to support a member's membership stock requirement and any activity stock requirement. See the Capital Resources section on page 54 of the Bank's 2019 Annual Report on Form 10-K for a description of the ranges of capital stock requirements permitted under the Capital Plan and capital stock requirements during 2019. Additionally, the Board of Directors of the Bank has a right to call for additional capital stock purchases by members, as a condition of continuing membership, as needed for the Bank to satisfy its statutory and regulatory capital requirements.

- Ownership Limitations. Class B Stock may only be issued to, and held by, members and former members.
- <u>Par Value</u>. The par value of Class B Stock is \$100 per share. Class B Stock may only be issued, transferred, redeemed or repurchased at its stated par value.
- <u>Dividends</u>. Holders of Class B Stock ("<u>Class B Stockholders</u>") are entitled to non-cumulative dividends if, as, and when
  declared by the Board of Directors of the Bank. For a description of the Bank's retained earnings and dividend policy and
  the current restrictions on the payment of dividends, see the <u>Retained Earnings & Dividends section on page 58 of the
  Bank's 2019 Annual Report on Form 10-K.
  </u>
- Redemption & Repurchase & Transfer of Class B Stock. Class B Stock is not publicly traded and no market mechanism exists for the exchange of Class B Stock outside of the Bank's cooperative structure. Class B Stock is subject to redemption upon the expiration of a five-year redemption notice period. Only Class B Stock in excess of a member's or former member's minimum investment requirement ("Excess Stock") that is subject to a redemption request, Excess Stock of a member that has submitted a notice to withdraw from membership, or Excess Stock held by a former member whose membership has been terminated may be redeemed at the end of the applicable redemption period. Further, the Bank may elect to repurchase Excess Stock from time to time at its sole discretion without regard to the five-year redemption period.

FHFA regulations and the Capital Plan prohibit the Bank from redeeming or repurchasing Class B Stock in certain instances, including where it would cause the Bank to fail to meet its minimum capital requirements or cause the member or former member to fail to maintain its minimum investment requirement. See <a href="Capital Resources-Capital Rules on page 54">Capital Rules on page 54 of the Bank's 2019 Annual Report on Form 10-K</a> for additional details on statutory and regulatory restrictions on repurchases and redemptions.

With the Bank's prior approval, a member (or former member) may transfer any Excess Stock to another member or to an institution that has satisfied all conditions to become a member (other than the prospective member's stock purchase requirement).

Voting Rights. The voting rights of Class B Stockholders are set forth in the FHLB Act and regulations of the FHFA. Members
(and, as applicable, former members) are entitled to vote their Class B Stock for each member directorship in their state
and for each independent directorship that is to be filled in an election.

Members are also entitled to vote in connection with other matters as authorized or required by applicable law in existence at the time of such event. See <a href="Item 10">Item 10</a>. Directors, Executive Officers, and Corporate Governance - 2019 Director Election of the Bank's 2019 Annual Report on Form 10-K for details on voting rights and process with regard to the election of member and independent directors of the Bank.

- <u>Liquidation Rights</u>. In the event that the Bank is liquidated, the rights of the Class B Stockholders would be determined in accordance with the Capital Plan, subject to any rights or authority granted FHFA to issue any regulation or order or to take any other action that may affect or otherwise alter the rights or privileges of the Class B Stockholders in a liquidation.
- Modification of Rights. The Bank is subject to the FHLB Act and the regulations, guidance, and orders adopted thereunder by the FHFA. From time to time, Congress has amended the FHLB Act, and the FHFA has amended its regulations or issued orders or guidance, in ways that have significantly affected the rights and obligations of the Bank and the Class B Stockholders. It is possible that legislative or regulatory changes in the future could further modify the rights of the Class B Stockholders.